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## Lecture Contents

1. 

## Cellular Concept

- Optimize the operation of low-power radios spread out over the geographical area
- Cell: service area where a group of mobiles or terminals (referred to as users) are served primarily ${ }^{1}$ by one basestation - usually located at the centre of the cell
- Cell radius depends on the propagation conditions and the network design - ranges from few meters for indoor or microcellular networks to 10 s of kilometers of rural service areas

1. As we will see, there can be situations where a mobile is served by more than one basestation

## Cellular Concept

- A basestation/network provides service for users by coordinating access (time slots, frequency channels, or codes) to channels
- Bandwidth (channels) is (are) split amongst a group of cells - called cluster
- Cluster is repeated to cover a wider geographical area
- Radio coverage is irregular - depending on terrain - first order approximation is the use of hexagonal cells


## Cell Shape

- Radio coverage is irregular - depending on terrain - first order approximation is the use of hexagonal cells
- Other shapes (circular, squares) may be used depending on the intended purpose
- E.g. indoor networks may assume squares/rectangular

coverage in practice
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shape used for design - mostly

## Cellular Design - Frequency Reuse

- If service area is divided into cells as shown, then it is desirable to use one set channels in more than one cell $\rightarrow$ Reuse Concept
- This would increase utilization and overall capacity
- Network design should also take into consideration "co-channel" interference
- Too much reuse may lead to unacceptable signal quality $\rightarrow$ deteriorating capacity
- Function of distance or "Reuse Factor" and communication technology


## Frequency Reuse Factor of 1

- One set of channel is used in every cell
- Interference is expected to be high
- Distance between co-channel cells equal to

$$
D=\sqrt{3} R
$$



## Frequency Reuse Factor of 3

- One set of channel is used in every cell
- Interference is expected to be high
- Distance between co-channel cells equal to

$$
D=3 R
$$


cluster made of 3 cells


## Frequency Reuse Factor of 7

## Example:

Interference is expected to be high

- Distance between co-channel cells equal to

$$
D=\sqrt{21} R
$$

## F1

## Cluster Size and Reuse Distance

- The reuse distance D is given by

$$
D=\sqrt{3 N} R \quad N=i^{2}+j^{2}+i j \quad i, j=0,1,2,3, \ldots
$$

N is the cluster size

| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | sqrt(3) $=1.7$ |
|  | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | $2 \times \operatorname{sqrt(3)}=3.46$ |
|  | 1 | 7 | sqrt(21) $=4.6$ |
| 3 | 0 | 9 | $3 \times$ sqrt(3) $=5.19$ |
|  | 1 | 13 | sqrt(39) $=6.25$ |
| $3 / 24 / 2003$ |  |  | Dr. Ashraf S. |



## Co-channel Interference Ratio

- Distance between co-channel cells
- For example for a reuse factor of 7 (in figure):
- $N=7$
- $D=\operatorname{sqrt}(3 X N) R=\operatorname{sqrt}(21) R$
- $\mathrm{q}=\operatorname{sqrt}(21)=4.58$



## Co-channel Interference Ratio cont'd

- The signal quality is measure as the ratio of the desired signal power to the total interference power
- Referred to SIR (or SNR)
$\frac{S}{I}=\frac{S}{\sum_{\forall k} I_{k}}$

where $S$ is the desired signal power (power received from the home basestation), and I is the total interference power


## 1st Tier of Co-channel Interferers

- 6 interferers (downlink analysis)
- Each at distance of $D / R=\operatorname{sqrt}(3 \mathrm{~N})$
- SIR is given by

$$
\frac{S}{I}=\frac{S}{\sum_{k=1}^{6} I_{k}}
$$

- But the power, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$, received at a distance $d$ is given by
where $\alpha$ is the path-loss exponent

$$
P_{r}=\frac{P_{0}}{d^{\alpha}}
$$



- Therefore the SIR is given by $\frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{6}\left(\frac{D_{k}}{R}\right)^{-\alpha}}$


## 1st Tier of Co-channel Interferers cont'd

- If we make the assumption that $D_{k} \approx D$ for all $k$, then SIR can be written as

$$
\frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{6}(q)^{-\alpha}}=\frac{q^{\alpha}}{6}
$$

