

# **King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals Computer Engineering Dept**

---

## **COE 543 – Mobile and Wireless Networks**

**Term 082**

**Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud**

**Rm 22-148-3**

**Ext. 1724**

**Email: [ashraf@kfupm.edu.sa](mailto:ashraf@kfupm.edu.sa)**

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

1

## **Material for This Topic**

---

1. Chapter 4: Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing, from "Fundamentals of WiMAX - Understanding Broadband Wireless Networking," by Jeffrey G. Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh, and Rias Muhamed, Printice Hall, 2007.
2. Chapter 6: Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access, from "Fundamentals of WiMAX - Understanding Broadband Wireless Networking," by Jeffrey G. Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh, and Rias Muhamed, Printice Hall, 2007.

The above three references are the minimum required material for this topic. Other related (and used) references are:

1. Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Systems - Amendment 2: Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands, IEEE Std 802.16e™-2005 and IEEE Std 802.16™-2004/Cor1-2005, 28 Feb 2006.
2. Mobile WiMAX – Part I: A Technical Overview and Performance Evaluation – WiMAX forum, August 2006.
3. WiMAX System Evaluation Methodology, WiMAX forum, Sept 2007.
4. Chapter 10: System Level Performance, from "Fundamentals of WiMAX - Understanding Broadband Wireless Networking," by Jeffrey G. Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh, and Rias Muhamed, Printice Hall, 2007.

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

2

---

## ***Chapter 4: Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing***

-  
***From “Fundamentals of WiMAX -  
Understanding Broadband Wireless  
Networking,” by Jeffrey G.  
Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh, and  
Rias Muhamed, Prentice Hall, 2007.***

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

3

## ***Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing***

---

- Recently very popular technology choice
  - Can provide very high bit rates over wireless channels
  - Wireless LANs (802.11a/g/n), digital video broadcasting, WiMAX, 3G LTE
- Two main characteristics
  - Efficient
  - Flexible management of intersymbol interference (ISI)

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

4

## Coherence Bandwidth and Intersymbol Interference


- A mobile/wireless channel is characterized by the multipath intensity profile
- The severity of the multipath effect is characterized by the multipath excess delay ( $T_m$ ) or the RMS delay spread  $\sigma_\tau$

- Multipath excess delay:

$$T_m = \tau_{L-1} - \tau_0$$

- RMS delay:

$$\sigma_\tau = \sqrt{\{E[\tau^2] - (E[\tau])^2\}}$$



$$E[\tau] = \sum (\sigma_i^2 \tau_i) / \sum (\sigma_i^2)$$

$$E[\tau^2] = \sum (\sigma_i^2 \tau_i^2) / \sum (\sigma_i^2)$$

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

5

## Coherence Bandwidth and Intersymbol Interference - continued

- Channel induced ISI occurs when channel response (characterized by  $T_m$  or  $\sigma_\tau$ ) lasts much longer than the duration of the symbol time,  $T_s$
- In the frequency domain: The coherence bandwidth,  $B_c$  is inversely proportional to  $T_m$  or  $\sigma_\tau$ 
  - A good rule of thumb is  $B_c = 1/(5\sigma_\tau)$
- The coherence bandwidth is the range of frequencies that fade or not fade together (statistically)
- High-speed systems: high bit rate,  $R \rightarrow$  Symbol rate,  $R_s$  is high or  $T_s = 1/R_s$  is low
  - Bandwidth for such signal,  $B \approx R_s = 1/T_s$
- When  $T_s \ll \sigma_\tau$  or equivalently  $B \gg B_c \rightarrow$  ISI

5/12/2009


Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

6

## Frequency Selective and Frequency Flat Fading


- Frequency Selective and Frequency Flat Fading

Selective fading: Channel induced ISI – leading to irreducible bit error rate (BER)



Frequency-selective fading ( $B_c < B$ )

flat fading: No ISI – but loss of SNR due to Rayleigh fading (Rayleigh limit of BER)



Frequency-flat fading ( $B_c > B$ )

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

7

## Solutions for the ISI Problem

- Equalization
- Decision Feedback Equalizers (DFE)
- Maximum Likelihood Sequence Estimation (MLSE)
- Spread-Spectrum
- **OFDM**
  - Basic idea – split the high speed symbol stream into parallel slower streams – Then the new symbol duration  $T_s$  is now shorter  $T_m$  or  $\sigma_\tau \rightarrow$  no ISI
  - Multicarrier modulation is one way to achieve this objective
  - OFDM is a special case of multicarrier modulation


