Chapter 1.5

Page 1:

Good morning, everyone. Welcome back to Phys 608, Laser Spectroscopy. I'm Distinguished Professor Dr M A Gondal, and today, we embark on a fascinating new topic, one that beautifully illustrates the cleverness and versatility of laser-based techniques. We're going to dive into Chapter 1.5, focusing on a method known as Optogalvanic Spectroscopy.

This technique is a personal favorite of mine because it embodies a truly elegant concept in experimental physics: using the sample itself as the detector. It’s a powerful method that sidesteps many of the challenges associated with direct optical detection, and as we'll see, it opens up a whole world of systems that are otherwise difficult to study. So, let's begin our exploration of Optogalvanic Spectroscopy.

Page 2:

Alright, let's start with the most fundamental question: What exactly *is* Optogalvanic Spectroscopy?

At its heart, it is an experimental technique designed to detect laser-induced changes in the electrical characteristics of a gas discharge. Now, let’s unpack that statement because it contains the entire core concept.

Imagine a gas discharge, like what you’d find in a neon sign. It’s a weakly ionized gas, a plasma, with a steady electrical current flowing through it. This current is sustained by a delicate balance of ionization and recombination processes. Now, what happens if we shine a laser beam,

precisely tuned to an atomic transition of the species in that discharge, through the plasma?

This brings us to our second point. The technique operates by observing how the absorption of photons at a very specific, well-defined optical transition alters the population of the atomic or ionic energy levels. When we use a laser to move an atom from a lower energy state to a higher energy state, we are not just changing its internal energy; we are subtly changing its properties. Specifically, we might be changing how easily it can be ionized by collisions with electrons in the plasma.

If we move population to a level that is more easily ionized, we will create more ions and electrons, and the overall conductivity of the plasma will increase. If, perhaps counter-intuitively, we move population to a level that is *less* likely to be ionized, the conductivity will decrease. In either case, this laser-induced perturbation of the atomic state populations modifies the overall ionization balance of the plasma. And a change in the ionization balance means a change in the plasma's impedance, which we can measure as a change in the discharge current or voltage.

The name itself tells the story: "Opto" for the optical laser interaction, and "galvanic" for the resulting electrical signal we measure. It's a beautiful marriage of atomic physics and plasma physics.

Page 3:

So, we've established that shining a resonant laser into the discharge changes its electrical properties. How do we turn this into a useful spectroscopic signal?

This is where the genius of the technique really shines. The ensuing change in the discharge current—this tiny perturbation we've created—is converted into a measurable voltage signal. Typically, this is done by passing the discharge current through a load resistor. Any change in the current, Δ I ΔI, will produce a change in voltage, Δ V ΔV, across that resistor according to Ohm's Law. This allows for incredibly sensitive laser spectroscopy *without directly measuring any optical power*.

Think about what this means. We don't need to measure the faint amount of light absorbed by the sample. We don't need a photodiode at the end of our experiment trying to detect a minuscule drop in laser power. Instead, we are observing an electrical signal generated *within* the sample itself. The plasma acts as its own transducer, converting an optical absorption event into an electrical signal, which is often much easier and less noisy to measure.

This unique detection scheme provides access to the spectra of a wide varietys of species. We can study stable atoms and ions, of course. But we can also look at molecules, highly reactive radicals like OH or CN which are critical in combustion, and even excimers—these are exotic molecules that are only stable in an excited state. These species are often present in highly excited, unstable, or short-lived conditions within a plasma, making them perfect candidates for optogalvanic interrogation. We are essentially probing the very heart of the discharge environment.

Page 4:

Now let's visualize how this is put into practice. On this slide, we have a schematic of a typical Optogalvanic Spectroscopy setup. Let’s walk through it piece by piece.

On the far left, we have our light source: a Tunable Laser. The tunability is key, as we need to be able to scan the laser's frequency across the atomic transitions of interest. A red line, representing the laser beam, exits the laser and is directed straight through the heart of our experiment: the Glow Discharge Tube.

This tube is a sealed glass container filled with a low-pressure gas. Inside, we have two electrodes: a Cathode, labeled with a minus sign, and an Anode, labeled with a plus sign. These electrodes are connected to a High Voltage Power Supply, which creates the potential difference needed to sustain the plasma, or glow discharge, which is depicted as a luminous pinkish region between the electrodes.

Now, let's trace the electrical circuit. The Anode is connected to the positive terminal of the power supply. The Cathode, however, is not connected directly to the negative terminal or ground. Instead, it is connected in series with a circuit designed to pick off our signal. This circuit consists of a load resistor, labeled R R, and a capacitor, C C. The discharge current flows through the resistor R R to ground.

The Optogalvanic Signal, which is the small, laser-induced voltage change, is measured across this resistor R R. The notation here is Δ U ΔU, which represents this voltage change. The capacitor C C acts as a high-pass filter, blocking the large DC voltage of the discharge while allowing the

small, time-varying AC signal—our optogalvanic signal—to pass through to the detection electronics.

Finally, the signal is fed into a Lock-in Amplifier or an Oscilloscope. As we'll discuss later, using a lock-in amplifier is crucial for achieving the high sensitivity this technique is known for, as it allows us to pull a very weak, modulated signal out of a noisy background.

So, in summary: the tunable laser changes the plasma's impedance, which changes the current, which creates a voltage change across resistor R R, which is then measured. A beautifully simple and powerful setup.

Page 5:

Let's zoom in on the core of the experiment, the discharge environment itself, and understand its key physical ingredients. First, we are dealing with a glow or hollow-cathode discharge. These are ideal for our purposes because they sustain a low-pressure plasma. The slide notes typical pressures ranging from 0.1 mbar 0.1 mbar to 10 mbar 10 mbar. This low pressure is important because it minimizes pressure broadening of the spectral lines, giving us high resolution. It also ensures that the mean free path of particles is long enough for the plasma dynamics to be manageable.

Second, what's actually *in* this plasma? It's a rich soup of charge carriers. We have positive ions, denoted as A + A^+, which are atoms that have lost an electron. We have free electrons, e − e^-, which carry much of the current. And, of course, we have a large population of neutral atoms, denoted A A. Critically, these neutral atoms are not all in the ground state.

The collisions within the plasma excite them into a wide variety of energy levels, which we label as E j E_j. It's these populated excited levels that we will probe with our laser.

