COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 11.12.2003

GREEN PAPER

ON THE FUTURE OF RULES OF ORIGIN IN PREFERENTIAL TRADE ARRANGEMENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE

to be used for providing contributions in the consultation process

YOUR IDENTIFICATION

I. YOUR IDENTITY

Organisation/Company	
Department	
Address	
Country	
Web-page address	
Contact person	
Name	
Position held in the organisation/company	
Telephone	
Fax	
E-mail address	

II. YOUR STATUS AND DOMAIN OF ACTIVITY

1. Your status

1	Private Company	
2	Trade/Business National/Local Organisation	
3	Trade/Business European Organisation	
4	Trade/Business International Organisation	
5	Consultant	
6	Public Administration	
7	International/Regional Organisation	
8	University/Research Center	
9	Other:	

2. Your domain(s) of activity

1	Agriculture:
2	Fishery:
3	Processed agricultural products:
4	Industry:
5	International Trade:
6	Development:
7	International/Community Law:
8	Customs:
9	Other:

	IMPORTANT NOTICE											
	DG TAXUD intends to make your replies to this questionnaire available to the public, on its Website, after having removed your personal data (See Section I: 'Your identity').											
C	o you agree?											
	YES NO											

YOUR REPLIES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE

<u>Note</u>: This questionnaire is mainly based on the questions raised in the Green paper, following their structure and numbering. It is therefore essential to read the Green paper before filling out the questionnaire.

Complementary questions are added *in italics*. '*Free boxes*' can be used at the end of each section or chapter, to tackle aspects not raised in the questionnaire.

As far as possible, please use English language for the comments

1. DIAGNOSIS: "PREFERENTIAL ORIGIN AT A CROSSROADS"

The Green paper opens by taking stock of the economic, legal and financial context of rules of origin in preferential trade arrangements and includes an inventory of the difficulties and of constraints more specifically associated with the diversity, complexity and proper application of those rules and procedures related thereto.

Your opinion is expected on this analysis of the current context of preferential rules of origin and the challenges they have to face.

1.1. The preferential origin rules in the context of international trade and the common policies

A. Impact of preferential rules of origin

1	continu		antial cut in duties, will preferential duties costs and formalities, if current origin rules
	YES		NO
Com	ments		

1a	percentage comply with	cording to you of the ex-work , in relation v ts and/or the e	ks prie vith p	ce of th referen	e expo tial orig	rted gin?	oroduct, o Indicate, v	f the fo	rmaliti	es an ex	cporter	has to	
Community preferentialFree trade agreementsPan-Euro systemEFTA EEACEEC (BG-RO)TurkeyEuro-MEDFa													
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)			Balkan HR-MK)	Andorra		ACP		South Africa	Mexi	со	Chile	
	Autonomous arrangements OCT GSP GSP-EBA W. Balkan Ceuta & Melilla ALL												
Secto conc	ors erned	HS Sections										ALL	

(see Appendix of Annex I GP)	HS Chapters					ALL
Comments						

1b	What is according to your knowledge and/or experience the average cost, expressed as a percentage of the customs value of the imported product, of the formalities an importer has to comply with, in relation with preferential treatment? Indicate, where appropriate, the preferential arrangements and economic sectors concerned.													
prefe	munity erential	Free trade agreements		n-Euro system	_	FTA EEA	CEEC (BG-RO		Т	urkey	Euro-ME	D	Faroe	
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)	W. Balkan (HR-MK)		Andorra			ACP	South Africa		Mexico		Chile		
		Autonomous arrangements	ОСТ		GSP		G	SP-EBA		alkan ATMs	Ceuta Meli		ALL	
	erned	HS Sections												
	ee Appendix of nex I GP) HS Chapters													
Com	ments													

2a		e preferential origin rules fit the cur ial, agricultural and development polici		bjectives of the Community's commercial, a whole?
(i)	Comm	ercial policy?		
	YES			NO
Com	ments			
(ii)	Industr	ial policy?		
	YES			NO
Com	ments			
(iii)	Agricul	tural policy (incl. fishery policy)?		
	YES			NO
Com	ments			
(iv)	Develo	pment policy?		
	YES			NO
Com	ments			·
(v)	Other	policies? If YES, what policies (regiona	l, envi	ronmental,)?