5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

8


## Multicarrier Modulation

- Divide the high-rate transmit bit stream into  $L$  lower-rate substreams
  - New symbol rate =  $T_s/L \gg \sigma_\tau \rightarrow$  No ISI
  - $L$  parallel channels
- Subchannels are typically orthogonal



## Multicarrier Modulation – cont'd

- The transmitted multicarrier signal experiences approximately flat fading on each subchannel since  $B/L \ll B_c$ , even though the overall channel experiences frequency-selective fading (i.e.  $B > B_c$ )



## **Shortcomings of Multicarrier Modulation**

---

- Multicarrier modulation is difficult to implement
- Large bandwidth requirement - needed to preserve orthogonality between sub-channels
- Expensive (and accurate) low pass filters required
- Multiple RF units required
  
- OFDM alleviates some of these difficulties!


## **Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing**

---

- No L RF radio in both transmitter and receiver
- OFDM uses efficient computational technique – Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)
  - Implemented through Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) routines – highly optimized
- The FFT and its inverse (IFFT) create orthogonal sub-carriers using a single radio

## Block Transmission with Guard Intervals

- L data symbols grouped into ONE OFDM symbol
- OFDM symbol duration,  $T = L T_s$
- Introduce guard time between OFDM symbols of length  $T_g$
- Since  $T+T_g >$  delay spread of channel  $\rightarrow$  subsequent symbols do not interfere with each other
- However, each symbol will interfere with itself (smearing or spreading)
- How to remove this self-symbol interference?
  - Answer – Circular Convolution



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

13

<http://cnx.org/content/m10087/latest/>

<http://cnx.org/content/m12053/latest/>

## Circular Convolution

- Typical Convolution: (Refer to Linear Time-Invariant Channels)
  - Input signal  $x(n)$  of length  $L$ , system impulse response  $h(n)$
  - Then output  $y(n)$  is given by
 
$$y(n) = x(n) * h(n) = \sum_{\forall k} h(k)x(n-k)$$
- Circular Convolution:
  - Input signal  $x(n)$ , system impulse response  $h(n)$
  - Then output  $y(n)$  is given by
 
$$y(n) = x(n) \otimes h(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} h(k)x(n-k)_L$$
  - $x(n)_L$  is a periodic version of  $x(n)$ ;  $x(n)_L$  is defined as  $x(n \bmod L)$

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

14

## Circular Convolution Properties

- Has similar to those for typical convolution

- Using the DFT operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DFT}\{y(n)\} &= \text{DFT}\{x(n) \otimes h(n)\} \\ &= \text{DFT}\{x(n)\} \text{ TIMES DFT}\{h(n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$Y(m) = X(m) H(m)$$

← Key Result

- DFT for  $x(n)$  is given by  $X(m) \square \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} x(n) e^{-j2\pi nm/L}$
- The IDFT for  $X(m)$  is given by  $x(n) \square \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{m=0}^{L-1} X(m) e^{j2\pi nm/L}$

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

15

## Recovery of Transmitted Symbol at Receiver

- Using the key result of previous slide

$$\hat{X}(m) = \frac{Y(m)}{H(m)}$$

- Therefore, one need to estimate the channel response  $H(m)$  to compute an estimate of the transmitted symbol
  - Effect of noise, interference, etc.
- However, the estimate of the transmitted symbol **is ISI free**
- How to do "produce the effect of" circular convolution?
  - We know channel output is given by the typical convolution summation (integral)
  - We need to make the channel produce the effect of the circular convolution!