Third, we have the external electrical circuit. As we saw in the diagram, this circuit maintains a steady direct current, or D.C. current, which we'll call I 0 I_0, through the plasma. This current flows through a series resistor, R R, under a constant supply voltage from the power supply, U 0 U_0. The resistor R R serves two purposes: it helps to stabilize the discharge, and, as we've seen, it's the element across which we measure our signal voltage. The steady-state plasma is the baseline against which we measure the small, laser-induced changes.

Page 6:

Continuing with our description of the discharge environment, there's a very important concept we need to grasp, especially in the context of low-pressure plasmas.

The bullet point here states that the electron kinetic temperature, which we denote as T e T_e, and the heavy-particle temperature, T g T_g, generally differ. This is a hallmark of a non-equilibrium plasma.

Let's think about why this is. The external electric field primarily accelerates the light electrons. They gain a lot of kinetic energy between collisions. The heavy particles—the ions and neutral atoms—are much more massive and don't accelerate as effectively. Furthermore, energy transfer in collisions between light electrons and heavy atoms is inefficient due to the large mass mismatch. The result is that the electrons can have a very high

average kinetic energy, which we can describe by an "electron temperature" T e T_e that might be tens of thousands of Kelvin. At the same time, the gas of heavy atoms and ions can remain relatively cool, perhaps only slightly above room temperature. We call this T g T_g, the gas temperature.

This is fundamentally important for optogalvanic spectroscopy. Why? Because the ionization processes in the plasma are dominated by collisions with these highly energetic electrons. The ionization *rate* is therefore extremely sensitive to the electron temperature, T e T_e. When our laser alters the populations of atomic levels, it not only changes the number of atoms susceptible to ionization but can also subtly alter the energy balance of the plasma, leading to small changes in T e T_e itself.

So, optogalvanic spectroscopy indirectly probes modifications of this electron temperature, T e T_e, through the primary effect of laser-induced population changes. It's a complex, coupled system, but it's this very complexity that provides the rich information we seek.

Page 7:

Now let's move from the environment to the action itself. We will break down the laser-plasma interaction, the optical pumping step, in a step-by-step manner.

First, as we saw in the schematic, the laser beam traverses a part of the discharge column. An important practical advantage of this technique is its sensitivity. We often don't need powerful, expensive lasers. Power levels of only a few milliwatts are frequently sufficient to produce a measurable

signal. This is because we are not trying to saturate or overpower the plasma; we are just trying to induce a small, detectable perturbation.

The second, and most crucial, step is that the laser frequency, which we denote with the Greek letter v ν, is swept across an allowed atomic transition. This means we are tuning the laser so that the photon energy, h v $h\nu$, precisely matches the energy difference between a lower energy level, E i E_{i}, and an upper energy level, E k E_{k}.

The slide represents this as a transition:

E i → E k .

$$E_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow E_{\mathrm{k}}.$$

Here, E i E_{i} is the initial or lower level energy, measured in Joules. And E k E_{k} is the final or upper level energy, also in Joules. When the laser is on resonance, atoms in level 'i' will absorb photons and be promoted to level 'k'. This is the act of optical pumping that lies at the heart of the experiment. As the laser frequency is scanned, we will see a signal only when it hits one of these resonances. Therefore, a plot of the optogalvanic signal versus laser frequency gives us the absorption spectrum of the species in the discharge.

Page 8:

Let's quantify this optical pumping process a bit more.

The first point here introduces the absorption rate per particle, W a b s W_{abs}. This tells us the probability per unit time that a single atom in the initial state will absorb a photon. The equation is given as:

W a b s = σ (v) Φ γ

$$W_{\text{abs}} = \sigma(\nu)\, \Phi_{\gamma}$$

Let's break this down.

σ (v) $\sigma(\nu)$, written as the Greek letter sigma as a function of frequency nu, is the absorption cross-section. This is a fundamentally important quantity in spectroscopy. You can think of it as the effective target area that the atom presents to the incoming photons for absorption. It has units of area, meters squared, and it is sharply peaked at the resonant frequency of the transition.

The second term, Φ γ Φ_{γ}, written with a capital Greek letter Phi and a subscript gamma, is the photon flux density. This is simply the number of photons passing through a unit area per unit time. Its units are photons per meter squared per second.

So, the absorption rate is just the product of the effective target area and the rate at which photons are arriving. This makes intuitive sense.

Now, what is the consequence of this absorption? The next bullet point makes it clear: Photon absorption redistributes the level populations.

Let's say that before the laser is turned on, the population of the lower level is n i 0 n_{i0}, and the upper level is n k 0 n_{k0}. When we shine the laser on the system, some atoms are moved from the lower level to the upper level.

So the new population of the lower level, n i n_{i}, becomes the original population, n i 0 n_{i0}, minus some amount, Δ n i Δn_{i}:

n i = n i 0 − Δ n i

$$n_{\mathrm{i}} = n_{i0} - \Delta n_{\mathrm{i}}$$

Simultaneously, the new population of the upper level, n k n_{k}, becomes its original population, n k 0 n_{k0}, plus some amount, Δ n k Δn_{k}:

n k = n k 0 + Δ n k

$$n_{\mathrm{k}} = n_{k0} + \Delta n_{\mathrm{k}}$$

The key here is the change, Δ n Δn. For a simple, isolated two-level system, for every atom that leaves the lower state, one must arrive in the upper state. Therefore, the magnitude of the population change is the same for both levels: Δ n i = Δ n k $\Delta n_{\mathrm{i}} = \Delta n_{\mathrm{k}}$. We are simply shuffling atoms between these two energy states. And as we will see on the next slide, this shuffling is what generates our electrical signal.

Page 9

We've optically pumped the atoms, changing the populations of levels E i E_{i} and E k E_{k}. Now we arrive at the core mechanism that produces the "galvanic" part of the signal. The key concept is Ionization Probability.

The first bullet point states that each energy level, E j E_{j}, possesses a total ionization probability. We denote this as I P (E j) $IP(E_{\mathrm{j}})$. This quantity represents the probability per unit time that an atom residing in level E j E_{j} will be ionized—that is, it will become a positive ion, A + A^{+}—due to all possible processes in the plasma, primarily collisions with energetic electrons.