## Example 1:

- For AMPS (using analog FM) uses an SIR level of 18 dB . Calculate the reuse frequency factor - Assume a path-loss exponent of 4
- Solution: SIR $=18 \mathrm{~dB}$ or 63.1 (on the linear scale) Using the previous equation, the reuse ratio is given by

$$
q=6\left(\frac{S}{I}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Or $\mathrm{q}=4.41$ and the reuse frequency factor N is given by

Or $6.49 \approx 7$

$$
N=\frac{q^{2}}{3}
$$

## Example 2:

- Consider a cellular system with 395 total allocated voice channel frequencies. If the traffic is uniform with an average call holding time of 120 seconds and the call blocking during the system busy hour is $2 \%$, calculate
a) The number of calls per cell site per hour
b) The mean SIR for cell reuse factors equal to 4, 7, and 12
Assume omni-directional antennas with six interferers in the first tier and a slope for the path-loss of $40 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade


## Example 2: cont'd

- Solution:

For a reuse factor of $N=4$, the number of channels per cell is equal to $395 / 4 \approx 99$ channels

Using Erlang's B formula (tables) for 99 channels and 2\% blocking $\rightarrow$ traffic load $=87$ Erlangs

No of calls per cell site per hour X 120
Since
3,600
therefore, the no of calls per cell site per hour $=87 \times 30=2,610$
$q=\operatorname{sqrt}(3 X N)=3.5$ and SIR $=q^{\alpha} / 6=25$ or $14 d B$

## Example 2: cont'd

- Solution: cont'd

Repeating the calculations for $\mathrm{N}=7$ and $\mathrm{N}=12$, we can write the following table
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { N } & \text { q } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Voice Channels } \\
\text { per Cell }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Calls per Cell } \\
\text { per Hour }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mean SIR } \\
(\mathrm{dB})\end{array} \\
\hline 4 & 3.5 & 99 & \\
7 & 4.6 & 56 & \begin{array}{c}72 \% \\
\text { reduction }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}2610 \\
1376\end{array}
$$ <br>

12 \& 6.0 \& 33 \& 739 \& 18.7\end{array}\right\}\)| $66.4 \%$ |
| :---: |
| increase |

You can note that by increasing N, the SIR is improving, however, the call capacity of the cell site is reduced!!

## 2nd/3rd Tiers of Co-channel Interferers

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ tier of interferers:
- 12 interferers
- Each at distance $2 \mathrm{Xsqrt}(3 \mathrm{~N})$
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ tier of interferers:
- 18 interferers
- Each at distance 3Xsqrt(3N)


## Exercises

- Derive an expression for SIR taking into account the $2^{\text {nd }}$ tier of co-channel interferers Quantify the effect on SIR value of adding the $2^{\text {nd }}$ tier to the formula
- Derive an expression for SIR taking into effect the interferences contributed by the first T ( $\mathrm{T}=$ $1,2,3$, and 4) tiers. - plot the SIR curves versus the path-loss exponent (let $\alpha$ range from 2 to 6 in steps of 0.2 )


## Worst Case Scenario

- Mobile of interest is at the edge of the cell
- Distance of R from cell centre
- Distances from other interfering cells is as shown

$$
\frac{S}{I}=\frac{R^{-\alpha}}{2(D-R)^{-\alpha}+2 D^{-\alpha}+2(D+R)^{-\alpha}}
$$

- Writing this in terms of the reuse ratio

$$
\frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{2\left[(q-1)^{-\alpha}+q^{-\alpha}+(q+1)^{-\alpha}\right]}
$$



- Example: For AMPS: $\mathrm{N}=7, \mathrm{a}=4$, SIR using the above formula is 17.3 dB (lower than previously estimated)


## Directional Antennas

- 3 Sectors case
- Each sector is assigned a set of channels
- No of interferers $=2$ per sector
- SIR is given by $\frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{q^{-\alpha}+(q+0.7)^{-\alpha}}$
- Example: For AMPS: $\mathrm{N}=7, \alpha=$ 4
With 3 sectors per cell, SIR is equal to 24.5 dB (about 7 dBs higher the omni-directional case)