5/12/2009


Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

16



## Cyclic Prefix

- Circular Convolution is faked by add a specific prefix, referred to Cyclic Prefix (CP) onto the transmitted sequence
- Sequence to transmit  $x = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{L-1}]$
- Sequence with CP of length  $v$   
 $x_{cp} = [x_{L-v}, x_{L-v+1}, \dots, x_{L-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{L-1}]$



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

17


## Cyclic Prefix – cont'd

- Channel output  $y_{cp} = h * x_{cp}$
- $h$  – channel impulse response - length of  $v+1$
- Length of  $y_{cp} = (L+v)+(v+1)-1 = L+2v$
- The first  $v$  samples of  $y_{cp}$  contain interference from preceding OFDM symbol – discard
- The last  $v$  samples of  $y_{cp}$  contain interference from self symbol – discard
- Use the remaining  $L$  samples of  $y_{cp}$  – these are the samples required to recover the  $L$  data symbols embedded in  $x$
- The remaining  $L$  samples of  $y$  are equivalent to  $x(n) \otimes h(n)$

Discard  $v$  samples

Useful  $L$  samples

Discard  $v$  samples



Refer to proof in chapter 4 of Fundamentals of WiMAX e-book.

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

18

## **Penalties for CP**

- CP –  $v$  redundant symbols are sent;
- Bandwidth - increase the required bandwidth  $B$   
 $\rightarrow B(L+v/L)$
- Power - increase the required transmit power budget by  $10\log_{10}(L+v/L)$  dB
- Rate Loss = Power Loss =  $L / (L + v)$

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

19

This example is based on Example 4.2 in the reference – but the Presentation below is a little bit different!!

## **Example: Numbers from WiMAX**

- Minimum and maximum data rate loss due to CP for WiMAX
- Delay spread (typical mobile/wireless channel) = 5  $\mu$ sec
- The system specifies choices for guard band  $G = \{1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32\}$
- The number of sub-carriers to use  $L = \{128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048\}$
- For  $L = 2048$  (used for  $BW = 20$  MHz or  $T_s = 0.05$   $\mu$ sec) – delay spread lasts for about  $5/0.05 = 100$  symbols
  - Minimum Guard –  $1/32 * 2048 = 64 < 100$  (some ISI remaining)
  - Maximum Guard –  $1/4 * 2048 = 512 > 100$  (no ISI)
- For  $L = 128$  (used for  $BW = 1.25$  MHz or  $T_s = 0.8$   $\mu$ sec) – delay spread lasts for about  $5/0.8 = 6.25$  symbols
  - Minimum Guard –  $1/32 * 128 = 4 < 6.25$  (some ISI remaining)
  - Maximum Guard –  $1/4 * 128 = 32 > 6.25$  (no ISI)
- For mobile WiMAX typical  $L = 1024$  ( $BW = 10$  MHz or  $T_s = 0.1$   $\mu$ sec) – delay spread last for about  $5/0.1 = 50$  symbol
- Default  $G = 1/8$ 
  - Guard –  $1/8 * 1024 = 128 > 50 \rightarrow$  No ISI

$G$  is known as the fractional overhead

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

20

## Frequency Equalization

- One-tap frequency equalization (FEQ) is needed to estimate the transmitted symbol on the  $l^{\text{th}}$  sub-carrier

$$\hat{X}_l = \frac{Y_l}{H_l}$$

- $H_l$  is the *complex* response of the channel at the frequency  $f_c + (l-1)\Delta f$
- $\Delta f$  is the sub-carrier spacing


5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud


21

## OFDM Block Diagram – Operation Steps

1. Break wideband signal into L narrowband sub-carriers (each of B/L)
2. The L sub-carriers for a given OFDM symbol are represented by vector  $\mathbf{X}$
3. To use a single wideband radio instead of L independent narrowband radios, use IFFT operation
4. For IFFT/FFT to combat ISI – add cyclic prefix (CP) of length v after the IFFT
5. The resulting L+v symbols are sent serially on the wideband channel
6. At the receiver, the CP is removed
7. The L received symbols are demodulated using FFT  $\rightarrow$  L data symbols  $Y_l = H_l X_l + N_l$  for sub-carrier l
8. Each sub-carrier is equalized via FEQ to produce an estimate of the transmitted symbol  $\hat{X}_l = Y_l / H_l$



## Baseband OFDM Transmitter in WiMAX



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

23

The textbook states that  $L_d$  is 768; most other references stat that  $L_d$  is 720!  
There are other discrepancies in other figures such as  $T$  and  $B_{sc}$