Now, here is the crucial insight, articulated in the second point: This ionization probability, I P (E j) $IP(E_j)$, varies greatly from level to level. An atom in a high-lying Rydberg state, for instance, is much closer to the ionization continuum and is far easier to ionize than an atom in a low-lying excited state.

Because of this difference, the laser-induced population change, Δ n j Δn_j, directly alters the *net ion production rate*. Think about it: we've used the laser to take a population of Δ n Δn atoms from level i i and move them to level k k. If the ionization probability of level k k, I P (k) $IP(k)$, is higher than that of level i i, I P (i) $IP(i)$, we have just made it easier for that group of atoms to be ionized, and the total rate of ion production in the plasma will increase. If I P (k) $IP(k)$ is lower than I P (i) $IP(i)$, the net rate will decrease.

This change in the ion production rate is the final link in the chain. It results in an incremental ion current, which is precisely the electrical signal we set out to measure. We have successfully converted an optical absorption event into a change in the electrical current of the discharge.

Page 10:

Let's now write down the mathematical expression for this change in current and the resulting voltage signal that we measure.

The first equation gives the change in current, Δ I ΔI. It reads:

Δ I = e [Δ n k I P (E k) − Δ n i I P (E i)] V int .

$$\Delta I = e[\Delta n_k I_P(E_k) - \Delta n_i I_P(E_i)]V_{int}.$$

Let's deconstruct this.

' e e' is the elementary charge, 1.602 × 10 − 19 1.602×10^{-19}\,C. This converts a number of ions created per second into a current.

The term in the brackets represents the net change in the rate of ionization. Δ n k Δn_k is the population *increase* in the upper level, multiplied by its ionization probability, I P (E k) $I_P(E_k)$. This is the rate of *new* ionization we've created. From this, we subtract the ionization that we *lost* by depopulating the lower level: Δ n i Δn_i times I P (E i) $I_P(E_i)$.

V int V_{int} is the effective plasma interaction volume, in cubic meters. This is the volume of the plasma that is being irradiated by the laser beam. So we multiply the change in ionization rate density by the volume to get the total change in ionization rate.

The next bullet point shows us how we get our measured signal, the voltage change Δ U ΔU. Using Ohm's Law, Δ U ΔU is simply the change in current, Δ I ΔI, multiplied by the resistance of our load resistor, R R. Substituting our expression for Δ I ΔI, and remembering that for a two-level system, the magnitude of the population change is the same, so Δ n i = Δ n k $\Delta n_i = \Delta n_k$, we can simplify this. The slide shows a slightly rearranged but equivalent form:

Δ U = R Δ I = a [Δ n i I P (E i) − Δ n k I P (E k)] .

$$\Delta U = R\,\Delta I = a[\Delta n_i I_P(E_i) - \Delta n_k I_P(E_k)].$$

Notice the sign flip here. This is because the constant ' a a' is defined to absorb the negative sign.

As the final bullet clarifies, this constant ' a a', defined as ' a = − e V int R $a = -e\,V_{\text{int}}\,R$', conveniently bundles together all the geometric and circuit parameters into a single proportionality constant. This simplifies our conceptual picture: the measured voltage signal, Δ U ΔU, is directly proportional to the laser-induced change in the net ionization rate of the plasma.

Page 11:

Now that we understand the physics of signal generation, let's step back and look at the complete equivalent circuit, tracing the signal from the plasma all the way to the detector.

We can model the entire setup as a simple series circuit. There are three key elements:

1. The High-voltage D.C. supply, which provides the overall driving voltage, U 0 U_0. 2. The Discharge tube itself, which acts as a circuit element. It's not a simple resistor; it's a complex, non-linear load with a dynamic impedance, which we can label Z p l a s m a Z_{plasma}. It is this impedance that our laser is modulating. 3. The Load resistor, R R. As we've discussed, its primary role in detection is to convert the change in plasma current, Δ I ΔI, into a measurable voltage signal, Δ U ΔU.

Now, a crucial experimental detail for achieving high sensitivity is laser modulation. A raw D.C. change in the plasma current would be very difficult to measure because it would be buried in low-frequency flicker noise and drift from the power supply and the discharge itself. To overcome this, we modulate the laser beam.

The slide mentions two common ways to do this: using an optical chopper, which is essentially a spinning wheel with slots that physically blocks and unblocks the beam, or an Acousto-Optic Modulator (AOM), which uses sound waves in a crystal to deflect the beam on and off.

In either case, we turn the laser beam on and off at a specific, stable frequency, which we call f m o d f_{mod}. This modulation frequency is typically chosen to be in a quiet region of the noise spectrum, somewhere between about 100 H z $100\,\mathrm{Hz}$ and 100 k H z $100\,\mathrm{kHz}$. By doing this, we are no longer looking for a D.C. change. We are now looking for a small AC signal that appears at exactly the modulation frequency, f m o d f_{mod}. This is the key to sensitive detection.

Page 12:

So, we've modulated our laser beam at a frequency f m o d f_{mod}, which in turn modulates the plasma impedance and creates an AC voltage signal across our load resistor at that same frequency. How do we detect this specific signal and reject everything else?

The answer is lock-in detection.

A lock-in amplifier is an incredibly powerful tool in experimental physics. It's essentially a very narrow-band AC voltmeter. We provide it with two inputs: our noisy optogalvanic signal, and a reference signal at the modulation frequency, f m o d f_{mod}, which we get directly from our optical chopper or AOM controller.

The lock-in amplifier then performs a phase-sensitive detection. It multiplies the input signal by the reference signal and integrates the result over time.

The net effect is that it completely rejects any noise that is not at the reference frequency and in phase with it. It acts like an electronic filter with an extremely high quality factor, allowing us to isolate only the component of the voltage that is synchronous with our laser modulation.

The slide puts it perfectly: Lock-in detection keyed to f m o d f_{mod} suppresses broadband noise and isolates the synchronous component, which we can call Δ U a c ΔU_{ac}.

This is why optogalvanic spectroscopy can be so sensitive. We can pull a signal of a few microvolts out of a background of volts of noise. It's the combination of the plasma's internal amplification and the power of lock-in detection that makes this technique so effective.

Page 13:

This slide provides an excellent, detailed diagram that synthesizes everything we've discussed so far, showing the complete experimental setup and the key physics in one picture. Let's trace the signal flow again.