## Directional Antennas - cont'd

- 6 Sectors case
- Each sector is assigned a set of channels
- No of interferers = 1 per sector
- SIR is given by $\frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{(q+0.7)^{-\alpha}}$
- Example: For AMPS: $\mathrm{N}=7, \alpha=$ 4
With 6 sectors per cell, SIR is equal to 29 dB (about 11 dBs higher the omni-directional case)


## Erlang-B Model: Revisited

- Erlang-B formula (refer to notes given during second week of semester)


## Erlang-B Tables (Sample)

| $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})=$ <br> Trunks | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | 0.2 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.031 | 0.053 | 0.075 | 0.111 | 0.250 | 1.000 |
| 2 | 0.153 | 0.190 | 0.223 | 0.282 | 0.381 | 0.471 | 0.595 | 1.000 | 2.732 |
| 3 | 0.455 | 0.536 | 0.603 | 0.715 | 0.899 | 1.057 | 1.271 | 1.930 | 4.591 |
| 4 | 0.870 | 0.992 | 1.092 | 1.259 | 1.526 | 1.748 | 2.045 | 2.944 | 6.501 |
| 5 | 1.361 | 1.524 | 1.657 | 1.877 | 2.219 | 2.504 | 2.881 | 4.010 | 8.437 |
| 6 | 1.913 | 2.114 | 2.277 | 2.544 | 2.961 | 3.305 | 3.758 | 5.108 | 10.389 |
| 7 | 2.503 | 2.743 | 2.936 | 3.250 | 3.738 | 4.139 | 4.666 | 6.229 | 12.351 |
| 8 | 3.129 | 3.405 | 3.627 | 3.987 | 4.543 | 4.999 | 5.597 | 7.369 | 14.318 |
| 9 | 3.783 | 4.095 | 4.345 | 4.748 | 5.370 | 5.879 | 6.546 | 8.521 | 16.293 |
| 10 | 4.462 | 4.808 | 5.084 | 5.529 | 6.216 | 6.776 | 7.511 | 9.684 | 18.271 |
| 11 | 5.160 | 5.539 | 5.842 | 6.328 | 7.076 | 7.687 | 8.487 | 10.857 | 20.253 |
| 12 | 5.876 | 6.287 | 6.615 | 7.141 | 7.950 | 8.610 | 9.477 | 12.036 | 22.237 |
| 13 | 6.607 | 7.049 | 7.402 | 7.967 | 8.835 | 9.543 | 10.472 | 13.222 | 24.223 |
| 14 | 7.352 | 7.824 | 8.200 | 8.803 | 9.730 | 10.485 | 11.475 | 14.412 | 26.211 |
| 15 | 8.108 | 8.610 | 9.010 | 9.650 | 10.633 | 11.437 | 12.485 | 15.608 | 28.200 |
| 16 | 8.875 | 9.406 | 9.828 | 10.505 | 11.544 | 12.393 | 13.501 | 16.807 | 30.190 |
| 17 | 9.652 | 10.211 | 10.656 | 11.368 | 12.465 | 13.355 | 14.523 | 18.010 | 32.181 |
| 18 | 10.450 | 11.024 | 11.491 | 12.245 | 13.389 | 14.323 | 15.549 | 19.215 | 34.173 |
| 19 | 11.241 | 11.854 | 12.341 | 13.120 | 14.318 | 15.296 | 16.580 | 20.424 | 36.166 |
| 20 | 12.041 | 12.680 | 13.188 | 14.002 | 15.252 | 16.273 | 17.614 | 21.635 | 38.159 |

