Prof. Dr. *I. Nasser* Phys- 551 (T-112) October 31, 2013

## **Spin ½ (Pages 1-12 are needed)**

Recall that in the H-atom solution, we showed that the fact that the wavefunction  $\psi(r)$  is singlevalued requires that the angular momentum quantum number be integer:  $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ .. However, operator algebra allowed solutions  $\ell = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2...$ 

Experiment shows that the electron possesses an intrinsic angular momentum called *spin* with  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ . By convention, we use the letter s instead of  $\ell$  for the spin angular momentum quantum number :  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The existence of spin is not derivable from non-relativistic QM. It is not a form of orbital angular momentum; it cannot be derived from  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ . (The electron is a point particle with radius r = 0.)

Electrons, protons, neutrons, and quarks all possess spin  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . Electrons and quarks are elementary point particles (as far as we can tell) and have no internal structure. However, protons and neutrons are made of 3 quarks each. The 3 half-spins of the quarks add to produce a total spin of  $\frac{1}{2}$  for the composite particle (in a sense,  $\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$  makes a single  $\uparrow$ ). Photons have spin 1, mesons have spin 0, the delta-particle has spin 3/2. The graviton has spin 2. (Gravitons have not been detected experimentally, so this last statement is a theoretical prediction.)

#### **Spin and Magnetic Moment**

We can detect and measure spin experimentally because the spin of a charged particle is always associated with a magnetic moment. Classically, a magnetic moment is particle is always associated with a magnetic moment. Classically, a magnetic moment is defined as a vector  $\vec{\mu}$  associated with a loop of current. The direction of  $\vec{\mu}$  is perpendicular to the plane of the current loop (right-hand-rule), and the magnitude is  $\mu = iA = i\pi r^2$ . The connection between orbital angular momentum (not spin) and magnetic moment can be seen in the following classical model: Consider a particle with mass m, charge q in circular orbit of radius r, speed v, period T.

$$
i = \frac{q}{T}
$$
,  $v = \frac{2\pi r}{T}$   $\Rightarrow$   $i = \frac{qv}{2\pi r}$   $\mu = iA = \left(\frac{qv}{2\pi r}\right) (\pi r^2) = \frac{qvr}{2}$ 

| angular momentum  $| = L = p r = m v r$ , so  $v r = L/m$ , and  $\mu = \frac{q v r}{2} = \frac{q}{2m} L$ .

So for a classical system, the magnetic moment is proportional to the orbital angular momentum:

$$
\vec{\mu} = \frac{q}{2m} \vec{L}
$$
 (orbital).

The same relation holds in a quantum system.

In a magnetic field B, the energy of a magnetic moment is given by  $E = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} = -\mu_z B$  (assuming In a magnetic field B, the energy of a magnetic moment is given by  $E = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} = -\mu_z B$  (assuming  $\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$ ). In QM,  $L_z = \hbar m$ . Writing electron mass as m<sub>e</sub> (to avoid confusion with the magnetic quantum number m) and  $q = -e$  we have  $\mu_z$ e  $\mu_z = -\frac{e\hbar}{2m_e}m$ , where  $m = -\ell$ .. +  $\ell$ . The quantity  $\mu_B$ e  $\mu_{\rm B} \equiv \frac{e \hbar}{2 m_{\rm e}}$  is called the Bohr magneton. The possible energies of the magnetic moment in  $\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$  is given by  $E_{orb} = -\mu_z B = -\mu_B B m$ .

For *spin* angular momentum, it is found experimentally that the associated magnetic moment is twice as big as for the orbital case:  $\vec{\mu} = \frac{q}{s}$  (spin) m  $\vec{\mu} = \frac{q}{s}$  (spin)(We use S instead of L when referring to spin angular momentum.) This can be written  $\mu_z = -\frac{c}{m}$  m =  $-2\mu_B$ e  $\frac{e\hbar}{m}$  m = -2 $\mu_{\rm B}$  m m  $\mu_z = -\frac{e\hbar}{m} m = -2\mu_B m$ . The energy of a spin in a field is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i}
$$

m, q

r

r

µ

 $E_{\text{min}} = -2\mu_B B m$  (m = ±1/2) a fact which has been verified experimentally. The existence of spin (s = ½) and the strange factor of 2 in the gyromagnetic ratio (ratio of  $\vec{\mu}$  to  $\vec{S}$ ) was first deduced from spectrographic evidence by Goudsmit and Uhlenbeck in 1925.

Another, even more direct way to experimentally determine spin is with a Stern-Gerlach device, (This page from QM notes of Prof. Roger Tobin, Physics Dept, Tufts U.)

Stern-Gerlach Experiment (W. Gerlach & O. Stern, Z. Physik **9**, 349-252 (1922).

$$
\vec{F} = -\vec{\nabla} \left( \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} \right) = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{B}
$$

$$
\vec{F} = \hat{z} \left( \mu_z \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z} \right)
$$



Deflection of atoms in z-direction is proportional to z-component of magnetic moment  $\mu_z$ , which in turn is proportional to  $L_z$ . The fact that there are two beams is proof that  $\ell = s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The two beams correspond to m = +1/2 and m = -1/2. If  $\ell = 1$ , then there would be three beams, corresponding to m = -1, 0, 1. The separation of the beams is a direct measure of  $\mu_z$ , which provides proof that  $\mu_z = -2 \mu_B m$ 

The extra factor of 2 in the expression for the magnetic moment of the electron is often called the "gfactor" and the magnetic moment is often written as  $\mu_z = -g \mu_B m$ . As mentioned before, this cannot be deduced from non-relativistic QM; it is known from experiment and is inserted "by hand" into the theory. However, a relativistic version of QM due to Dirac (1928, the "Dirac Equation") predicts the existence of spin (s =  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) and furthermore the theory predicts the value g = 2. A later, better version of relativistic QM, called Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) predicts that g is a little larger than 2. The g-factor has been carefully measured with fantastic precision and the latest experiments give  $g = 2.0023193043718(\pm 76)$  in the last two places). Computing g in QED requires computation of a infinite series of terms that involve progressively more messy integrals, that can only be solved with approximate numerical methods. The computed value of g is not known quite as precisely as experiment, nevertheless the agreement is good to about 12 places. QED is one of our most well-verified theories.

