# **Indexing Structures for Files**



- Indexes as Access Paths
- Single Level Indexes
  - Primary Indexes
  - Clustering Indexes
  - Secondary Indexes
  - Properties of Index Types
- Multilevel Indexes
- Dynamic Multilevel Indexes Using B-Trees and B+-Trees
- Indexes on Multiple Keys



- A single-level index is an auxiliary file that makes it more efficient to search for a record in the data file.
- The index is usually specified on one field of the file (although it could be specified on several fields)
- One form of an index is a file of entries <field value, pointer to record>, which is ordered by field value
- The index is called an *access path* on the field.

- The index file usually occupies considerably less disk blocks than the data file because its entries are much smaller
- A binary search on the index yields a pointer to the file record
- Indexes can also be characterized as dense or sparse.
  - A dense index has an index entry for every search key value (and hence every record) in the data file.
  - A **sparse** (or **nondense**) **index**, on the other hand, has index entries for only some of the search values

## - Indexes as Access Paths

Example: Given the following data file: EMPLOYEE(NAME, SSN, ADDRESS, JOB, SAL, ... ) Suppose that: record size R=150 bytes block size B=512 bytes r=30000 records

<u>Then, we get:</u> blocking factor Bfr= B div R= 512 div 150= 3 records/block number of file blocks b= (r/Bfr)= (30000/3)= 10000 blocks


For an index on the SSN field, assume the field size  $V_{SSN}$ =9 bytes, assume the record pointer size  $P_R$ =7 bytes. Then: index entry size  $R_I$ =( $V_{SSN}$ +  $P_R$ )=(9+7)=16 bytes index blocking factor Bfr<sub>I</sub>= B div  $R_I$ = 512 div 16= 32 entries/block number of index blocks b= (r/ Bfr<sub>I</sub>)= (30000/32)= 938 blocks binary search needs log2bI= log2938= 10 block accesses

This is compared to an average linear search cost of: (b/2)= 30000/2= 15000 block accesses If the file records are ordered, the binary search cost would be: log\_b= log\_30000= 15 block accesses



- Defined on an ordered data file
- The data file is ordered on a *key field*
- Includes one index entry for each block in the data file; the index entry has the key field value for the first record in the block, which is called the block anchor
- A similar scheme can use the *last record* in a block.
- A primary index is a nondense (sparse) index, since it includes an entry for each disk block of the data file and the keys of its anchor record rather than for every search value.


# **FIGURE 14.1** Primary index on the ordering key field of the file shown in Figure 13.7.






- Defined on an ordered data file
- The data file is ordered on a *non-key field* unlike primary index, which requires that the ordering field of the data file have a distinct value for each record.
- Includes one index entry *for each distinct value* of the field; the index entry points to the first data block that contains records with that field value.
- It is another example of *nondense* index where Insertion and Deletion is relatively straightforward with a clustering index.

# **FIGURE 14.2** A clustering index on the DEPTNUMBER ordering nonkey field of an EMPLOYEE file.




Clustering index with a separate block cluster for each group of records that share the same value for the clustering field.






- A secondary index provides a secondary means of accessing a file for which some primary access already exists.
- The secondary index may be on a field which is a candidate key and has a unique value in every record, or a nonkey with duplicate values.
- The index is an ordered file with two fields.
  - The first field is of the same data type as some *nonordering field* of the data file that is an *indexing field*.
  - The second field is either a *block* pointer or a *record* pointer. There can be *many* secondary indexes (and hence, indexing fields) for the same file.
- Includes one entry *for each record* in the data file; hence, it is a *dense index*

A dense secondary index (with block pointers) on a nonordering key field of a file.



A secondary index (with recored pointers) on a nonkey field implemented using one level of indirection so that index entries are of fixed length and have unique field values.



# - Properties of Index Types

### TABLE 14.2 PROPERTIES OF INDEX TYPES

Type Of Index	Number of (First-level) Index Entries	Dense or Nondense	BLOCK ANCHORING ON THE DATA FILE
Primary	Number of blocks in data file	Nondense	Yes
Clustering	Number of distinct index field values	Nondense	Yes/no <sup>a</sup>
Secondary (key)	Number of records in data file	Dense	No
Secondary (nonkey)	Number of records <sup>b</sup> or Number of distinct index field values <sup>c</sup>	Dense or Nondense	No


<sup>a</sup>Yes if every distinct value of the ordering field starts a new block; no otherwise.

<sup>b</sup>For option 1.


<sup>c</sup>For options 2 and 3.

- Because a single-level index is an ordered file, we can create a primary index to the index itself; in this case, the original index file is called the first-level index and the index to the index is called the secondlevel index.
- We can repeat the process, creating a third, fourth, ..., top level until all entries of the *top level* fit in one disk block
- A multi-level index can be created for any type of first-level index (primary, secondary, clustering) as long as the first-level index consists of *more than one* disk block
- Such a multi-level index is a form of *search tree*; however, insertion and deletion of new index entries is a severe problem because every level of the index is an *ordered file*.


A two-level primary index resembling ISAM (Indexed Sequential Access Method) organization.



A node in a search tree with pointers to subtrees below it.









- Because of the insertion and deletion problem, most multi-level indexes use B-tree or B+-tree data structures, which leave space in each tree node (disk block) to allow for new index entries
- These data structures are variations of search trees that allow efficient insertion and deletion of new search values.
- In B-Tree and B+-Tree data structures, each node corresponds to a disk block
- Each node is kept between half-full and completely full




- An insertion into a node that is not full is quite efficient; if a node is full the insertion causes a split into two nodes
- Splitting may propagate to other tree levels
- A deletion is quite efficient if a node does not become less than half full
- If a deletion causes a node to become less than half full, it must be merged with neighboring nodes


## -- Difference between B-tree and B+-tree

- In a B-tree, pointers to data records exist at all levels of the tree
- In a B+-tree, all pointers to data records exists at the leaflevel nodes
- A B+-tree can have less levels (or higher capacity of search values) than the corresponding B-tree

B-tree structures. (a) A node in a B-tree with q - 1 search values. (b) A B-tree of order p = 3. The values were inserted in the order 8, 5, 1, 7, 3, 12, 9, 6.




The nodes of a B+-tree. (a) Internal node of a B+-tree with q -1 search values. (b) Leaf node of a B+-tree with q - 1 search values and q - 1 data pointers.




March 24, 2008

## **FIGURE 14.12** An example of insertion in a B+-tree with q = 3 and $p_{leaf} = 2$ .



# **FIGURE 14.13** An example of deletion from a B+-tree.

DELETION SEQUENCE: 5, 12, 9



# END