At the top left, we have the DC Supply, U 0 U_0, powering the Hollow Cathode Discharge Tube. Typical pressures are 1 1 to 10 T o r r 10 Torr. The current flowing is the sum of the steady DC current, i 0 i_0, plus our small, time-varying signal, δ i (t) $\delta i(t)$.

Below that, we see the Tunable Laser. Its frequency, v ν, is set by the Bohr condition, v = E 2 − E 1 h $\nu = \frac{E_2 - E_1}{h}$. We only need milliwatt-level

power. The laser beam is sent through an Optical Chopper, which modulates the beam at a frequency f m o d f_{mod}, perhaps around 1 k H z $1\,\mathrm{kHz}$. The chopper also sends a reference signal at f m o d f_{mod} to our detection electronics.

The modulated laser beam passes through the discharge, causing the current to change. This changing current flows through the Load Resistor, R R. The voltage across this resistor, Δ U (t) $\Delta U(t)$, is simply a constant K K times the current change, Δ i (t) $\Delta i(t)$. This raw, time-varying signal is shown being monitored by an Oscilloscope on Channel 1, where you'd see a small AC waveform riding on top of a lot of noise.

This signal, Δ U (t) $\Delta U(t)$, is then fed into the "Signal In" port of the Lock-in Amplifier. The reference signal from the chopper is fed into the "Ref In" port. The lock-in amplifier works its magic, rejecting noise with efficiencies greater than 60 d B $60\,\mathrm{dB}$, and produces a clean DC Output voltage, which we label Δ U A C ΔU_{AC}. This final DC voltage is directly proportional to the amplitude of the optogalvanic signal at the modulation frequency.

As we sweep the laser's wavelength, this DC output will trace out the optogalvanic spectrum.

The "Key Physics" box at the bottom left summarizes the core equations we've developed:

- The change in ion current, Δ i Δi, is proportional to the change in ion number, Δ n i o n Δn_{ion}. - This change in ion number is proportional to the difference in ionization probabilities (P 2 − P 1) $(P_2 - P_1)$ times the number of absorbed photons. - The number of absorbed photons is proportional to the absorption cross-section σ σ, the photon flux Φ Φ, and

the initial population n n. - And finally, the measured voltage, Δ U ΔU, is proportional to the entire chain: a constant K K times σ σ, times Φ Φ, times the population change Δ n Δn.

This is a complete picture from fundamental physics to final measurement.

Page 14:

One of the most interesting and informative features of optogalvanic spectroscopy is that the signal can be either positive or negative. So, let's address the question: Why can the signal be positive or negative?

The answer lies in the equation we derived for the voltage change, Δ U ΔU. The sign of Δ U ΔU is determined by the *relative magnitudes* of the ionization probabilities of the two levels involved in the transition: I P (E i) $IP(E_i)$, the lower level, and I P (E k) $IP(E_k)$, the upper level.

Let's consider Scenario 1, which gives a positive signal.

This happens when the ionization probability of the upper level, I P (E k) $IP(E_k)$, is *greater than* the ionization probability of the lower level, I P (E i) $IP(E_i)$.

In this case, when the laser transfers population from the lower level 'i' to the upper level 'k', it is moving atoms to a state from which they are more likely to be ionized.

This population transfer therefore *elevates* the net ionization rate in the plasma. More ions and electrons are created per unit time. This leads to an increase in the discharge current, indicated by the upward arrow. An

increase in current flowing through our load resistor results in a positive change in voltage, so Δ U ΔU is greater than zero.

This is the most common scenario, especially when pumping from a low-lying state to a much higher-lying state closer to the ionization limit.

Page 15:

Now let's look at the alternative, Scenario 2, which results in a negative signal.

This occurs when the condition is reversed: the ionization probability of the upper level, I P (E k) $IP(E_k)$, is less than the ionization probability of the lower level, I P (E i) $IP(E_i)$.

It might seem strange that a higher energy level could be harder to ionize, but this can happen due to various collisional and radiative pathways available to the different states. For example, the upper state might have a very fast, non-ionizing decay channel that the lower state lacks.

When the laser pumps atoms from level 'i' to level 'k' in this scenario, it's actually moving them to a state that is less likely to contribute to the plasma's ion population.

As a result, the net ionization rate in the plasma drops. This causes the discharge current to decrease, as shown by the downward arrow. According to Ohm's law, a decrease in current leads to a negative voltage change across the resistor, so Δ U < 0 $\Delta U < 0$.

The ability to observe both polarities is not just a curiosity; it's a powerful diagnostic tool. As the final bullet point emphasizes, observing both positive

and negative signals in the same discharge provides unique, state-specific information about the individual, level-specific ionization probabilities and cross-sections. It gives us a much deeper insight into the complex collisional dynamics occurring within the plasma.

Page 16:

To fully appreciate why ionization probabilities can differ so much between levels, we need to look at the competing ionization processes that are all happening simultaneously inside the plasma. This slide gives a detailed breakdown.

The first, and most straightforward, mechanism is Direct Electron-Impact Ionization. The reaction is written as:

A (E j) + e − → A + + 2 e − .

$$A(E_j) + e^- \rightarrow A^+ + 2\,e^-.$$

This means an atom A, which is in some energy state E j E_j, collides with a free electron from the plasma. If the electron has enough kinetic energy, it can knock another electron out of the atom, creating a positive ion, A + A^+, and leaving two free electrons—the original one plus the newly liberated one.

The efficiency of this process, its cross-section, is highly dependent on the energy of the impacting electron. As the note says, the cross-section typically peaks for intermediate electron energies, in the range of 30 30 to

200 200 electron-volts. The electron energy distribution in the plasma is therefore critical.

A second, and very important, process is Penning Ionization. This is also called metastable-assisted ionization. The reaction is:

A (E j) + B $*$ $\rightarrow$ A + + B + e − .

$$A(E_j) + B^* \rightarrow A^+ + B + e^{-}.$$

Here, our target atom A collides not with an electron, but with another atom, B, which is in a long-lived excited state, a so-called metastable state, indicated by the star. If the internal energy of the metastable atom B $*$ B^* is greater than the ionization energy of our atom A, that energy can be transferred during the collision, ionizing A. The atom B returns to its ground state, and a free electron is produced. This is a very efficient ionization channel in gas mixtures where one component (like helium or neon) has high-lying metastable states.

These are just two of the pathways, and the probability of each depends strongly on which initial state, E j E_j, the atom A is in.