#### **Spin Math**

Recall that the angular momentum commutation relations

$$
[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_z] = 0 , \qquad [\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i \hbar \hat{L}_k \quad (i, j, \text{ and } k \text{ cyclic})
$$

were derived from the definition of the orbital angular momentum operator:  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ .

The spin operator S does not exist in Euclidean space (it doesn't have a position or momentum vector associated with it), so we cannot derive its commutation relations in a similar way. Instead we boldly *postulate* that the same commutation relations hold for spin angular momentum:

$$
[\hat{S}^2, \hat{S}_z] = 0 , \qquad [\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j] = i \hbar \hat{S}_k .
$$

From these, we derive, just a before, that

$$
\hat{S}^2 | \text{sm}_s \rangle = \hbar^2 s (s+1) | \text{sm}_s \rangle = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 | \text{sm}_s \rangle \qquad \text{( since } s = \frac{1}{2} \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_z | \text{sm}_s \rangle = \hbar \, \text{m}_s | \text{sm}_s \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} \hbar | \text{sm}_s \rangle \qquad \text{( since } \text{m}_s = -s \text{ , } +s = -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \text{)}
$$

**Notation:** since  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  always, we can drop this quantum number, and specify the eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$ , and  $\hat{S}_z$ by giving only the  $m_s$  quantum number. There are various ways to write this:

$$
\chi_{\pm} = |s, m_s \rangle = |m_s \rangle = \begin{cases} \sin \operatorname{up} (\uparrow) = \chi_{+} = |\alpha \rangle = | \frac{1}{2} \rangle & \equiv |+ \rangle = {1 \choose 0} \\ \sin \operatorname{down} (\downarrow) = \chi_{-} = |\beta \rangle = | -\frac{1}{2} \rangle = |- \rangle = {0 \choose 1} \end{cases}
$$

These states exist in a 2D subset of the full Hilbert Space called *spin space*. Since these two states are eigenstates of a Hermitian operator, they form a complete orthonormal set (within their part of Hilbert space)

and any, arbitrary state in spin space can always be written as a  $a|\uparrow\rangle + b$  $\ket{\chi} = \ket{a} + \ket{b} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ and the

normalization gives:

.

$$
\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = 1 \implies |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1.
$$

Note that:

$$
\left\langle \uparrow \middle| \uparrow \right\rangle = (1 \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1,
$$

similarly:

$$
\langle \downarrow | \downarrow \rangle = 1 \,, \quad \langle \uparrow | \downarrow \rangle = \langle \downarrow | \uparrow \rangle = 0
$$

If we were working in the full Hilbert Space of, say, the H-atom problem, then our basis states would be  $\ell$  m, s m<sub>s</sub>). *n* is another degree of freedom, so that the full specification of a basis state requires 4 quantum numbers without *n*. (More on the connection between spin and space parts of the state later.) [Note on language: throughout this section I will use the symbol  $\hat{S}_z$  (and  $\hat{S}_x$ , etc) to refer to both the observable ("the measured value of  $\hat{S}_z$  is  $+\hbar/2$ ") and its associated operator ("the eigenvalue of  $\hat{S}_z$  is  $+\hbar / 2$ ").

The matrix form of S<sup>2</sup> and S<sub>z</sub> in the  $|m^{(z)}\rangle$  basis can be worked out element by element. (Recall that for any operator  $\hat{A}$ ,  $A_{mn} = \langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle$ 

$$
\left\langle \uparrow \left| \hat{S}^2 \right| \uparrow \right\rangle = + \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \, \delta_{ss} . \delta_{m_s m_s}, \quad \left\langle \downarrow \left| \hat{S}^2 \right| \downarrow \right\rangle = + \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \, \delta_{ss} . \delta_{m_s m_s}, \quad \left\langle \uparrow \left| \hat{S}^2 \right| \downarrow \right\rangle \ = 0 \ , \ \text{etc.} \\ \left\langle \uparrow \left| \hat{S}_z \right| \uparrow \right\rangle = + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \, \delta_{ss} . \delta_{m_s m_s}, \quad \left\langle \downarrow \left| \hat{S}_z \right| \downarrow \right\rangle = - \frac{1}{2} \hbar \, \delta_{ss} . \delta_{m_s m_s}, \quad \left\langle \uparrow \left| \hat{S}_z \right| \downarrow \right\rangle \ = 0 \ , \ \text{etc.}
$$