## Summary of OFDM Parameters

Symbol	Description	Relation	Example WiMAX value
B	System bandwidth	$B = 1/T_s$	10 MHz
L	Number of sub-carriers	Size of IFFT/FFT	1024
G	Guard fraction	Fraction of L for CP	1/8
$L_d$	Data sub-carriers	$L - (120 \text{ pilot} + 184 \text{ null})$	720
$T_s$	Symbol time	$T_s = 1/B$	0.1 $\mu\text{sec}$
$N_g$	Guard symbols	$N_g = GL$	128
$T_g$	Guard time	$T_g = T_s N_g$	12.8 $\mu\text{sec}$
T	OFDM symbol time	$T = T_s(L + N_g)$	102.9 $\mu\text{sec}$
$B_{sc}$	Sub-carrier spacing	$B_{sc} = B/L$	9.76

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

24

## **Example Throughput Calculation**

- WiMAX BW = 10 MHz, On downlink there are 720 data carriers
- If we assume 16-QAM modulation (i.e. 4 bits/symbol)
- Downlink throughput 
$$R = \frac{B}{L} \frac{L_d}{1+G} \log_2(M)$$
$$= 25 \text{ Mb/s}$$
- Assuming a coding rate of 1/2 → throughput = 25/2 = 12.5 Mb/s

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

25

Not of interest for the project – for more details  
Review chapter 4 of the e-book

## **Issues for OFDM**

- Time synchronization
  - Timing offset of the symbol and the optimal timing instants
  - Relatively relaxed requirement since the OFDM symbol structure naturally accommodates reasonable synchronization error
- Frequency synchronization
  - The receiver must align its carrier frequency with that of the transmitter
  - The orthogonality of data symbols is reliant on this perfect alignment
  - Very stringent and significant requirement
- Peak-to-Average Ratio (PAR)
  - In the time-domain the OFDM signal is the sum of a large number of sub-signals → The peak value of the OFDM signal is substantially larger than the average (i.e. high PAR)
  - Presents problems for the power amplifier at the transmitter side
  - One of the very critical problems for OFDM

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

26

---

***Chapter 6: Orthogonal  
Frequency Division Multiple  
Access***  
***Form “Fundamentals of WiMAX -  
Understanding Broadband Wireless  
Networking,” by Jeffrey G.  
Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh, and  
Rias Muhamed, Printice Hall, 2007***

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

27

***What is Orthogonal Frequency  
Division Multiple Access (OFDMA)***

---

- OFDM – a modulation technique that utilizes independent sub-streams of data that can be used by different users
- Single user OFDM systems – all sub-carriers are meant for one user at a time
  - DSL, 802.11a/g and earlier versions of 802.16/WiMAX
- 802.16e-2005 (mobile WiMAX) uses OFDMA – users share sub-carriers and time-slots
- OFDMA allows
  - Increased multiuser diversity,
  - Increased freedom in scheduling (2/3-D scheduling problem)
- OFDMA needs feedback information to determine channel state

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

28

## ***Brief Introduction into Multiple Access Strategies – Classic Methods***

---

- Contention (Random) based
- Frequency Division Multiple Access
- Time Division Multiple Access
- Code Division Multiple Access

## ***Contention-Based Random Access***

---

- ALOHA and Slotted ALOHA
  - Decentralized, efficiency 16% and 32%, respectively
- Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA) – a form of random access used for WLANs
  - Distributed Coordination Function (DCF)
  - Theoretical efficiency  $\sim 60\%$
  - Practical efficiency  $< 50\%$  even for single user systems

## **Frequency Division Multiple Access**

- Classically – divide the overall bandwidth into fixed sub bands – each assigned to user
- Can be implemented using OFDM
- Static allocation of mutually exclusive sets of sub-carriers for users: U1→1-16, U2→17-32, U3→33-48, etc.
- Enforced by a multiplexer for various users before the IFFT operation
- High rate users get more sub-carriers?