Page 17:

Continuing our look at the various ionization channels, let's discuss two more.

The first is a process we, as laser spectroscopists, are directly involved with: Laser Photoionization. The reaction is:

A (E j) + h v $\rightarrow$ A + + e −

$$A(E_j) + h\nu \rightarrow A^+ + e^-$$

Here, an atom in state E j E_j absorbs a photon from our laser. If the photon energy, h v $h\nu$, is sufficient not just to excite the atom but to completely remove an electron, then the atom is ionized directly by the laser light. This is particularly effective when the laser excites atoms to very high-lying Rydberg states, which are so close to the ionization continuum that even a modest electric field or a low-energy collision can complete the ionization process.

This process becomes dominant, as the next point states, whenever the photon energy h v $h\nu$ exceeds the ionization threshold as measured from the initial level E j E_j. In many optogalvanic experiments, we are looking at bound-bound transitions, but if we use a high-power pulsed laser, two-photon ionization or photoionization from the excited state can become a significant channel.

Finally, we have to consider the complex feedback loops in the plasma. This last point mentions Electron Temperature Feedback. A laser-induced change in the population of a certain level can lead to a change in the electron density. This, in turn, can alter the overall energy balance of the plasma, causing a shift in the electron temperature, T e T_e. Since the rates of all the collisional ionization processes we've discussed are highly sensitive to T e T_e, this feedback mechanism can have a significant, and sometimes complicated, effect on the final optogalvanic signal. The plasma is a living, breathing system, and when we poke it with a laser, the entire system readjusts.

Page 18:

So far, our description has been largely qualitative. To be truly quantitative, we need to turn to a rate-equation description to model the population dynamics inside the plasma.

The first bullet point states that the population of each level j j, which we call n j n_j, obeys a steady-state balance equation. "Steady-state" means that we assume the rates of all processes populating the level are perfectly balanced by the rates of all processes depopulating it. The net rate of change is zero.

This balance is captured in the large equation on the slide. Let's analyze it term by term. The equation is set to zero, signifying the steady state.

0 =

$$0 =$$

The first term is the sum over all other levels m m of n m n_m times R m → j c o l l $R^{\text{coll}}_{m \to j}$. This represents population flowing *into* our level j j from all other levels m m due to collisions. R m → j c o l l $R^{\text{coll}}_{m \to j}$ is the collisional excitation rate coefficient, which depends on things like the electron temperature and density.

The second term is n e n + α j $n_e n^+ \alpha_j$. This represents three-body recombination. An ion, n + n^+, and an electron, n e n_e, recombine to form a neutral atom in our state j j. α j α_j is the recombination coefficient for this process. This also populates our level.

Next, we have all the terms that *depopulate* level j j. These are grouped inside the large parentheses and are multiplied by n j n_{j}, the population of our level.

The first depopulating term is the sum over all final levels k k of R j → k c o l l $R_{j\to k}^{\mathrm{coll}}$. This is the rate of collisional de-excitation *out* of level j j to all other levels k k.

The second is I P (E j) $IP(E_{\mathrm{j}})$. This is the total ionization probability we introduced earlier—the rate at which atoms in level j j are lost to ionization.

Finally, we have the laser-induced terms, which are only non-zero if the laser is on and tuned to a specific transition.

The term − W a b s δ j , i $-W_{\mathrm{abs}}\delta_{j,i}$ represents the loss of population from level j j if it is the *lower* state (i.e., j = i $j=i$) of the laser-driven transition. W a b s W_{abs} is the absorption rate, and the Kronecker delta, δ j , i $\delta_{j,i}$, ensures this term only applies to level i i.

The term + W s t i m δ j , k $+W_{\mathrm{stim}}\delta_{j,k}$ represents the population gained by level j j if it is the *upper* state (j = k $j=k$) due to stimulated emission from a higher-lying level. Or, if j j is the upper state being pumped *to*, this term would represent population gain. The slide's notation is a bit ambiguous here, but the principle is clear: the laser terms add and subtract population from the specific levels i i and k k.

Page 19: This slide continues our breakdown of the terms in the rate equation

First, we have α j α_j. As mentioned, this is the three-body recombination coefficient into level E j E_j. It quantifies the rate at which ions and electrons recombine to form a neutral atom in that specific state.

Next is I P (E j) $I_P(E_j)$. This is the total ionization probability that we introduced earlier. It's a crucial parameter that lumps together all the ionization pathways—electron impact, Penning, etc.—that depopulate level E j E_j.

Then we have the optical terms. W a b s W_{abs} is the optical absorption rate for the specific transition we are driving with the laser, from E i E_i to E k E_k.

And W s t i m W_{stim} is the stimulated emission rate, which drives atoms from the upper level E k E_k back down to the lower level E i E_i. This rate is proportional to the laser intensity, just like the absorption rate.

So, how do we use this complex system of equations? The final bullet point tells us the strategy. We would write down a coupled rate equation like this for every significant energy level in our atom. Then, we solve this system of simultaneous equations twice: once with the laser terms (W a b s W_{abs} and W s t i m W_{stim}) set to zero, to find the steady-state populations without the laser. And a second time with the laser terms turned on.

The difference between these two solutions gives us our key quantity: Δ n j Δn_j, the change in population of any level j j due to the laser interaction. It is this Δ n Δn, calculated from this detailed model, that we would then plug into our earlier equation for the optogalvanic current, Δ I ΔI, to make a quantitative prediction of the signal strength.

Page 20:

Let's now turn to the experimental hardware itself. The heart of the experiment is the discharge source, and there are two main types commonly used: glow discharges and hollow-cathode discharges.

First, the glow discharge. This is typically formed between two simple plane electrodes. Its main advantages are its simpler geometry and the fact that it can produce a relatively uniform plasma column. This uniformity can be beneficial for certain quantitative studies. Glow discharges are particularly well-suited for studying noble gases, like Neon (N e) or Argon (Ar), which are easily ionized and produce stable plasmas. The common neon signs you see are a type of glow discharge.

The second type, which is extremely useful in laser spectroscopy, is the hollow-cathode discharge. Here, the cathode is not a flat plate but is cylindrical or has a cavity. This geometry has a profound effect. It traps the electrons, causing them to oscillate inside the hollow cathode. This leads to a much more efficient and intense ionization process right inside the cathode cavity.