Then in the matrix notation one finds:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_z\right) &= \begin{pmatrix}\n\langle \alpha | \hat{\mathbf{S}}_z | \alpha \rangle & \langle \alpha | \hat{\mathbf{S}}_z | \beta \rangle \\
\langle \beta | \hat{\mathbf{S}}_z | \alpha \rangle & \langle \beta | \hat{\mathbf{S}}_z | \beta \rangle\n\end{pmatrix}\n&= \begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{\hbar}{2} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle & -\frac{\hbar}{2} \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \\
\frac{\hbar}{2} \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle & -\frac{\hbar}{2} \langle \beta | \beta \rangle\n\end{pmatrix}\n&= \begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{\hbar}{2} \times 1 & -\frac{\hbar}{2} \times 0 \\
\frac{\hbar}{2} \times 0 & -\frac{\hbar}{2} \times 1\n\end{pmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

and

Spin31.doc

$$
(\hat{S}^2) = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Operator equations can be written in matrix form, for instance,

$$
\hat{S}_z \vert \hat{T} \rangle = +\frac{\hbar}{2} \vert \hat{T} \rangle \Rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = +\frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We are going ask; what happens when we make measurements of  $S_z$ , as well as  $S_x$  and  $S_y$ ?, (using a Stern-Gerlach apparatus). Will need to know: What are the matrices for the operators  $S_x$  and  $S_y$ ? These are derived from the raising and lowering operators:

$$
\hat{S}_{+} = \hat{S}_{x} + i\hat{S}_{y}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_{-} = \hat{S}_{x} - i\hat{S}_{y}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \qquad \hat{S}_{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_{+} + \hat{S}_{-})
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_{y} = \frac{1}{2i}(\hat{S}_{+} - \hat{S}_{-})
$$

To get the matrix forms of  $\hat{S}_+$  and  $\hat{S}_-$ , we need a result:

$$
\hat{S}_{\pm} | s, m_s \rangle = \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m_s(m_s \pm 1)} | s, m_s \pm 1 \rangle
$$

For the case s =  $\frac{1}{2}$ , the square root factors are always 1 or 0. For instance, s =  $\frac{1}{2}$ , m = -1/2 gives  $s(s+1) - m(m+1) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}) - (-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2}) = 1$ . Consequently,

$$
\hat{S}_{+}|\psi\rangle = \hbar |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}_{+}|\uparrow\rangle = 0 \text{ and } \hat{S}_{-}|\uparrow\rangle = \hbar |\psi\rangle, \quad \hat{S}_{-}|\psi\rangle = 0,
$$

leading to

$$
\langle \hat{\Gamma} | S_{+} | \hat{\Gamma} \rangle = 0, \langle \hat{\Gamma} | S_{+} | \hat{\nu} \rangle = \hbar
$$
, etc.

Then:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{S}_+ \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle +|\hat{S}_+|+\rangle & \langle +|\hat{S}_+|-\rangle \\ \langle -|\hat{S}_+|+\rangle & \langle -|\hat{S}_+|-\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h\langle +|+\rangle \\ 0 & h\langle -|+\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

**and**

$$
\left(\hat{S}_-\right) = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Notice that S<sub>+</sub>, S<sub>−</sub> are not Hermitian.

Using 
$$
\hat{S}_x = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{S}_+ + \hat{S}_-)
$$
 and  $\hat{S}_y = \frac{1}{2i} (\hat{S}_+ - \hat{S}_-)$  yields  

$$
(\hat{S}_x) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (\hat{S}_y) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 The

ese are Hermitian, of course.

**H.W.** Check the following table:



Spin31.doc

**Example:** Find the expectation value for the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H} = a(\hat{S}_x^2 + \hat{S}_y^2 - 2\hat{S}_z^2) + b\hat{S}_z$ , where a and **b are constants.** 

**Answer:** Use the expression;  $\hat{S}^2 = S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2$ **We can find:** 

$$
\hat{H} = a(\hat{S}_x^2 + \hat{S}_y^2 + \hat{S}_z^2 - 3\hat{S}_z^2) + b\hat{S}_z
$$
  
=  $a\hat{S}^2 - 3a\hat{S}_z^2 + b\hat{S}_z$ 

**And** 

$$
\hat{H} |s, m_s\rangle = \left\{ a\hat{S}^2 - 3a\hat{S}_z^2 + b\hat{S}_z \right\} |s, m_s\rangle
$$
  
=  $\left\{ as(s + 1) - 3am_s^2 + bm_s \right\} |s, m_s\rangle$   
=  $\left\{ \frac{3}{4}a - 3\frac{1}{4}a + bm_s \right\} |s, m_s\rangle = bm_s |s, m_s\rangle$ 

 $\langle s, m_s | \hat{H} | s, m_s \rangle = b m_s \langle s, m_s | s, m_s \rangle = b m_s$ 

**Then** 

## One-electron system

The Hamiltonian

$$
H_o = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{Z}{r}
$$

has the uncoupled wave function  $|\ell, m_\ell, s, m_s\rangle = |\ell, m_\ell\rangle |s, m_s\rangle$  which identify the angular and spin parts of the wave function.  $m_\ell$  is the projection quantum number associated with  $\ell$  and  $m_s$  is the projection quantum number associated with *s* satisfies the relations:

$$
\langle \ell', m_{\ell, s}', m_s | \hat{L}^2 | \ell, m_{\ell, s}, m_s \rangle = \ell(\ell+1) \delta_{\ell \ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{m_{\ell} m_{\ell}} \delta_{m_s m_s'}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', m_{\ell, s}', m_s | \hat{L}_z | \ell, m_{\ell, s}, m_s \rangle = m_{\ell} \delta_{\ell \ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{m_{\ell} m_{\ell}} \delta_{m_s m_s'}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', m_{\ell, s}', m_s | \hat{S}^2 | \ell, m_{\ell, s}, m_s \rangle = s(s+1) \delta_{\ell \ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{m_{\ell} m_{\ell}} \delta_{m_s m_s'}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', m_{\ell, s}', m_s | \hat{S}_z | \ell, m_{\ell, s}, m_s \rangle = m_s \delta_{\ell \ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{m_{\ell} m_{\ell}} \delta_{m_s m_s'}
$$