- *Dynamic sub-carrier allocation* – is an improvement over static allocation
  - Channel state information is used to allocate the sets of sub-carriers

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

31

## **Time Division Multiple Access**

- Time access is divided into slots
- WiMAX uses FDMA and TDMA
  - Users who has data have “slots” in the frame
- Static TDMA – good for circuit-switch applications
- For packet-based applications, a “smart” scheduler is needed - utilize
  - Queue length
  - Channel state
  - Delay constraints

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

32



## **Code Division Multiple Access**

- Dominant multiple access technique for present 3G systems
- Data signal is spread over a large frequency range, relative to other systems
- HSDPA (3.5G systems) utilize CDMA and dynamic TDMA to allocate the high bandwidth channel for users based on scheduler objectives
- CDMA and OFDM can be combined
  - Multi-carrier CDMA (MC-CDMA)

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

33

## **Classic FDMA, TDMA, and CDMA**




Figure 6.1 (a) FDMA and (b) a combination of FDMA with TDMA




Figure 6.2 CDMA's users share time and frequency slots but use codes that allow the users to be separated by the receiver

5/12/2009


Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

34

**For OFDMA – the scheduling problem is a TWO-DIMENSIONAL Problem: Frequency (sub-carriers) and Time (time-slot)  
- If you include the MIMO features – it becomes three dimensional!**

## Scheduler for OFDMA

- OFDMA – combination of FDMA and TDMA
  - Users are DINAMICALLY assigned sub-carriers (FDMA part) in different time slots (TDMA part)
- The scheduler can exploit channel conditions for each use
  - Requires feedback info regarding channel condition
- For a single user
  - Robust multipath suppression
  - Frequency diversity
- The figure assumes the scheduler sends to one user at time – This may not be the case always
  - The scheduler can use differen sub-carriers for different users at the SAME time




5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

35

## Advantages of OFDMA

- OFDMA – flexible, accommodates many users with widely varying applications, data rates, and QoS requirements
- OFDMA can reduce PARP problem – relative to OFDM
- OFDMA provides/facilitates
  - Multiuser diversity
    - The system capacity increases if it has to select a user or a set of users with good channel conditions
  - Adaptive Modulation
    - The modulation and coding rate can adapt to the channel condition



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

36

## Multuser Diversity

- The main MOTIVATION for adaptive sub-carrier allocation in OFDMA systems is to exploit multuser diversity
- Consider a  $K$ -user system in which the sub-carrier of interest experiences i.i.d. Rayleigh fading.
- Channel gain,  $h_k$ , is independent for all sub-carriers
- The pdf for the channel gain is given by

$$p(h_k) = \begin{cases} 2h_k e^{-h_k^2} & \text{if } h_k \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h_k < 0 \end{cases} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

- Define  $h_{\max}$  to be  $\max(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_K)$ , then YOU CAN show that the pdf for  $h_{\max}$  is given by

$$p(h_{\max}) = 2Kh_{\max} \left(1 - e^{-h_{\max}^2}\right)^{K-1} e^{-h_{\max}^2}$$

- Observe that for  $K = 1$ , the pdf for  $h_{\max}$  SHOULD BE the same as the pdf for  $h_1$ !!

5/12/2009


Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

37

## Multuser Diversity – cont'd

- The PDF for  $h_{\max}$  is depicted in figure below

- As the number of population increases, there is a GREATER chance of finding users of GOOD channel conditions → MULTIUSER DIVERSITY





5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

38

## Multiuser Diversity - Capacity/BER Improvement

- The PDF for  $h_{\max}$  is depicted in figure below



The QPSK BER curves may not be as shown in Figure!

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

39

## Exercise:

- A) Assume a receiver is exploiting multiuser diversity, derive the pdf for  $h_{\max}$  – i.e. derive the formula on slide 36.
- B) Use the derived expression in (A) to compute the an expression for the second moment of  $h_{\max}$  (i.e.  $E[h_{\max}^2]$ ) – You may want to consider using Mathcad or Maple.
- C) Use the result of (B) in plotting the capacity (b/s/Hz) curves versus SNR for different K values – i.e. reproduce the left-hand side plot on previous slide (slide 38).
- D) Derive an expression for the pdf of maximum power envelope and use it along with the QPSK BER for AWGN channel formula to plot the QPSK BER curves versus SNR for different K values – i.e. reproduce the right-hand side plot on previous slide (slide 38).