This intense discharge promotes sputtering, where energetic ions from the plasma bombard the cathode material and knock atoms off its surface into

the gas phase. This is an incredibly powerful way to produce a dense vapor of atoms that are normally solid at room temperature, like metals such as Aluminum (Al), Copper (Cu), or Iron (Fe). By making the cathode out of the material you want to study, you can perform spectroscopy on almost any element in the periodic table. Furthermore, the hollow cathode geometry can act as a kind of self-resonant cavity, leading to very high excitation densities, populating a rich variety of atomic and ionic states.

Page 21:

There are a couple more practical considerations when setting up an optogalvanic experiment, especially with hollow-cathode lamps. The first is the choice of buffer gas. A hollow-cathode lamp is typically filled with a low-pressure noble gas, like Argon or Neon, which sustains the discharge. The atoms of the material we want to study are then sputtered into this buffer gas. The choice of which buffer gas to use is not arbitrary. For example, using Argon versus Neon will significantly affect the population of metastable states in the plasma, because they have very different energy level structures. As we saw, metastables are crucial for Penning ionization. The buffer gas also influences collisional mixing rates, which shuffle population between various excited states. So, choosing the right buffer gas can optimize the signal for the specific transitions you are interested in.

The second practical point is the discharge current. There is a trade-off here. A higher current will lead to a higher rate of sputtering, which means a higher density of your target atoms in the plasma, potentially giving a stronger signal. However, a higher current also means more power is dissipated in the lamp, primarily at the cathode. The slide notes that typical

currents are in the range of 1 milliamp to 30 milliamps. You need to balance the desired sputtering rate against the risk of overheating and damaging the electrodes. Running at too high a current can significantly shorten the lifetime of your lamp.

Page 22:

Theory is great, but let's look at a concrete example. Here we'll consider a classic experiment: recording the optogalvanic spectrum of a Neon discharge.

First, the experimental parameters. A discharge is created in pure Neon gas. The current, I naught, is set to 5 milliamps, and the pressure, p, is 1 millibar.

The light source is a broadband continuous-wave (or CW) dye laser. This laser is scanned across a wide wavelength range, from 500 to 800 nanometers, all within a short time of 100 milliseconds. This range in the visible and near-infrared spectrum is rich with transitions in Neon.

What is the observed output? As the laser wavelength sweeps, we will see a signal whenever the laser frequency matches an allowed transition in a Neon atom. The result is a spectrum with multiple, well-resolved peaks. Each peak corresponds to a specific electronic transition.

The width of these peaks, or the line shape, will be determined by several factors. In a low-pressure discharge like this, the dominant broadening mechanism is typically Doppler broadening, due to the random thermal motion of the atoms. There will also be some contribution from the laser's own linewidth, which we call instrumental broadening.

Finally, regarding the detection, the slide notes that a lock-in amplifier time constant of just zero point one seconds is sufficient to achieve an excellent signal-to-noise ratio, or S/N, greater than 100. This again highlights the impressive sensitivity of the technique.

Page 23:

And here is what that spectrum looks like. This Paage shows a beautiful, stylized example of an optogalvanic spectrum of Neon, recorded under the conditions we just discussed.

Let's examine the graph. The horizontal axis is the laser wavelength, in nanometers, scanned from 550 to 750 nanometers. The vertical axis is the Optogalvanic Signal, Delta U, in arbitrary units. The dashed line across the middle represents zero signal.

What is immediately striking is that we see both positive and negative peaks, just as our theory predicted. This is a direct visualization of the different ionization probabilities of the levels involved.

Let's look at a few of the labeled transitions. Near 600 nanometers, there's a strong positive peak assigned to the transition from the 3s prime one-P-one state to the 3p prime one-S-zero state. The positive signal means that the upper level, 3p prime one-S-zero, is more easily ionized than the lower level.

In contrast, just to the right, at around 615 nanometers, we see a prominent *negative* peak. This is assigned to the transition from the 3p three-D-two state down to the 5s three-P-two state. This is an example where pumping

to the upper level actually *reduces* the net ionization rate, leading to a drop in current and a negative voltage signal.

We see several other peaks. There's a very large positive peak around 640 nanometers. And two more positive peaks around 660 and 700 nanometers, which are identified as transitions originating from metastable 3s states.

This single trace provides a wealth of information. It's a fingerprint of the Neon atom, but it's more than that. The polarity and relative intensity of each peak contain detailed information about the intricate collisional and ionization dynamics occurring within the plasma environment.

Page 24:

Let's now move to our second major example, which showcases one of the most powerful applications of the technique: studying metal vapors sputtered in a hollow cathode. This allows us to see the richness of mixed spectra.

The setup involves a dual hollow-cathode assembly. We'll see a diagram of this shortly, but imagine two separate hollow-cathode lamps placed in series or parallel. The system is irradiated by a tunable *pulsed* dye laser. We'll discuss why a pulsed laser is advantageous in a moment.

The key capability here is the simultaneous detection of multiple species. The slide highlights that we can detect spectral lines from neutral metal atoms, such as Aluminum (Al), Copper (Cu), and Iron (Fe). At the same time, in the same scan, we can also detect lines from their corresponding ions, such as Aluminum plus (Al plus) and Iron plus (Fe plus).

The hollow cathode sputters neutral atoms from the cathode surface. The intense plasma environment then not only excites these neutral atoms but also ionizes some of them. These newly created ions are then themselves excited by the plasma. Optogalvanic spectroscopy allows us to probe the energy level structures of all of these species that coexist in the discharge, giving us a complete picture of the plasma's composition.

Page 25:

Why use a pulsed laser for this type of experiment, as mentioned on the previous slide? There are several key advantages.

The first point is that a pulsed laser is highly advantageous for exciting high-lying energy levels, especially those with short lifetimes. Pulsed lasers can deliver a very large number of photons in a very short time window (nanoseconds or even picoseconds). This high peak power makes it possible to efficiently populate states that would be difficult to reach with a lower-power continuous-wave laser. It also facilitates multi-photon absorption processes.

Furthermore, the high peak powers, often in the kilowatt range or higher, make it possible to observe and study weak transitions. Some atomic transitions have very small absorption cross-sections. With a CW laser, the resulting optogalvanic signal might be too small to detect. The high intensity of a pulsed laser can produce a significant enough population change, Δ n Δn, to generate a robust and measurable signal even for these weak lines.