Aslo, the wave function  $| \ell, s, j, m_j \rangle$  in LS-coupling has similar relations:

$$
\langle \ell', s', j', m_j | \hat{L}^2 | \ell, s, j, m_j \rangle = \ell(\ell+1) \delta_{\ell\ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{m_j m_j}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', s', j', m_j | \hat{S}^2 | \ell, s, j, m_j \rangle = s(s+1) \delta_{\ell\ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{m_j m_j}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', s', j', m_j | \hat{J}^2 | \ell, s, j, m_j \rangle = j(j+1) \delta_{\ell\ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{m_j m_j}
$$
  

$$
\langle \ell', s', j', m_j | \hat{J}_z | \ell, s, j, m_j \rangle = m_j \delta_{\ell\ell} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{m_j m_j'}
$$

In which  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ , and

$$
\hat{J}^2 = \hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2 = \hat{L}^2 + \hat{S}^2 + 2\hat{L}\hat{S} = \hat{L}^2 + \hat{S}^2 + 2\hat{L}_z\hat{S}_z + \hat{L}_+\hat{S}_- + \hat{L}_-\hat{S}_+ \,,
$$

Note that  $\ket{\ell, s, j, m_j}$  are not eigenfunctions of  $\hat{L}_z$  or  $\hat{S}_z$ .  $\ket{\ell, s, j, m_j}$  are said to be in the coupled representation.

$$
\hat{L}_y = (\hat{L}_+ - \hat{L}_-) / 2i, \quad \hat{L}_x = (\hat{L}_+ + \hat{L}_-) / 2
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+ = \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 - \hbar \hat{L}_z
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- = \hat{L}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 + \hbar \hat{L}_z
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}_+ |l, m\rangle = \hbar \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m \pm 1)} |l, m \pm 1\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}_\pm = \hat{L}_x \pm i \hat{L}_y = \pm \hbar e^{\pm i\varphi} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \pm i \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}_z = -i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right]
$$

$$
\hat{J}_{\pm} = \hat{J}_{x} \pm i \hat{J}_{y}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{J}^{2} = \hat{J}_{x}^{2} + \hat{J}_{y}^{2} + \hat{J}_{z}^{2} = \hat{L}^{2} + \hat{S}^{2} + 2\hat{L}.\hat{S} = \hat{L}^{2} + \hat{S}^{2} + 2\hat{L}_{z}\hat{S}_{z} + \hat{L}_{z}\hat{S}_{z} + \hat{L}_{z}\hat{S}_{+} + \hat{
$$

Prof. Dr. *I. Nasser* Phys- 551 (T-112) October 31, 2013

#### Addition of Angular momentum

# 1- Two spin $\frac{1}{2}$  particles

Let  $\hat{S}_1$  and  $\hat{S}_2$  denote spin operators of two different electrons (or neutrons and protons). Then, there are 4 independent states.

$$
|s_{1z}, s_{2z}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow \uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow \downarrow\rangle
$$
  
\nwhere  $|\uparrow\rangle = |s = \frac{1}{2}, s_z = \frac{1}{2}\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle = |s = \frac{1}{2}, s_z = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \Rightarrow |\uparrow \uparrow\rangle = |s_1, s_2, s_{1z}, s_{2z}\rangle = |\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ 

These eigenstates are direct product of  $\chi^{\uparrow}(1), \chi^{\downarrow}(1), \chi^{\uparrow}(2), \chi^{\downarrow}(2)$  which are eigenstates of  $\hat{S}_1^2, \hat{S}_2^2$ ,  $\hat{S}_{1z}$ , and  $\hat{S}_{2z}$ .

**Example**)  $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \chi^{\uparrow}(1)\chi^{\uparrow}(2)$ 

 $\Rightarrow S_{1z}^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, S_{2z}^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, S_{1}^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, S_{2}^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ 

We can also consider the total spin  $\hat{\bf S}$  of the two electron system.  $\hat{\bf S}=\hat{\bf S}_1+\hat{\bf S}_2$ 

Since  $[\hat{S}_1, \hat{S}_2] = 0$  (because they act on different particles), we see that  $\hat{S}_i$ ,  $\hat{S}_j$ , and  $\hat{S}_k$  satisfy the angular momentum commutator relation.

$$
[\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j] = [\hat{S}_{1i} + \hat{S}_{2i}, \hat{S}_{1j} + \hat{S}_{2j}] = [\hat{S}_{1i}, \hat{S}_{1j}] + [\hat{S}_{2i}, \hat{S}_{2j}] = i\hbar \varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{S}_{1k} + i\hbar \varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{S}_{2k}
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow [\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j] = i\hbar \varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{S}_k
$$

Hence it follows that  $[\hat{S}^2, \hat{S}_z] = 0$  and we can construct simultaneous eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}_z$  (total angular momentum magnitude and its z-component)  $|s, s_z\rangle$  where  $s_z = -s, -s + 1, \dots, s - 1, s$ 

The problem is

(i) What are possible eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ ?

(ii) How can we construct the eigenstate  $|s, s_z\rangle$  in terms of the 4 basis states  $(|\uparrow \uparrow \rangle, |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle, |\downarrow \uparrow \rangle, |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle)$ ?