## Erlang-B Tables (Sample)

| $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})=$ <br> Trunks | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | 0.05 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | 0.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 11.092 | 12.041 | 12.680 | 13.188 | 14.002 | 15.252 | 16.273 | 17.614 |
| 21 | 11.860 | 12.848 | 13.514 | 14.042 | 14.890 | 16.191 | 17.255 | 18.652 |
| 22 | 12.635 | 13.660 | 14.352 | 14.902 | 15.782 | 17.134 | 18.240 | 19.693 |
| 23 | 13.429 | 14.479 | 15.196 | 15.766 | 16.679 | 18.082 | 19.229 | 20.737 |
| 24 | 14.214 | 15.303 | 16.046 | 16.636 | 17.581 | 19.033 | 20.221 | 21.784 |
| 25 | 15.007 | 16.132 | 16.900 | 17.509 | 18.486 | 19.987 | 21.216 | 22.834 |
| 26 | 15.804 | 16.966 | 17.758 | 18.387 | 19.395 | 20.945 | 22.214 | 23.885 |
| 27 | 16.607 | 17.804 | 18.621 | 19.269 | 20.308 | 21.905 | 23.214 | 24.939 |
| 28 | 17.414 | 18.646 | 19.487 | 20.154 | 21.224 | 22.869 | 24.217 | 25.995 |
| 29 | 18.226 | 19.493 | 20.357 | 21.043 | 22.143 | 23.835 | 25.222 | 27.053 |
| 30 | 19.041 | 20.343 | 21.230 | 21.935 | 23.065 | 24.803 | 26.229 | 28.113 |
| 31 | 19.861 | 21.196 | 22.107 | 22.830 | 23.989 | 25.774 | 27.239 | 29.174 |
| 32 | 20.685 | 22.053 | 22.987 | 23.728 | 24.917 | 26.747 | 28.250 | 30.237 |
| 33 | 21.512 | 22.913 | 23.869 | 24.629 | 25.846 | 27.722 | 29.263 | 31.302 |
| 34 | 22.342 | 23.776 | 24.755 | 25.532 | 26.778 | 28.699 | 30.277 | 32.367 |
| 35 | 23.175 | 24.642 | 25.643 | 26.438 | 27.712 | 29.678 | 31.294 | 33.435 |
| 36 | 24.012 | 25.511 | 26.534 | 27.346 | 28.649 | 30.658 | 32.312 | 34.503 |
| 37 | 24.852 | 26.382 | 27.427 | 28.256 | 29.587 | 31.641 | 33.331 | 35.572 |
| 38 | 25.694 | 27.256 | 28.322 | 29.168 | 30.527 | 32.624 | 34.351 | 36.643 |
| 39 | 26.539 | 28.132 | 29.219 | 30.083 | 31.469 | 33.610 | 35.373 | 37.715 |

## Example 3:

- Compare the spectral efficiency of the digital system (IS54) with that of the analog system (AMPS) using the following data:
- The total \# of channels is 416
- The \# of control channels = 21 (i.e. 395 channels for voice)
- The channel bandwidth is 30 kHz
- The reuse factor, $\mathrm{N}=7$
- The total available bandwidth for each direction $=12.5 \mathrm{MHz}$
- Coverage area $=10,000 \mathrm{~km} 2$
- The required SIR for AMPS = 18 dB (or 63.1)
- The required SIR for IS-54 = 14 dB (or 25.1)
- Call blocking $=2.5 \%$


## Example 3: cont'd

- Solution:

Analog System:
\# of voice channels per cell site $=395 / 7=56$
The offered traffic load (using Erlang-B tables @ 2\% blocking) = 45.6 Erlangs per cell site
The carried load $=(1-2 \%)$ X45.6 $=44.98$ Erlangs $/$ cell site

Digital System:
\# of channels per $30 \mathrm{kHz}=3 \rightarrow$ \# of voice channels per cell site $=56 \mathrm{X} 3=168$
Offered traffic load $=154.5$ Erlangs per cell site
Carried traffic load $=(1-2 \%)$ X154.5 $=151.4$ Erlangs per cell site
$151.4 \times\left(10,000 /\left(2.6 R^{2}\right)\right)$
 $12.5 \times 10,000$

Relative (Digital to Analog) Efficiency $=7.386 / 1.384=5.34$

## Example 4:

- Consider a cellular system with 395 total allocated voice channels of 30 kHz each. The total available bandwidth in each direction is 12.5 MHz . The traffic is uniform with average call holding time of 120 seconds, and call blocking during the system busy hour is $2 \%$. Calculate:
a) The calls per cell site per hour
b) The mean SIR
c) The spectral efficiency in Erlangs/km²/MHz
d) The reuse factor, $\mathrm{N}=7$