What kind of information can we extract from these rich, multi-element spectra? As the second bullet point explains, these spectra are a powerful diagnostic tool. They reveal information on the sputtering yield—that is, how efficiently different materials are sputtered from the cathode. They allow us to measure excitation cross-sections for various states in both neutral atoms and ions. And they can provide insights into the electron energy distribution in these complex, multi-element plasmas. This is crucial information for understanding and modeling plasma processes used in materials science and semiconductor manufacturing.

Page 26:

This Paage gives us a clear visual of the dual hollow-cathode sputtering experiment and the kind of data it produces.

Let's start with the "Experimental Setup" at the top. We have a Tunable Pulsed Dye Laser as our source. The beam is directed towards two hollow cathode chambers arranged sequentially. Hollow Cathode 1 has a cathode made of an Aluminum/Copper alloy (Al/Cu). Hollow Cathode 2 has a cathode made of Iron (Fe). Each has its own anode, and they are part of the same discharge circuit. This setup allows us to introduce multiple elements into the plasma simultaneously.

Now, let's look at the "Resulting Composite Optogalvanic Spectrum" below. The vertical axis is the Optogalvanic Signal, and the horizontal axis is Wavelength in nanometers, from 370 to 440 nanometers.

The spectrum is a series of sharp lines. The legend on the right tells us how to interpret them. We see lines from neutral Iron (Fe) in red, neutral

Copper (Cu) in orange, and neutral Aluminum (Al) in dark blue. For example, there's a strong neutral Aluminum line just below 400 nanometers. We also see lines from ions. There's a light blue line for the Aluminum ion (Al^+) around 390 nm, and a magenta line for the Iron ion (Fe^+) near 425 nm.

This is remarkable. In a single laser scan, we have unambiguously identified five different species: three neutral atoms and two ions, all coexisting in the plasma. This demonstrates the power of optogalvanic spectroscopy as an analytical tool for elemental and ionic analysis of complex samples.

Page 27:

This slide serves as a concise summary of the hollow-cathode experiment we've just examined, highlighting the richness of mixed spectra. Let's quickly recap the key points.

First, the setup: A dual hollow-cathode assembly is irradiated by a tunable pulsed dye laser. This is our method for generating and probing a complex, multi-element plasma.

Second, the detection capability: We achieve simultaneous detection of a wide variety of species. The example lists neutral metal lines of Aluminum, Copper, and Iron, as well as ionic lines of Aluminum-plus and Iron-plus. This capability is crucial for plasma diagnostics and materials analysis.

Third, the advantage of using a pulsed laser: Pulsed lasers are particularly effective for exciting high-lying levels, especially those with short lifetimes.

Their high peak powers, in the kilowatt range, facilitate the observation of weak transitions that might otherwise be undetectable.

And finally, the utility of the data: The resulting spectra are not just for identification. They provide a wealth of quantitative information about the plasma itself, including sputtering yields, excitation cross-sections, and the electron distribution within these multi-element plasmas. It’s a comprehensive diagnostic technique.

Page 28:

So far, we've focused almost exclusively on atoms and their ions. But the reach of optogalvanic spectroscopy extends much further. We can also perform studies on molecules and even more exotic species like excimers. This truly expands the scope of the technique.

The first point is critical. In a plasma, electron-impact collisions are indiscriminate. They can populate a vast array of molecular states, including highly excited vibrational and rotational levels. Many of these states are inaccessible to direct optical pumping from the ground state due to quantum mechanical selection rules. Optogalvanic spectroscopy provides a way to probe these otherwise "dark" states. Since the technique starts with the existing population distribution in the plasma, we can tune our laser to transitions *originating* from these highly excited states and measure their spectra.

The slide gives two excellent examples.

1. Vibrationally "hot" molecular nitrogen, N-two. We can study transitions from states with vibrational quantum numbers, v

, greater than 10. These states are critical in plasma chemistry and atmospheric science, but very difficult to populate directly from the v

equals zero ground state.

2. Radical species. These are molecules with unpaired electrons, making them highly reactive and typically short-lived. Examples include OH (hydroxyl), CN (cyanogen), and CH. These radicals are key intermediates in combustion processes, and optogalvanic spectroscopy provides an in-situ method to detect them and study their kinetics within a flame, which itself can act as the discharge medium.

Page 29:

Continuing with the expanded reach of optogalvanic spectroscopy, let’s talk about excimers.

Excimers, a name derived from "excited dimers," are fascinating molecules. They are molecules that are stable and bound together only when they are in an excited electronic configuration. In their ground state, the constituent atoms repel each other, and the molecule does not exist.

A classic example given is Helium-2-star (He two star). Two ground-state helium atoms will not form a stable molecule. But if one is electronically excited, they can form a temporary, bound He-two-star excimer, which then fluoresces as it dissociates.

Because these species only exist in an excited state, they are perfect candidates for optogalvanic interrogation. They are naturally formed in discharges but have no ground state to absorb from, making conventional

absorption spectroscopy impossible. With OGS, we can probe transitions between different excited electronic states of the excimer.

Putting all these applications together, the final bullet point underscores the broad impact of the technique. It is an invaluable tool for studying kinetics in a wide range of important environments:

- In combustion flames, for detecting transient radicals. - In plasma processing reactors, like those used to etch silicon wafers, for monitoring the chemical species present. - And in laboratory-based astrophysical analog plasmas, where we can recreate conditions found in stellar atmospheres or interstellar clouds and study the fundamental atomic and molecular processes that occur there.

Page 30:

Now we shift gears to discuss one of the most widespread and practical applications of optogalvanic spectroscopy: its use as an absolute wavelength reference.

Every lab that uses tunable lasers needs a way to know precisely what wavelength the laser is producing. A dedicated instrument for this, called a wavemeter, can be very expensive. Optogalvanic spectroscopy offers an elegant and affordable alternative.

The principle relies on using a commercial hollow-cathode lamp filled with a specific element that has a very rich and well-characterized spectrum. The elements of choice are typically Uranium or Thorium. These heavy elements have thousands of sharp, distinct spectral lines that are evenly

spaced over a broad wavelength range, from the ultraviolet at 300 nanometers to the near-infrared at 900 nanometers.