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

40

## **Adaptive Modulation and Coding**

- Takes advantage of the fluctuation condition of the channel
- Rule: Transmit as high a data rate as possible when channel is good, and transmit at a lower rate when channel is poor
  - Objective – minimize dropped frames or blocks
- High data rate means:
  - Higher order modulation (i.e. more bits/symbol) and
  - Reduced coding rate (i.e. less coding protection)
  - Example 64-QAM with coding rate 3/4
- Lower bit rate mean:
  - Lower order modulation (i.e. less bits/symbol), and
  - Increased coding rate (i.e. stronger coding protection)
  - Example: QPSK with coding rate 1/2
- The combination of what modulation/coding to use is called "*burst profile*" in WiMAX

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

41

## **Burst Profiles Supported in WiMAX**

- From the WiMAX overview Part I white paper: "Support for QPSK, 16QAM and 64QAM are mandatory in the DL with Mobile WiMAX. In the UL, 64QAM is optional. Both Convolutional Code (CC) and Convolutional Turbo Code (CTC) with variable code rate and repetition coding are supported. Block Turbo Code and Low Density Parity Check Code (LDPC) are supported as optional features."

	<b>Downlink</b>	<b>Uplink</b>
<b>Modulation</b>	BPSK, QPSK, 16 QAM, 64 QAM; BPSK optional for OFDMA-PHY	BPSK, 16 QAM; 64 QAM optional
<b>Coding</b>	Mandatory: convolutional codes at 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 5/6 Optional: convolutional turbo codes at 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 5/6; repetition codes at rate 1/2, 1/3, 1/6, LDPC, RS-Codes for OFDM-PHY	Mandatory: convolutional codes at 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 5/6 Optional: convolutional turbo codes at 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 5/6; repetition codes at rate 1/2, 1/3, 1/6, LDPC

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

42

## Burst Profiles and System Throughput

- Refer to slide 25 for how to calculate system throughput taking into account the signal constellation size (M) and the coding rate (r).
- The table shows system throughput increases as the constellation size increases and as r increases

burst profiles

Parameter	Downlink	Uplink	Downlink	Uplink	
System Bandwidth	5 MHz		10 MHz		
FFT Size	512		1024		
Null Sub-Carriers	92	104	184	184	
Pilot Sub-Carriers	60	136	120	280	
Data Sub-Carriers	360	272	720	560	
Sub-Channels	15	17	30	35	
Symbol Period, $T_s$	102.9 microseconds				
Frame Duration	5 milliseconds				
OFDM Symbols/Frame	48				
Data OFDM Symbols	44				
Mod.	Code Rate	5 MHz Channel		10 MHz Channel	
		Downlink Rate, Mbps	Uplink Rate, Mbps	Downlink Rate, Mbps	Uplink Rate, Mbps
QPSK	1/2 CTC, 6x	0.53	0.38	1.06	0.78
	1/2 CTC, 4x	0.79	0.57	1.58	1.18
	1/2 CTC, 2x	1.58	1.14	3.17	2.35
	1/2 CTC, 1x	3.17	2.28	6.34	4.70
	3/4 CTC	4.75	3.43	9.50	7.06
16QAM	1/2 CTC	6.34	4.57	12.67	9.41
	3/4 CTC	9.50	6.85	19.01	14.11
64QAM	1/2 CTC	9.50	6.85	19.01	14.11
	2/3 CTC	12.67	9.14	25.34	18.82
	3/4 CTC	14.26	10.28	28.51	21.17
	5/6 CTC	15.84	11.42	31.68	23.52


5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

43

## Bit Error Rate or Block Error Rate for Different Burst Profiles

- For the same SNR, lower signal constellation size and lower coding rate achieves better BER or BLER



Reference BLER curves in an AWGN channel – from WiMAX System Evaluation Methodology Version 1.7 September 7, 2007 Document


5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

44

## Channel Throughput Using AMC

- Throughput can be computed using:
 
$$T = (1 - \text{BLER}) r \log_2(M) \text{ b/s/Hz}$$
- M is the constellation size, and r is the coding rate
- For any given M and r, the maximum possible throughput (when BLER = 0 or at high SINR) is given by  $r \log_2(M)$ 
  - These are the dotted red straight lines super imposed on the plot
- Note that for 16 QAM with  $r = 3/4 \rightarrow$  no capacity is gained when the SINR is increased from 11 dB to 13 dB
  - To the contrary – the increase in transmit power contributes to higher co-channel interference for neighboring cells!



Throughput versus SINR assuming that the best available constellation and coding Configuration are chosen at each SINR.