For a cell reuse factor of N equal to 4,7 , and 12 , respectively, and for omni-directional, $120^{\circ}$, and $60^{\circ}$ systems, calculate the call capacity.
Plot spectral efficiency versus cell radius for $\mathrm{N}=7$ and comment on the results. Assume that there are 10 mobiles $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ with each mobile generating traffic of 0.02 Erlangs. The slope of path loss is $\alpha=40$ dB per decade

## Example 4: cont’d

- Solution:

Considering the first tier of interferers - SIR is given by Mean SIR $=1 / \Sigma\left(q_{i}^{-\alpha}\right)$ for all interfering ith co-channel, or Mean SIR $=\mathrm{q}^{-\alpha} / \mathrm{m}$, assuming m co-channels all at distance D
In decibels,

$$
\text { Mean SIR }=\alpha 10 \log (\operatorname{sqrt}(3 N))-10 \log m
$$

where $\alpha$ is the path loss component, and
$m$ is the number of interferers ( $m=6$, for omni- directional, $m=$ 2 for $120^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{m}=1$ for $60^{\circ}$ )
The traffic per cell site $=\mathrm{V}$ X t X Ac
where $V=$ no of mobile per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$
$\mathrm{t}=$ traffic in Erlangs per mobile
$\mathrm{Ac}=$ area of cell $=2.6 \mathrm{R}^{2}$
$\rightarrow$ Therefore traffic per cell site $=10 \times 0.02 \times 2.6 R^{2}=0.52 R^{2}$

## Example 4: cont'd

- Solution:cont'd

Traffic carried per cell X Nc
The spectral efficiency $=$
Total BW X Total Area
Traffic carried per cell
$=$
Total BW X 2.6 R²
For $\mathrm{N}=7$ and $120^{\circ}$ sectorized cell site:
No of voice channels per sector $=395 /(7 X 3)=19$
Offered traffic per sector $=12.3$ Erlangs or 36.9 Erlangs per cell site
Carried traffic per cell site $=(1-0.02) \times 36.9=36.2$ Erlangs
But carried traffic $=$ No of calls per cell site per hour X 120/3600
$\rightarrow$ No of calls per cell site per hour $=1,086$
$\rightarrow$ Cell radius $\mathrm{R}=\operatorname{sqrt}(36.2 / 0.52)=8.3 \mathrm{~km}$
Spectral efficiency $=36.2 /\left(2.6 \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{X}\right.$ 12.5 $)=0.0162$ Erlangs $/ \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{MHz}$
Mean SIR $=40 \log \operatorname{sqrt}(3 X 7)-10 \log 2=23.43 \mathrm{~dB}$

## Example 4: cont'd

- Solution: cont'd

The previous calculations can be repeated for all other combinations ( N , no of sectors) Comments:

1. Sectorization reduces co-channel interference and improves mean SIR for a given N. However it reduces trunking efficiency since available channels per sector are fewer. As a result spectral efficiency is lower if N is kept fixed
2. Since a sectorized cellular system has fewer co-channel interferers it is possible to reduce cluster size ( N ) and hence improve the spectrum efficiency of the overall system
3. If an SIR of 18 dB is required for AMPS to work properly, this can be provided by ( N , $S)=(7,1),(4,3)$, or $(3,6)$
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## Capacity Expansion Techniques

- Use of Directional Antennas (refer to previous slides)
- Cell Splitting
- Lee's Mircocell Method
- Overlaid Cells
- Use of Smart Antennas


## Cell Splitting

- Splitting cells into smaller cells and allowing additional channels in the smaller cells:
- A smaller cell "a" is introduced - half the area of the original cell
- Channels used in cells "A" are reused in "a" to minimize interference
- Problems \& solutions:
- If the transmit power of " $a$ " is the same as other BSs
- "a" point of view: SIR is maintained
- "A" point of view: SIR is increased (reuse distance is decreased by half)
- Solution1: reduce the power of "a" but SIR for "a"
 increases!
- Solution2: channels used within "a" are used in " $A$ " only at distances of less than $R / 2 \rightarrow$ Overlaid cell concept
- Downside of cell splitting:
- Reduced capacity of the bigger cell
- Increased handoffs