	YES			NO	
Policies		1. Regional	2. Environmental	3. Social	4. Other
Con	nments				

2b	industrial, ag	Do the preferential origin rules fit the current objectives of the Community's commercial, industrial, agricultural and development policies – <i>insofar as specific</i> economic sector, country or group of beneficiary countries <i>are concerned</i> ?												
	YES							NO						
prefe	munity erential	Free trade agreements	Pa	Pan-Euro system		EFTA EEA		CEEC (BG-RO)	Т	urkey	Euro	-MED	F	aroe
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)			Balkan HR-MK)	Andorra			ACP	South Africa		Mexico		Chile	
		Autonomous arrangements		ОСТ		GSP		GSP-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs		Ceuta & Melilla			ALL
	erned	HS Sections												
(see A Annex	ppendix of I GP)	HS Chapters												
Polic	ies	1. Commercial	2	. Industria	al		•	ricultural fishery)	4. D	evelopn	nent	5. Otl	ner	
Com	ments	I				•			•			•		

2c According to your knowledge and/or experience, what are the main problems encountered in applying/complying with the rules of origin, that prevent both the exporter and the importer to draw the benefits from tariff preferences? Indicate the preferential arrangement and/or economic sectors concerned

											-					
Community preferential arrangement(s) concerned (see Annex II GP)		erential ^{agreements} agement(s) erned			an-Euro systen	~		FTA EEA		CEEC (BG-RO)		Turkey	Euro-MI	ED		Faroe
					W. Balkan (HR-MK)					ACP		South Africa	Mexico			Chile
		Autonomous arrangements		ОСТ		Т	GSP		(GSP-EBA	W. I	Balkan ATMs	Ceuta Mel			ALL
Sectors concerned		HS Sections														
(see Appendix of Annex I GP)	f	HS Chapters														
Problems 1. 'W obtain criter		ned	d' tariff		Change of f heading' prion		3. 'Value percentage' criterion		4. 'Specific process' criterion		C	5. 'Minimal' Operations		6. T rule	Folera es	ance
7. Cu		imulation	8. No	o draw	back	9	. Territor	iality		10. Direct transport		11. Reg proof of certifica	origin:	pro inv		olified origin: ion

	13. Authorised exporter	14. Accounting segregation	15. Customs controls	18. Recovery of duties	19. Access to information and rules	20. Others:
Comments						

3a	and pr origin	Are there any reasons (e.g. failure to invest in manufacturing, internal administrative structures and procedures, human or plant health regulations) other than the complexity and rigour of the origin rules to explain why certain beneficiary countries or groups of countries make so little use of the preference made available? <i>If YES, what reasons</i> ?										
	YES		NO									
Reas	sons	1. Production constraints	2. Investment opportunities	3. Admin organisat procedur	tion and	4. Health requirements	5. Others:					
Com	ments			•								

B. Role of cumulation of origin

3b	counties? Ir	ny is cumulation of origin not used more, particularly by certain groups of developing unties? Indicate what preferential arrangements and/or economic sectors are, according to ur knowledge, more particularly concerned										
prefe	munity Free trade erential agreements		Pan-Euro system	EFTA EEA	CEEC (BG-RO)	Turkey	Euro-MED	Faroe				
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)	ed		Andorra	ACP	South Africa	Mexico	Chile				
		Autonomous arrangements	OCT	GSP	GSP-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs	Ceuta & Melilla	ALL				
	erned	HS Sections										
(see A Annex	ppendix of I GP)	HS Chapters										
Com	ments	· · · ·		· · · ·	·							

3с	ls (sho	Is (should be) cumulation of origin:							
(i)	- materia	- an instrument for enhanced economic integration in a region, favouring sourcing of materials or intermediate products within that region?							
	YES	YES NO							
Com	nments								
(ii)	- an appropriate alternative to a direct relaxation of the list rules for the preferential arrangement(s) concerned, allowing a wider choice in sourcing materials and intermediate products from third countries?								
	YES			NO					

Comments							
(iii) -		an appropriate substitute to the conclusion of extended preferential agreements?					
YES				NO			
Com	ments						