A power not always good!

5/12/2009


Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

45

## How To Achieve Adaptability

KEY CHALLENGE

- The transmitter will need to change
  - Coding rate/scheme (ECC Encoder block)
  - Constellation size (Symbol Mapper block)
  - Power assigned to the sub-carrier (Power Control block)
- The change is done based on feedback channel information – reports:
  - BLER (or packet error rate PER) and Channel SINR ( $\gamma$ )



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

46

## Resource Allocation Techniques for OFDMA

- Objective: Take advantage of multiuser diversity and adaptive modulation and coding in OFDMA systems
- The IEEE802.16e-2005 DOES NOT specify algorithms of how to do that
  - This is left for equipment manufacturers and vendors to differentiate their products
  - The standard specify only the possible configurations and parameters to use
- Resource allocation on downlink (in brief)
  - Users estimate and feedback channel state info (CSI) continuously to basestation (BTS)
  - **BTS allocates sub-carriers and power based on CSI using an embedded algorithm**
  - BTS informs users of the schedule (map message broadcast to users)
  - Users tune to their sub-carriers and decode required information

OFDMA project



5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

47

## Two Approaches for Resource Allocation

- (1) Minimize total transmit power with a constraint on the user data rate
  - Appropriate for fixed-rate applications such as voice
- **(2) Maximize total data rate with a constraint on total transmit power**
  - Appropriate for variable-rate applications such as "bursty" data
  - Refer to references in the literature and those sites in chapter 6 of the Fundamentals of WiMAX book.
- The above are formulated a OPTIMIZATION problems with constraints

Focus of project

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

48



## System Parameters – Focusing on Downlink

Notation	Meaning
K	Number of users
L	Number of sub-carriers
$h_{kl}$	Envelope of channel gain for user k in sub-carrier l
$P_{kl}$	Transmit power allocated for user k in sub-carrier l
$\sigma^2$	AWGN power spectrum density
$P_{tot}$	Total transmit power available at the base station
B	Total transmission bandwidth

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

49

## Class 1: Maximum Sum Rate (MSR) Algorithm

- The objective is to maximum the sum of rate of all users
- The signal to interference and noise power ratio for user k on the lth sub-carrier is given by

$$SINR_{k,l} = \frac{P_{k,l} h_{k,l}^2}{\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K P_{j,l} h_{k,l}^2 + \sigma^2 \frac{B}{L}}$$

- Using the Shannon capacity formula as our throughput measure, the MSR should try to maximize the following quantity:

$$\max_{P_{k,l}} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{B}{L} \log_2 (1 + SINR_{k,l})$$

- The total power constraint is give by  $\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L P_{k,l} \leq P_{tot}$

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

50

## Class 1: Maximum Sum Rate (MSR) Algorithm – cont'd

- The sum of sub-carriers capacity is maximized if the capacity per sub-carrier is maximized
- Denote the capacity per sub-carrier by  $C_l$ , then

$$C_l = \sum_{k=1}^K \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{k,l}}{\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K P_{j,l} + \sigma^2 \frac{B}{h_{k,l}^2 L}} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^K \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{k,l}}{P_{tot,l} - P_{k,l} + \sigma^2 \frac{B}{h_{k,l}^2 L}} \right)$$

- $P_{tot,l} - P_{k,l}$  denotes the other users' interference to user  $k$  in sub-carrier  $l$ .
- It is easy to show that  $C_l$  is maximized if all power  $P_{tot,l}$  is allocated to the single user with the largest channel gain in sub-carrier  $l$ .
  - "Greedy" optimization

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

51

## Class 2: Maximum Fairness (MF) Algorithm

- Since channel gain varies by orders of magnitude, there will be users that are starved with MSR
- Maximum fairness (MF) algorithm aims to allocate sub-carriers and power such that *minimum* user's data is maximized.
- Equivalent to equalizing the data rates of all users → maximum fairness
- Problem referred to as max-min problem (maximize the minimum data rate).
- MF is considerable more difficult to solve the MSR
  - Objective function is not concaved
  - Usually sup-optimal solutions are sought – the solution for sub-carrier and power allocation are done SEPARATELY.
- Common solution steps:
  - Assign all sub-carriers have equal power
  - iteratively each available sub-carrier to a low-rate user with the best channel on it
  - Perform optimum water-filling (power allocation) for remaining power budget