First, note that 4 basis states are eigenstates of  $\hat{S}_z = \hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}.$ 

$$
\hat{S}_z | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle = (\hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}) | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle + \frac{\hbar}{2} | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle = \hbar | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_z | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle = (\hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}) | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle - \frac{\hbar}{2} | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle = 0 | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_z | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle = (\hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}) | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2} | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle + \frac{\hbar}{2} | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle = 0 | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}_z | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = (\hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}) | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2} | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle - \frac{\hbar}{2} | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = -\hbar | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle
$$

Possible eigenvalues of  $\hat{S}_z$  are 0,  $\hbar$ , 0,  $-\hbar$ . But these direct product states are not eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$ in general.

$$
\hat{S}^2 = (\hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2)^2 = \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}_2^2 + 2\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 = \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}_2^2 + 2(\hat{S}_{1x}\hat{S}_{2x} + \hat{S}_{1y}\hat{S}_{2y} + \hat{S}_{1z}\hat{S}_{2z})
$$

### Alternative way to get eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}_z$

In order to obtain eigenstates of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ , using  $\{|\uparrow \uparrow \rangle, |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle, |\downarrow \uparrow \rangle, |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle\}$  as basis state, you can construct and diagonalize the corresponding matrices of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ .

$$
\hat{S}^2 = \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}_2^2 + (\hat{S}_{1+}\hat{S}_{2-} + \hat{S}_{1-}\hat{S}_{2+}) + 2\hat{S}_{1z}\hat{S}_{2z}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}^2|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = (\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 + \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2)|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + 0 + 0 + 2\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\hbar}{2}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = 2\hbar^2|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}^2|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = (\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 + \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2)|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + 0 + \hbar^2|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + 2\frac{\hbar}{2}(-\frac{\hbar}{2})|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \hbar^2(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}^2|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle = (\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 + \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2)|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + \hbar^2|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + 0 + 2(-\frac{\hbar}{2})\frac{\hbar}{2}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle = \hbar^2(|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}^2|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = 2\hbar^2|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle
$$

Then, matrix corresponding to  $\hat{S}^2$ 

$$
\hat{S}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\hbar^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hbar^2 & \hbar^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \hbar^2 & \hbar^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\hbar^2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow |\hat{S}^2 - \lambda \hat{1}| = 0
$$

Diagonalization: eigenvalue and eigenvector

(i) eigenvalue: 
$$
2\hbar^2
$$
, eigenvector:  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (ii) eigenvalue:  $2\hbar^2$ , eigenvector:  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$   
Diagonalize  $\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 2, 0$   
(iii) eigenvalue:  $2\hbar^2$ , eigenvector:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (iv) eigenvalue: 0, eigenvector:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Therefore, we have two basis sets and the transformation between them are given as follows. Uncoupled representation:

$$
|s_1^2, s_2^2, s_{1z}, s_{2z}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \rangle, |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle, |\downarrow \uparrow \rangle, |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle
$$

Coupled representation:

$$
|s^2,s_z,s_1^2,s_2^2\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\text{triplet states} & \text{singlet state} \\ |1,1\rangle=|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ |1,0\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle+|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) & |0,0\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle-|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) \\ |1,-1\rangle=|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \end{array}\right.
$$

Spin31.doc

$$
\hat{s}_{iz} | s_i m_i \rangle = m_i \hbar | s_i m_i \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{s}_i^2 | s_i m_i \rangle = s_i (s_i + 1) \hbar^2 | s_i m_i \rangle , \quad i = 1, 2
$$
  
\n
$$
| s_1 m_1 s_2 m_2 \rangle = | s_1 m_1 \rangle | s_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
 (I)

$$
s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\hat{S}_{1z} | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle = m_1 \hbar | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{S}_1^2 | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle = S_1 (S_1 + 1) \hbar^2 | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{S}_{2z} | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle = m_2 \hbar | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{S}_2^2 | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle = S_2 (S_2 + 1) \hbar^2 | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{S}_z | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle = (\hat{S}_{1z} + \hat{S}_{2z}) | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= (\hat{S}_{1z} | S_1 m_1 \rangle) | S_2 m_2 \rangle + (\hat{S}_{2z} | S_2 m_2 \rangle) | S_1 m_1 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \hbar [ (m_1 | S_1 m_1 \rangle) | S_2 m_2 \rangle + (m_2 | S_2 m_2 \rangle) | S_1 m_1 \rangle ]
$$
  
\n
$$
= (m_1 + m_2) \hbar | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= m \hbar | S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2 \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= m \hbar + m_2
$$
  
\n(V)

$$
\hat{s}^2 = (\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_2)^2 = (\hat{s}_{1x} + \hat{s}_{2x})^2 + (\hat{s}_{1y} + \hat{s}_{2y})^2 + (\hat{s}_{1z} + \hat{s}_{2z})^2
$$



$$
\chi_{s} = \begin{cases}\n\left|11\right\rangle &= & \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{1} \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{2} \\
\left|10\right\rangle &= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left|\beta\right\rangle_{1} \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{2} + \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{1} \left|\beta\right\rangle_{2}\right] \\
\left|1-1\right\rangle &= & \left|\beta\right\rangle_{1} \left|\beta\right\rangle_{2}\n\end{cases}\n\text{triplet states (Symmetric, Ortho or Even)}
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{A} = \left|00\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left|\beta\right\rangle_{1} \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{2} - \left|\alpha\right\rangle_{1} \left|\beta\right\rangle_{2}\right] \text{singlet states (Antisymmetric, Para or Odd)}
$$

$$
\hat{S}_{z} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} - \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\hat{s}_{1z} + \hat{s}_{2z}) (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} - \beta_{1} \alpha_{2})
$$
\n
$$
= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} [\beta_{2} (\hat{s}_{1z} \alpha_{1}) - \alpha_{2} (\hat{s}_{1z} \beta_{1}) + \alpha_{1} (\hat{s}_{2z} \beta_{2}) - \beta_{1} (\hat{s}_{2z} \alpha_{2})]
$$
\n
$$
= \hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} \alpha_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} \beta_{2} - \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}) = 0
$$