C. Compliance with preferential rules of origin

4	Does failure to obey the origin rules stem mainly:								
(i)	-	from the complexity and/or ignorance	e of the	rules?					
	YES			NO					
Comments									
(ii)	-	from the impossibility of obeying ther	n if one	e wants to export goods?					
	YES			NO					
Com	ments								
(iii)	-	from deliberate intent to commit fraue	d?						
	YES			NO					
Com	ments								
(iv)	(iv) Is this failure made easier by the limited possibilities to monitor the proper application of preferential rules of origin?								
	YES			NO					
Com	ments								

D. Suitability of preferential rules of origin

5		the current number and range of prefe ute appropriately and flexibly to achiev		arrangements, can the relevant origin rules objectives of the arrangements?					
	YES	NO							
Com	ments								

5a	Are (sł	Are (should be) preferential rules of origin:							
(i)	-	'neutral' instruments of Community policies?							
	YES	YES NO							
Com	ments								

(ii)	-	active (offensive or defensive) instru	active (offensive or defensive) instruments of Community policies?							
	YES	NO								
Comments										

5b			harmonisation feasible?	of	preferential	rule	s of	origin	in	different	arrangements
	YES	5					NO				
Com	ment	s									

5c		d a more tailor-made approach (by arrangement, region and/or sector) be favoured in to fit in with the various situations and objectives at stake?									
	YES	YES NO									
Com	ments										

Free box on section 1.1

FB 1.1	lssue:	
Com	ments	

1.2. Managing and monitoring compliance with the preferential origin rules

6a		ind-the-clock ements really		our	trading	partners'	implementation	of	preferential
	YES					NO			
Com	ments								

6b		ossible to increase/redirect our monitoring capacity in this field to ensure the ments are used properly, partly in the interests of Community traders themselves, and if
	YES	NO
Comments		

7	Do you agree with all or part of the analysis of the limitations of the current system of
	administrative cooperation on preferential origin?

	YES		NO
Со	nments		

Free Box on section 1.2

FB 1.2	lssue:	
Comments		

1.3. The economic and financial consequences of fraud and administrative failures to meet the obligations imposed by preferential arrangements

8a	Do you agree with the analysis made under GP, section 1.3?						
	YES		NO				
Com	ments						

8b	Are the	the consequences inherent in the system, and should the taxpayer bear the costs?							
	YES			NO					
Comments									

9	Irrespective of the scale of the problem, does it damage the credibility of the preferential arrangements to grant the benefit of preferential tariffs for goods which do not in fact fulfil the conditions, even to an importer acting "in good faith" on grounds of equity and the protection of legitimate expectations?								
	YES			NO					
Com	ments								

Free Box on section 1.3

FB 1.3	Issue:	
Com	ments	

2. **REMEDIES:** "SEEKING NEW EQUILIBRIA IN PREFERENTIAL TRADE"

The Green paper then looks at different ways of adapting the origin rules better to their intended purpose and the implementating procedures better to the origin rules, then finding new balances.

Your opinion is expected on various aspects of possible lines to follow to achieve these objectives.

2.1. Defining and establishing a management framework for rules of origin geared to the objectives of the preferential arrangements and their international environment

A. Determination of the preferential originating status of products ('list rules')

10	Against the backdrop of the trend towards lower customs duties, would gearing the rules of preferential origin primarily to access by Community products to third country markets and access by developing countries' products to the Community market seem to be compatible with maintaining sufficient Community production and export capacity to ensure growth and employment?							
	YES NO							
Com	ments							

11	What conditions could the origin rules for a given product or sector be designed to suit, particularly under reciprocal agreements, <u>in order to facilitate Community exports</u> , without jeopardising Community production or Community suppliers of the raw materials used? <i>Indicate the preferential arrangements and sectors concerned</i>												
prefe	munity rential	Free trade agreements	Pan-Euro system W. Balkan (HR-MK)		EFTA EEA Andorra		CEEC (BG-RO)		Turkey	urkey	Euro-MED Mexico		Faroe Chile
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)						Ą	CP	South Africa				
		Autonomous arrangements	OCT		GSP		GSP-E	BA	W. Balkan ATMs		Ceuta & Melilla		ALL
	erned	HS Sections											
(see A Annex	ppendix of I GP)	HS Chapters											
Com	ments	· · ·					•	•			•	•	·

12a What conditions could the origin rules for a given product or sector be designed to suit <u>in order</u> to contribute to development in the country of export, without jeopardising Community production? *Indicate the preferential arrangements and sectors concerned*