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

52

## Class 2: Maximum Fairness (MF) Algorithm – cont'd

- Common solution steps:
  - Assign all sub-carriers have equal power
  - iteratively each available sub-carrier to a low-rate user with the best channel on it
  - Perform optimum water-filling (power allocation) for remaining power budget
- Points of weakness:
  - Rate distribution amongst users is not flexible
  - The total BTS throughput is limited by the worst SINR

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

53

## Class 3: Proportional Rate Constraints (PRC) Algorithm

PRC is a generalization of MF

- Typically, different users have different rate constraints
- Objective: maximize the sum of throughput, with the additional constraint that each user's data rate is proportional to a set of predetermined systems parameters  $\{\beta_k\}$  for  $k=1,2, \dots, K$ .
- The proportional data rates constraint can be expressed as

$$\frac{R_1}{\beta_1} = \frac{R_2}{\beta_2} = \dots = \frac{R_K}{\beta_K}$$

where each user's achieved data rate,  $R_k$  is

$$R_k = \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{\rho_{k,l} B}{L} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{k,l} h_{k,l}^2}{\sigma^2 \frac{B}{L}} \right)$$

$\rho_{k,l}$  can be either 1 or 0, indicating whether sub-carrier  $l$  is used by user  $k$  or not, respectively.

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

54

### ***Class 3: Proportional Rate Constraints (PRC) Algorithm – cont'd***

- For MF – set all  $\beta_k$ 's to 1.
- The PRC optimization problem is generally not easy to solve
  - Mix of variables: continuous ( $P_{k,l}$ ) and binary ( $\rho_{k,l}$ )
  - Feasible set is not convex
- Suboptimal solutions are possible:
  - Do sub-carrier allocation first
  - Next step to assign power

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

55

### ***Class 4: Proportional Fairness (PF) Scheduling***

- All previous algorithms attempt to achieve objective instantaneously
  - i.e. The BTS will solve the assignment problem every slot
  - Every slot, the problem is resolved
- An alternative approach is to attempt to achieve the objective OVER TIME
  - Therefore, the objective is not met PER slot or frame
  - But the average performance of user over the entire session duration satisfies the desired objective
- This formulation adds a third parameter:
  - Throughput,
  - Fairness, and
  - Latency ← The new added parameter

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

56

## **Class 4: Proportional Fairness (PF) Scheduling – cont'd**

- Let  $R_k(t)$  be instantaneous (requested) data rate for user  $k$  at time slot  $t$
- Let  $T_k(t)$  be the average throughput for user  $k$  up to time slot  $t$ .
- At every slot, the scheduler selects the user with the highest  $R_k(t)/T_k(t)$  for transmission – denote this user by  $k^*$
- The average throughput for all users is then updated as

$$T_k(t+1) = \begin{cases} (1-1/t_c)T_k(t) + \frac{1}{t_c}R_k(t) & k = k^* \\ (1-1/t_c)T_k(t) & k \neq k^* \end{cases}$$

- $t_c$  is the latency time-scale – controls the latency in the system

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

57

## **Class 4: Proportional Fairness (PF) Scheduling – cont'd**

- Note that only the average throughput of the user  $k^*$  is increased (since it is selected) – the average throughput for all other users decreases
- Adaptation for OFDMA
  - Let  $R_k(t,n)$  be the data rate for user  $k$  in sub-carrier  $n$  at time slot  $t$ .
  - Let  $\Omega_k(t)$  be the set of sub-carriers in which user  $k$  is scheduled for transmit at time slot  $t$
  - The average throughput is updated as follows:

5/12/2009

Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud

58

## **Class 4: Proportional Fairness (PF) Scheduling – Adaptation for OFDMA**

- Let  $R_k(t, n)$  be the data rate for user  $k$  in sub-carrier  $n$  at time slot  $t$ .
- Let  $\Omega_k(t)$  be the set of sub-carriers in which user  $k$  is scheduled for transmit at time slot  $t$
- The average throughput is updated as follows:

$$T_k(t+1) = (1 - 1/t_c)T_k(t) + \frac{1}{t_c} \sum_{n \in \Omega_k(t)} R_k(t) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$