Model of ferromagnet with Infinite (long) range interaction

See W. D. McComb, "renormalization method, A GUIDE FOR BEGINNERS", (Oxford, 2004). Sec. 7.8

7.8 Validity of mean-field theory

Mean-field theory can be shown to be equivalent to an assumption that each spin interacts equally with every other spin in the lattice: this implies infinite interaction range in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

7.8.1 The model (Kac's Model)

We wish to set up a model in which all spins interact with each other. For N spins, we have effectively $N^2/2$ pairs of spins, so in order to have the same overall energy as the Ising model the interaction of each pair must be proportional to 1/N. Then the total energy behaves as

$$E \sim \frac{N^2}{2} \times \frac{1}{N} \sim N$$

which is correct.

In view of this, let us suppose that the *N* spins have an interaction energy -J/N between each pair and, assuming zero external fields, write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = -\frac{2J}{N} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le N} S_i S_j, \quad J > 0$$
(5.67)

Here $S_i = \pm 1$ for all *i*. Our aim is to obtain the Helmholtz potential for one spin in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$G(T,H) = -k_B T \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z_N$$
(5.68)

To do so, we have to do the following:

1-

$$H = -\frac{2J}{N} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le N}^{N-1} S_i S_j = -\frac{J}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N S_i S_j + \frac{J}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^2$$
(5.69)

Then the following points should be noted:

- i. In the second equality we have abandoned the condition i < j in the double sum therefore each off-diagonal term is now counted twice. Accordingly we drop the factor of two.
- ii. The double sum now includes (erroneously) the diagonal terms, for which i = j, and so we cancel these by adding the last term.
- 2- Now each $S_i^2 = 1$, hence the last term in (5.69) is equal to $N \times J/N$ and so the Hamiltonian (5.67) becomes:

$$H = J - \frac{J}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} S_j \right) = J - \frac{J}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i \right)^2$$
(5.70)

3- Then the expression for the partition function reads:

$$Z_N = \sum_{S_1 = -1}^{1} \dots \sum_{S_N = -1}^{1} e^{\frac{-J}{k_B T}} e^{\frac{J}{N k_B T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i\right)^2} \qquad (5.71)$$

4-

In order to perform summation over $S_1 \ldots S_N$ in (5.71) let us make use of the so-called Stratonovich-Hubbard transformation, based on the equality:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-ax^2 + bx} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \ e^{b^2/4a} \qquad \text{Re}(a) > 0 \tag{5.72}$$

This transformation enables one to represent the function of binary type (term b^2 in the right-hand side) in the from of function depending on the first power of b. In our case we are going to trasform the expression:

$$e^{\frac{J}{Nk_BT}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}S_i\right)^2}.$$
(5.73)

Comparing (5.73) and (5.72) we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i = b, \quad \frac{J}{Nk_B T} = \frac{1}{4a}$$
(5.74)

And finally the exponent (5.73) reads:

$$e^{\frac{J}{Nk_BT} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{Nk_BT}{4\pi J}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x e^{-\frac{Nk_BT}{4J}x^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i x}.$$
 (5.75)

Substitution of (5.75) into (5.71) leads to the following expression for the partition function:

$$Z_{N} = \sum_{S_{1}=-1}^{1} \dots \sum_{S_{N}=-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{Nk_{B}T}{4\pi J}} e^{\frac{-J}{k_{B}T}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{-\frac{Nk_{B}T}{4J}} x^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}x$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{Nk_{B}T}{4\pi J}} e^{\frac{-J}{k_{B}T}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{-\frac{Nk_{B}T}{4J}} x^{2} [2\cosh x]^{N}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{Nk_{B}T}{4\pi J}} e^{\frac{-J}{k_{B}T}} 2^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx [e^{-\frac{k_{B}T}{4J}} x^{2} \cosh x]^{N}, \qquad (5.76)$$

In (5.76) we used: $\sum_{S_i=\pm 1}^{N} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} xS_i} = \sum_{S_i=\pm 1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{xS_i} = [2\cosh x]^N$.

5- Next, we will use the identity $y^N = e^{N \ln(y)}$, then the partition function reads: which can be represented as

$$Z_N = \sqrt{\frac{Nk_BT}{4\pi J}} e^{\frac{-J}{k_BT}} 2^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x e^{N \left\{ -\frac{k_BT}{4J} x^2 + \ln(\cosh x) \right\}}, \qquad (5.77)$$

6- Considering that for large N the main contribution from the integral in (5.77) comes from the region of x where f(x) has a maximum we can evaluate it on base of the steepest decent (Saddle-point) method in the form:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x e^{Nf(x)} = e^{Nf(x_0)} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N\partial^2 f(x)/\partial x^2|_{x=x_0}}},$$
(5.78)

where x_0 means the maximum of function f(x). Applying (5.78) for calculation of the integral in (5.77) we have for the partition function:

Prof. Dr. I. Nasser Ising1D_stepestdescent Phys 630, T-122

$$Z_N = \sqrt{\frac{Nk_BT}{4\pi J}} e^{\frac{-J}{k_BT}} 2^N \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\partial^2 f(x)/\partial x^2|_{x=x_0}}} e^{Nf(x_0)},$$
(5.79)

with

$$f(x) = -\frac{k_B T}{4J} x^2 + \ln \cosh x.$$
 (5.80)

For the Helmholtz potential for one spin we get:

$$\bar{G}(T,H) = -k_B T \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1} \ln Z_N = -k_B T \Big\{ \ln 2 + f(x_0) \Big\}.$$
 (5.81)

In order to find the maximum of function f(x) putting f'(x) = 0 we get:

$$\frac{xk_BT}{2J} = \tanh x. \tag{5.82}$$

Comparing (5.82) with the equation

$$\sigma = \tanh\left(rac{g\mu_BH}{2k_BT} + rac{\sigma}{t}
ight).$$

for H = 0, we see that it is the same as those obtained in the frame of mean molecular field approximation. The behavior of the equation

$$f(x,t) = -tx^{2} + \ln[\cosh(x)]$$

is shown in the following figure. We see that the maximum value of it for t > 1/2 correspond to x = 0, whereas for t < 1/2 it is given by $x \neq 0$. For the critical temperature one has:

 $T = \frac{2J}{2}$

$$L_{c} = k_{B}$$

$$h(1) = f = -t x^{2} + Log[Cosh[x]]$$

$$Out(1) = -t x^{2} + Log[Cosh[x]]$$

$$h(2) = f1 = f /. \{t \to 0.25\}; f2 = f /. \{t \to 0.5\}; f3 = f /. \{t \to 1\};$$

$$h(3) = Plot[\{f1, f2, f3\}, \{x, -4, 4\}, Frame \to True, PlotRange \to \{-2, 0.5\},$$

$$PlotStyle \to \{GrayLevel[0], Dashing[0.01], Dashing[0.03]\},$$

$$PlotLegends \to \{"a=0.25", "a=0.5", "a=1"\}]$$

$$Out(3) = 0.5 - 0.5$$

3