Community preferential	Free trade agreements	Pan-Euro system	EFTA EEA	CEEC (BG-RO)	Turkey	Euro-MED	Faroe
arrangement(s) concerned (see Annex II GP)		W. Balkan (HR-MK)	Andorra	ACP	South Africa	Mexico	Chile
	Autonomous arrangements	ОСТ	GSP	GSP-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs	Ceuta & Melilla	ALL
Sectors concerned	HS Sections						
(see Appendix of Annex I GP)	HS Chapters						
Comments	· · ·		·	····	·		·

12b		type of development a origin rules promote ir		onomic activity in the	beneficiary countries
Econ activ	iomic ity	1. Production of primary products	2. Investment in local industry	3. Trade activities	4. Increase in GDP
		5. Rate of employement	6. Protection of environment	7. Welfare of population	9. Others:
Com	ments				

13a		he approach need to be ro n, and if so, in what way?	efined a	according	to the	industrial	or agricultural	sector i	n
	YES				NO				
Com	ments								

13b	Do the interests of large busin	esses and SMEs diffe	r in this respect?						
	YES	NO							
Com	Comments								

13c	arrangemen	o your specific and/or econol oducts ('list rule.	mic sector, l					
prefe	munity rential	Free trade agreements	Pan-Euro system	EFTA EEA	CEEC (BG-RO)	Turkey	Euro-MED	Faroe
conc	gement(s) erned nnex II GP)		W. Balkan (HR-MK)	Andorra	ACP	South Africa	Mexico	Chile
		Autonomous arrangements	OCT	GSP	GSP-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs	Ceuta & Melilla	ALL

Sectors concerned	HS Sections				
(see Appendix of Annex I GP)	HS Chapters				
Comments					

B. Determination of the preferential originating status of products (cumulation of origin)

13d		Should relaxation of conditions for cumulation be envisaged in various preferential arrangements? In what preferential arrangement and/or economic sector, for what purpose and how?											
	YES						N	10					
	munity erential	Free trade agreements		n-Euro system		EFTA EEA		CEEC (BG-RO)	Т	urkey	Euro-ME	Đ	Faroe
conc	ngement(s) erned nnex II GP)			W. Balkan Ar (HR-MK)		dorra	ACP			South Africa	Mexi	со	Chile
		Autonomous arrangements	ОСТ			GSP		SP-EBA		alkan ATMs	Ceuta Meli		ALL
	erned	HS Sections							·				
(see A Annex	ppendix of I GP)	HS Chapters											
Com	ments	· · ·				•						•	•

13e		extension of hts? In what pre , and how?										
	YES					NC)					
prefe	munity erential	Free trade agreements	 -Euro /stem	_	FTA EEA		CEEC G-RO)	т	urkey	Euro-ME	ΞD	Faroe
conc	igement(s) erned nnex II GP)		W. Balkan (HR-MK)		lorra		ACP	South Africa		Mexico		Chile
		Autonomous arrangements	ОСТ	(GSP	GS	P-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs		Ceuta & Melilla		ALL
	erned	HS Sections										
(see A Annex	ppendix of I GP)	HS Chapters										
Com	ments											

13f According to your specific problems and needs, what are your suggestions, by preferential arrangement and/or economic sector, regarding the rules for cumulation of origin?

_						-	
Community preferential	Free trade agreements	Pan-Euro system	EFTA EEA	CEEC (BG-RO)	Turkey	Euro-MED	Faroe
arrangement(s) concerned (see Annex II GP)		W. Balkan (HR-MK)	Andorra	ACP	South Africa	Mexico	Chile
	Autonomous arrangements	OCT	GSP	GSP-EBA	W. Balkan ATMs	Ceuta & Melilla	ALL
Sectors concerned	HS Sections						
(see Appendix of Annex I GP)	HS Chapters						
Comments	· · ·		· · · · ·		·		

C. Technical assistance

14a How can a strategy of internationally funded technical assistance primarily geared to development be reconciled with partnerships between the Community and given countries or groups of countries?