## Lee's Microcell Method

- Use of direct sectorization leads to reduced truncking efficiency since channels are partitions between different sectors
- Leads to increased handoffs too
- Lee's microcell:
- One BS but three zone-sites located at the corners of the cells
- All three zone-sites act as receivers
- ONLY one zone-site transmits to the mobile (the BS chooses the one which has best reception from mobile) $\rightarrow$ LOW interference
- Cluster size can be reduced to 3 from $7 \rightarrow$ Capacity


Check the example 5.12 in the book [Pahlavan]
Mahmoud

## Using Overlaid Cells

- Channels are divided among a larger macrocell that exists with a smaller microcell contained entirely within the macrocell
- Same BS serves both macro- and microcells
- R1 and D1 are the radius and reuse distance for the macrocells
- R2 and D2 are the radius and reuse distance for the microcells
- By design: $D_{2} / R_{2}>D_{1} / R_{1}$ $\rightarrow$ SIR $_{\text {microcells }}>$ SIR $_{\text {macrocells }}$
- Use this increase in system SIR in gaining capacity (e.g. reducing BW requirement)


## Using Overlaid Cells - Example

- AMPS - underlay network:
- Channel $=30 \mathrm{kHz}$, SIR requirement $=18 \mathrm{~dB}$
- AMPS - overlay network:
- Channel $=15 \mathrm{kHz}$, SIR requirement $=24 \mathrm{~dB}$
- From the layout:

$$
10 \log \frac{\left(D_{2} / R_{2}\right)^{4}}{\left(D_{1} / R_{1}\right)^{4}}=6 \mathrm{~dB}
$$

If $N=7$ and $D_{1}=D_{2} \rightarrow R_{2}=1 / \operatorname{sqrt}(2) R_{1}$
Therefore, the area for the microcell, $A_{2}$, is half of the area for the macrocell, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$
$\rightarrow A_{2}$ and $A_{1}-A_{2}$ are equal
Let $M$ be the no of channel available to the overlay and underlay cells, therefore $15 \mathrm{kHzXM}+30 \mathrm{kHzXM}=$ total traffic BW of AMPS = $395 X 30 \mathrm{kHz} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}=263$
Hence, for a full hexagonal cell, the number of available channels is equal to $2 X M / N=526 / \mathrm{N}$ or $526 / 395134 \%$ more channels compared to the non-overlaid network

## Reuse Partitioning

- Channels are devided among larger macrocells and smaller microcells
- BW in both cells remains the same
- Since $R_{2}$ (radius for microcells) is less than $R_{1}$ (radius for macrocells) $\rightarrow$ Received signal is better (for the same tx cell site power) $\rightarrow$ microcells has lower co-channel interference level
- Therefore microcells can employ a smaller frequency reuse factor
- Complexity of BS - handoffs


## Reuse Partitioning - Example

- Uderlay-network: N1 = $7 \rightarrow$ D1/R1 $=4.6$ (provides the required SIR = 18 dB )
- For the overlay network, if we deploy N2 $=3$, then D2 = 3 R1 (from geometry)
- But to maintain the required SIR, D2/R2 should equal to 4.6 , or $3 R 1 / R 2=4.6 \rightarrow \mathrm{R} 2=0.652 \mathrm{R} 1$
- Distributing the total channels, $\mathrm{Nc}=395$, according to area, then the $L$ channels per cell site is given by $\mathrm{Nc}=7 \mathrm{X}\left(1-0.652^{2}\right) \mathrm{XL}+3 \mathrm{X} 0.652^{2} \mathrm{XL} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}=75$
- Overlay cell uses $=32$ channels while the underlay cell uses $=43$ channels
- Original AMPS provides Nc/7 = 56 channels per cell site $\rightarrow$ New capacity $=75 / 56=134 \%$


## Smart Antenna

- Users can use same physical channel as long as they are not located in the same region with respect to the BS - referred to as spacedivision multiple access (SDMA)
- A narrow antenna beam is directed towards the mobile of interest
- Co-channel interference is greatly reduced $\rightarrow$ reduced frequency factor $\rightarrow$ increased capacity
- Example:
- FH-GSM study reported new capacity $=300 \%$
- CDMA study reported new capacity $=500 \%$


## Cellular Concept
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