**H.W.** 

$$
\hat{S}^{2} = (\hat{s}_{1} + \hat{s}_{2})^{2} = \hat{s}_{1}^{2} + \hat{s}_{2}^{2} + 2\hat{s}_{1} \cdot \hat{s}_{2} = \hat{s}_{1}^{2} + \hat{s}_{2}^{2} + 2\left[\hat{s}_{1z}\hat{s}_{2z} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{s}_{+1}\hat{s}_{-2} + \hat{s}_{-1}\hat{s}_{+2}\right)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \hat{s}_{1}^{2} + \hat{s}_{2}^{2} + 2\hat{s}_{1z}\hat{s}_{2z} + \left(\hat{s}_{+1}\hat{s}_{-2} + \hat{s}_{-1}\hat{s}_{+2}\right)
$$

## **H.W. check the following**

$$
\hat{S}^2 \psi = 0 \psi, \quad \psi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2)
$$
  

$$
\hat{S}_1^2 \psi = \frac{3}{4} \psi, \quad \hat{S}_2^2 \psi = \frac{3}{4} \psi, \quad 2\hat{S}_{1z} \hat{S}_{2z} \psi = 2(-\frac{1}{4}) \psi
$$
  

$$
\hat{S}_{+1} \hat{S}_{-2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (0 - \alpha_1 \beta_2)
$$
  

$$
\hat{S}_{-1} \hat{S}_{+2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\beta_1 \alpha_2 - 0)
$$

$$
\hat{S}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}) = 1(1+1)\hbar^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}),
$$
  

$$
\hat{S}_{z} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}) = 0\hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \alpha_{2})
$$

Prof. Dr. *I. Nasser* Phys- 551 (T-112) October 31, 2013

Q: What is the configuration for the P-orbital ( $\ell$ =1) for the electron in the Hydrogen atom in LSJ-coupling scheme?

Answer: The wave function of the Hydrogen atom can be given by:

$$
\Psi_{total} \equiv R_{n\ell}(r) Y_{\ell,m_{\ell}}(\theta,\varphi) \chi_{\pm} = |n,\ell,m_{\ell}\rangle |s,m_{s}\rangle = |n,\ell,m_{\ell},s,m_{s}\rangle = |n,\ell,s,j,m_{j}\rangle
$$
  
Where  $|\ell=1,s=\frac{1}{2},j=1\pm\frac{1}{2},m_{j}=j,j-1,\cdots,-j\rangle$ .

Here we have two cases;

First case at:

$$
j_{\text{max}} = \ell + s = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2} \implies m_j = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}
$$

And it has **four** degenerate states.

Second case at:

$$
j_{\min} = \ell - s = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \implies m_j = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}
$$

And it has **two** degenerate states.

Start with the highest value  $j_{\text{max}} = \frac{3}{2}$ , so



Using the relation:  $\hat{J}_{\pm} | j, m_j \rangle = \hbar \sqrt{j(j+1) - m_j(m_j \pm 1)} | j, m_j \pm 1 \rangle$ , one finds in the coupled representation:

$$
\hat{J} - \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right|' = \left( \hat{L}_{-} + \hat{S}_{-} \right) \left| 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \tag{*}
$$

LHS of  $(*)$  implies:

$$
\hat{J} - \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right|' = \left[ \frac{3}{2} (\frac{3}{2} + 1) - \frac{3}{2} (\frac{3}{2} - 1) \right]^{1/2} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right| = \sqrt{3} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right|
$$
\n(A)

\nRHS of (\*) implies:

And the RHS of 
$$
(*)
$$
 implies:

$$
\left(\hat{L}_{-}+\hat{S}_{-}\right)\left|1,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \hat{L}_{-}\left|1,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \hat{S}_{-}\left|1,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \left[1(1+1)-1(1-1)\right]^{1/2}\left|0,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \left[\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1)-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-1)\right]^{1/2}\left|1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{2}\left|0,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + 1\left|1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle
$$
\n(B)

Equate the equations (A) and (B), we have:

$$
\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left|0,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left|1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}Y_{1,0}\alpha + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}Y_{1,1}\beta
$$

What is the last equation mean? The last equation indicates that the eigen state  $j, m_j$  is a linear combination of the eigen states  $|l, s\rangle |m_l, m_s\rangle$ .

Spin31.doc

Check your expression: 
$$
\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)' = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{1}}{\sqrt{3}} \left(1, -\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Y_{1,0} \alpha + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} Y_{1,1} \beta
$$
  
\nIs  $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1$ ? Is  $J = L + s$ ? Is  $m_j = m_l + m_s$ ?