Com	nents	

14b	Could the Community conceivably organise t	echnic	al assistance on demand?							
	YES	NO								
Com	Comments									

14c	How c used?	an (existing or	new) prog	grammes a	and financing	tools for	technical	assistance	be	best
Com	ments									

14d	How ca needed	h we ensure technical assistance is programmed precisely where and when it is most
Com	nents	

D. Regional conventions on origin

15	Would regrouping origin rules and their management into fewer legal instruments (for example covering large regional groups of countries applying identical rules and cumulation of origin) make them more transparent for all those involved and more likely to be applied correctly?		
	YES		NO

Comments	
----------	--

Free Box on section 2.1

FB 2.1	Issue:	
Comments		

2.2. Improving protection against the economic and financial injury resulting from poor application of the agreements

16	prefere	ow can we ensure, in the current legal situation, that problems of fraud and poor application of referential arrangements are tackled quickly so as to protect both the economic and financial terests involved?	
Comments			

17a		How can introducing clauses on the suspension of preferences and financial liability into preferential agreements enhance the protection of the interests at stake?				
	YES			NO		
Comments						

17b	Can their scope be anything other than financial?		
	YES		NO
Com	ments		

Free Box on section 2.2

FB 2.2	Issue:	
Comments		

2.3. Better division of responsibility for granting and monitoring preferences

18a		riff preference exists for a product (affecting the price), how is it incorporated into the ons of an international trade transaction?	Э
Comments			

18b	withhel	How does the buyer/importer insure himself against the risk that preference may ultimately be withheld on import or later, if checks reveal that the product did not qualify for preference or was non-originating?			
Comments					

	19	Which of a pro	of the people involved in preferential arrangement are best placed to establish the origin oduct?
Comments		ments	

20		Should the authorities' main role be to establish the originating status of products or to check that it has been correctly established?			
	YES			NO	
Comments					

20a		<u>blic authorities</u> , what would you expect from the <u>exporters</u> as a counterpart of the benefits raw from preferential arrangements based on the originating status of products?
Comments		

20b	<u>As public authorities</u> , what would you expect from the <u>importers</u> as a counterpart of the benefits they draw from preferential arrangements based on the originating status of products?	
Comments		

20c		<u>exporter</u> , what would you expect from the <u>public authorities</u> as a counterpart of your nsibility in certifying the origin of the exported products?
Comments		

20d		<i>importer</i> , what would you expect from the <u>public authorities</u> as a counterpart of your sibility in declaring the origin of the imported products?
Comments		

Free Box on section 2.3

FB 2.3	Issue:	
Comments		

3. PROCEDURES: "Possible options for certification, declaration and control of preferential origin rules"

Under this chapter, the Green paper presents a number of possible options aiming at translating in procedures the objective, announced under section 2.3, to find a 'better division of responsibility for granting and monitoring preferences'.

You opinion is expected on the various options identified and on what could be the optimal combination of them with a view to achieving the objective to ensure a proper mangament and control of the preferential rules of origin.

21	What do you think of the various options presented for the procedure's three components and of the analysis of their advantages and limitations?
	What option would you favour?

21a	- regarding certification of origin:			
(i)	Improv	Improving the current system for establishing proof of origin?		
	YES NO			
Comments				
(ii)	Introducing certification by the exporter only?			
	YES NO		NO	
Comments				
(iii)) Introducing an intermediate system of 'approved' or 'registered' exporters?		or 'registered' exporters?	
	YES NO			
Com	Comments			

21b	-	regarding declaration of origin:		
(i)	Acting	Acting on debt and debt recovery?		
	YES	YES NO		
Comments				
(ii)	Acting on the importer's responsibility and the definition of commercial risk?		ition of commercial risk?	
	YES NO			
Comments				

21c	- regarding control of origin:			
(i)	Stepping up checks on the importer?			
	YES			NO
Com	ments			
(ii)		ng up checks on the exporter, assum sible for certifying origin?	ning the	e authorities of the country of export remain
	YES			NO
Com	Comments			
(iii)	approv			exporter (whether or not he is registered or origin and the importing country carries out
	YES			NO
Com	ments			
(iv)	<i>Stepping up checks on the exporter, assuming the exporter (whether or not he is registered or approved) bears sole responsibility for certifying origin and the exporting country provides importing country with assistance?</i>			
	YES			NO
Com	Comments			

22	proced	combination of options would, in your opinion, offer the most balanced and coherent ure for establishing a product's preferential origin, checking the truth and protecting the nic and financial interests at stake?
Com	ments	

23	Are an	Are any other options and combinations conceivable?	
Comments			

Free Box on chapter 3

FB 3	lssue:	
Comments		