H.W. Prove the following

$$
\left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \left| -1, \frac{1}{2} \right| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left| 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} Y_{1,-1} \alpha + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} Y_{1,0} \beta
$$
  

$$
\left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right| = \left| -1, -\frac{1}{2} \right| = Y_{1,-1} \beta
$$

These are the last two states for the value  $j_{\text{max}} = \frac{3}{2}$ . Note that the degeneracy is 3/2  $d_{3/2} = 2 \times \frac{3}{2} + 1 = 4$ 

For the second case, start with the maximum one,  $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  $2^{\prime}$  2  $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , with  $j_{\min} = \frac{1}{2}$  and we will suppose that it take the linear combination form:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right| = c_1 \left|0,\frac{1}{2}\right| + c_2 \left|1,-\frac{1}{2}\right| = c_1 Y_{1,0} \alpha + c_2 Y_{1,1} \beta
$$

From the normalization we have

$$
\left| \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left| c_1 \right|^2 + \left| c_2 \right|^2 = 1
$$

And from the orthogonality with the state  $\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$  $2^{\prime}$  2  $\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$  we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right|} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} c_1} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} c_2} = 0 \implies c_2 = -\sqrt{2} c_1
$$

**From both, we have** 

$$
c_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}
$$

Finally, we reach the relation:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right| = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left|0,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left|1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}Y_{1,0}\alpha + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}Y_{1,1}\beta
$$
  
Using the lowering operator, we can have:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left|0,-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left|-1,\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}Y_{1,0}\beta} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}Y_{1,-1}\alpha}
$$

Suppose we measure  $S_z$  on a system in some state a b  $\langle \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ . Postulate 2 says that the possible results of this measurement are one of the S<sub>z</sub> eigenvalues:  $+\hbar/2$  or  $-\hbar/2$ . Postulate 3 says the probability of finding, say  $-\hbar/2$ , is Prob(find  $-\hbar/2$ ) =  $|\langle \downarrow | \chi \rangle| = |(0 \ 1)$ 2  $\left| \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \end{array} \right|^{2}$   $\left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \end{array} \right|^{2}$ Prob(find  $-\hbar/2$ ) =  $|\langle \downarrow | \chi \rangle|^2 = |(0 \ 1)|\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}|^2 = |b|^2$ . Postulate 4 says that, as a result of this measurement, which found  $-\hbar/2$ , the initial state  $|\chi\rangle$  collapses to  $|\downarrow\rangle$ .

But suppose we measure  $S_x$ ? (Which we can do by rotating the SG apparatus.) What will we find? Answer: one of the eigenvalues of  $S_x$ , which we show below are the same as the eigenvalues of  $S_z$ :  $+\hbar/2$  or  $-\hbar/2$ . (Not surprising, since there is nothing special about the z-axis.) What is the probability that we find, say,  $S_x = +\hbar/2$ ? To answer this we need to know the eigenstates of the  $S_x$  operator. Let's call these (so far unknown) eigenstates  $\left|\uparrow^{(x)}\right\rangle$  and  $\left|\downarrow^{(x)}\right\rangle$  (Griffiths calls them  $\left|\chi^{(x)}_{+}\right\rangle$  and  $\left|\chi^{(x)}_{-}\right\rangle$ ). How do we find these?

**Answer:** We must solve the eigenvalue equation:  $S_{y}|\chi\rangle = \lambda|\chi\rangle$ ,

where  $\lambda$  are the unknown eigenvalues. In matrix form  $(\hat{S}_x) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  $\hat{S}_x$  =  $\frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left(\hat{S}_x\right)\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} &= \frac{\hbar}{2}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 1 \\
1 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} = \lambda\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} \text{ which gives,} \\
\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & \hbar/2 \\
\hbar/2 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} &= \lambda\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} \text{ which can be rewritten as } \n\begin{pmatrix}\n-\lambda & \hbar/2 \\
\hbar/2 & -\lambda\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\na \\
b\n\end{pmatrix} = 0.\n\end{aligned}
$$

In linear algebra, this last equation is called the characteristic equation.

This system of linear equations only has a solution if  $/2$   $\left| -\lambda \right|$   $\hbar/2$ Det  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0$  $/2$   $-\lambda$   $\left| h/2 \right|$  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} -\lambda & \hbar/2 \end{array}\right)$   $\left|\begin{array}{cc} -\lambda \end{array}\right|$  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \hbar/2 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$  =  $\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \hbar/2 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix}$  =  $\hbar/2$   $\vert -\lambda - \hbar \vert$  $\hbar/2$   $-\lambda$  )  $\hbar$ . So  $\lambda^2 - (\hbar/2)^2 = 0 \implies \lambda = \pm \hbar/2$ 

As expected, the eigenvalues of  $S_x$  are the same as those of  $S_z$  (or  $S_y$ ). Now we can plug in each eigenvalue and solve for the eigenstates:

$$
\frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \implies a = b ; \frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \implies a = -b.
$$
  
So we have  $|\uparrow^{(x)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $|\downarrow^{(x)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Now back to our question: Suppose the system in the state  $|\uparrow^{(z)}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $\left\langle \uparrow^{(z)} \right\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we measure  $S_x$ . What is the probability that we find, say,  $S_y = +\hbar/2$ ? Postulate 3 gives the recipe for the answer:

Prob(find S<sub>x</sub> = +*h*/2) = 
$$
|\langle \uparrow^{(x)} | \uparrow^{(z)} \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 = 1/2
$$

**Question for the student**: Suppose the initial state is an arbitrary state a b  $\langle \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  and we measure  $S_x$ . What are the probabilities that we find  $S_x = +\hbar/2$  and  $-\hbar/2$ ?

Let's review the strangeness of Quantum Mechanics.

Suppose an electron is in the S<sub>x</sub> =  $+\hbar/2$  eigenstate  $\left|\uparrow^{(x)}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 1  $\left\langle \uparrow^{(x)} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we ask: What is the value of  $S_x$ ? Then there is a definite answer:  $+\hbar/2$ . But if we ask: What is the value of  $S_z$ , then this is no answer. The system *does not possess* a value of  $S_z$ . If we measure  $S_z$ , then the act of measurement will produce a definite result and will force the state of the system to collapse into an eigenstate of  $S_z$ , but that very act of measurement will destroy the definiteness of the value of  $S_x$ . The system can be in an eigenstate of either  $S<sub>x</sub>$  or  $S<sub>y</sub>$ , but not both.

**HW Check the following:** 







**EXAMPLE 11.4** 

A particle is in the state

 $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} {2 \choose i}$ 

Find the probabilities of

(a) Measuring spin-up or spin-down in the  $z$  direction.

(b) Measuring spin-up or spin-down in the  $y$  direction.

#### **SOLUTION**

(a) First we expand the state in the standard basis  $|\pm\rangle$ :

$$
|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} |+\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} |-\rangle
$$

The Born rule determines the probability of measuring spin-up in the  $z$ direction, which is found from computing  $|\langle + | \psi \rangle|^2$ . In this case we have

$$
|\langle + | \psi \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right|^2 = \frac{4}{5} = 0.8
$$

Application of the Born rule allows us to find the probability of measuring spin-down

$$
|(- | \psi \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} \right|^2 = \left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} \right) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2
$$

Notice that the probabilities sum to one, as they should.

(b) To find the probabilities of finding spin-up/down along the y-axis, we can use the relationship we derived earlier that allows us to express a state written in the  $|\pm\rangle$  in the  $S_y$  states. We restate this relationship here:

$$
|\psi\rangle = \alpha |+\rangle + \beta |-\rangle = \alpha \left(\frac{|+_{y}\rangle + |+_{y}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \beta \left(\frac{-i |+_{y}\rangle + i |+_{y}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{\alpha - i\beta}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|+_{y}\rangle + \left(\frac{\alpha + i\beta}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|-_{y}\rangle
$$

For the state in this problem, we find

$$
\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \left| + \right\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}} \left| - \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \left| + \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right) \left| - \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}} \left| + \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left| - \right\rangle \end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the probability of measuring spin-up along the y-direction is

$$
|\langle +y, |\psi \rangle|^2 = \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{10}}\right)^2 = \frac{9}{10} = 0.9
$$

and the probability of finding spin-down is

$$
|(-y + \psi)|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{10} = 0.1
$$

 $e.g.$ 

 $\overline{v}$ 

#### $\boxed{e_8}$  EXAMPLE 11.5

A spin-1/2 system is in the state

$$
|\psi\rangle=\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\,|+\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,|-\rangle
$$

- (a) If spin is measured in the z-direction, what are the probabilities of fin  $\pm \hbar/2$ ?
- (b) If instead, spin is measured in the  $x$ -direction, what is the probability of fin spin-up?
- (c) Calculate  $\langle S_z \rangle$  and  $\langle S_x \rangle$  for this state.

#### $\boxed{2}$  SOLUTION

(a) The probability of finding  $+\hbar/2$  is found from the Born rule, and so calculate

$$
|\langle + | \psi \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}} \right|^2 = \left( \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left( \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) = \frac{2}{3}
$$

The probability of finding  $-\hbar/2$  is given by

$$
|(-|\psi)|^2 = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{3}
$$

(b) In the chapter quiz, you will show that

$$
|+_x\rangle = \frac{|+ \rangle + |- \rangle}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

From the Born rule, the probability of finding spin up in the  $x$ -direction is  $|\langle +_x | \psi \rangle|^2$ . Now

$$
\langle +_{x} | \psi \rangle = \left( \frac{\langle + | + \langle - |}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}} | + \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} | - \rangle \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \langle + | + \rangle + \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \langle - | - \rangle
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{2+i}{6}
$$

Therefore the probability is

$$
|\langle +_x | \psi \rangle|^2 = \left(\frac{2-i}{6}\right) \left(\frac{2+i}{6}\right) = \frac{5}{6}
$$

(Exercise: Calculate  $|(-x | \psi)|^2$  and verify the probabilities sum to one.)

(c) The expectation values are given by

$$
S_z |\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) S_z |+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_z |-\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) |+\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |-\rangle \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle S_z \rangle = \langle \psi | S_z | \psi \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{1-i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \langle + | + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle - | \right] \left[ \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) |+\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |-\rangle \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{1-i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \langle + | + \rangle + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \langle - | - \rangle \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{\hbar}{6}
$$

For  $S_x$ , recalling that it flips the states (i.e.  $S_x | \pm \rangle = \hbar/2 | \mp \rangle$ ), we have

$$
S_x |\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) S_x |+\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_x |-\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{3}}\right) |-\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |+\rangle \right]
$$

and so the expectation value is

$$
\langle S_x \rangle = \langle \psi | S_x | \psi \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1 - i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \langle + | + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle -| \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1 + i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) | - \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} | + \rangle \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1 - i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle + | + \rangle + \left( \frac{1 + i}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle -| - \rangle \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \right] = \frac{\hbar}{3}
$$

## **Pauli spin matrices**

Often written: S 2  $\vec{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{\sigma}$ , Where  $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are called the *Pauli spin matrices* and they have the following properties:

$$
\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2 = \sigma_z^2 = 1, \quad Tr(\sigma_i) = 0, \quad \det |\sigma_i| = -1,
$$
  

$$
\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = \sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i = 2\delta_{ij}, \qquad (i, j) = (x, y, z)
$$