Crucially, the exact wavelengths of these lines have been measured with extremely high precision using techniques like Fourier-transform interferometry. As the slide notes, the line positions are known to within plus or minus zero point zero zero one inverse centimeters, or wavenumbers. This is an incredible level of accuracy. These lamps, therefore, act as a very reliable "ruler" for wavelength.

So, how do we use it? The procedure is straightforward.

Step 1: You take your tunable laser beam and split off a small portion of it using a simple glass slide or a beam splitter. You direct this small reference beam into your Uranium or Thorium reference lamp. The main part of your beam goes to your primary experiment.

Page 31: Continuing with the procedure for wavelength calibration:

Step 2: You record the signal from your unknown sample and the optogalvanic signal from the reference lamp *simultaneously*. This means you have two separate detection channels. As you scan the wavelength of your laser, you will be recording two spectra at the same time: your experimental spectrum and the reference spectrum from the Uranium lamp.

Step 3: This is the calibration step. You now have a reference spectrum consisting of a "picket fence" of sharp peaks. You then consult a published table or atlas of the Uranium or Thorium spectrum. By identifying just a few

of the prominent peaks in your recorded reference spectrum and matching them to their known, tabulated wavelengths, you can generate a highly accurate calibration curve for the entire wavelength axis of your scan. You can then apply this calibration to your unknown sample's spectrum.

The final bullet point summarizes the immense value of this application. It provides a convenient and robust secondary standard for routine, high-precision spectroscopy. For many applications, it completely eliminates the need for a costly, dedicated wavemeter. Many home-built and commercial laser systems have a small Uranium-Neon hollow-cathode lamp integrated into them for exactly this purpose. It's a workhorse technique in the modern laser spectroscopy lab.

Page 32:

This diagram provides a perfect illustration of the dual-channel recording method for wavelength calibration that we just discussed.

The figure shows two plots, both with Laser Wavelength in nanometers on the horizontal axis, spanning from 575 575 to 590 590 nanometers. The vertical axis is Signal Intensity in arbitrary units.

The top trace, in blue, is labeled "Unknown Sample Signal." This represents the data from your actual experiment. In this stylized example, it shows two broad, overlapping peaks. Without a proper wavelength axis, this spectrum is not very useful. We know the peaks are somewhere between 575 575 and 590 590 nanometers, but we don't know their positions precisely.

Now, look at the bottom trace, in red. This is the "Uranium Reference Signal (Optogalvanic)" that was recorded simultaneously. It's a forest of sharp, narrow peaks. This is our calibration ruler.

The power of this method is in the correlation. We can see, for example, that the first broad peak in our unknown sample seems to be centered between two specific reference lines. The second, sharper peak in the unknown sample lines up very closely with a strong reference line.

The diagram shows how the calibration is done. Three of the Uranium lines have been identified and labeled with their highly precise, known wavelengths: five seven eight point two one three nanometers (578.213 n m $578.213\,\mathrm{nm}$), five eight one point four seven eight nanometers (581.478 n m $581.478\,\mathrm{nm}$), and five eight three point eight three one nanometers (583.831 n m $583.831\,\mathrm{nm}$).

By fitting a polynomial or other function to these known points on our scan axis, we can determine the precise wavelength of any point in our unknown spectrum, including the exact positions of its peaks. This is how we transform a raw scan into a quantitatively meaningful spectrum.

Page 33:

Let's now explore a more advanced configuration designed to push the sensitivity of the technique to its limits. This is the Intracavity Optogalvanic Configuration.

The core idea, as the first bullet point states, is to place the discharge cell directly *inside* the laser cavity. Normally, our sample sits outside the laser. Here, we design the laser resonator with enough space between its mirrors

to insert our small discharge cell, which must have high-quality, low-loss optical windows (like Brewster windows) to avoid disrupting the laser action.

Why would we do this? The answer lies in the second point. The light intensity *inside* a laser cavity is much, much higher than the intensity of the beam that comes out. The intra-cavity intensity is enhanced by the cavity's quality factor, or Q Q-factor. The Q Q-factor is a measure of how well the cavity stores energy; a high- Q Q cavity with highly reflective mirrors might have an intra-cavity power that is 10, 100, or even 1000 times greater than the output power.

This means our sample is now interacting with a dramatically more intense light field. The effective path length of the interaction, L effective $L_{\text{effective}}$, can be thought of as the physical path length, L L, multiplied by the cavity enhancement factor, Q Q. A larger interaction leads to a much larger population change, Δ n Δn, and consequently, a much stronger optogalvanic signal. This is a method for boosting the sensitivity by orders of magnitude.

Page 34:

What are the benefits of this increased sensitivity from the intracavity configuration?

The first point makes a powerful comparison. This technique can reach an absorption-equivalent sensitivity that is comparable to another very high-sensitivity technique you may have heard of, Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CRDS). However, optogalvanic spectroscopy achieves this

with a much simpler detection scheme. CRDS requires a very fast, sensitive photodetector to measure the decay of light in the cavity. Intracavity OGS, on the other hand, retains its simple electrical detection. We get the sensitivity of a complex optical technique with the convenience of a simple electrical measurement.

But there's another profound advantage to placing the sample inside the laser cavity. The same arrangement enables Doppler-free saturation spectroscopy. Inside a linear laser cavity, the laser field exists as two counter-propagating beams—one going from the back mirror to the front mirror, and one reflecting back. These two beams can interact with the same atoms.

When the laser is tuned to the exact center of a Doppler-broadened transition, only the atoms with zero velocity along the laser axis can interact with *both* beams simultaneously. The strong "pump" beam saturates the transition for these zero-velocity atoms, and the weaker "probe" beam experiences a change in absorption. This results in a very narrow "Lamb dip" at the center of the broad Doppler profile. By detecting this sharp feature using the optogalvanic effect, we can achieve resolutions at the sub-megahertz level, allowing us to resolve hyperfine structures that are normally hidden within the Doppler width.

Page 35:

Let's look at one more specialized variation of the optogalvanic effect, which provides a form of built-in amplification. This is Space-Charge Amplification in Thermionic Diodes.

This technique uses a different kind of discharge device: a thermionic diode. This is essentially a vacuum tube with a heated cathode that emits electrons through thermionic emission, and an anode to collect them. When we operate this diode in a specific regime, known as the space-charge-limited current regime, it exhibits a remarkable property: internal gain.

In this regime, the current is not limited by how many electrons the cathode can emit, but by the cloud of electrons—the “space charge”—that forms in front of the cathode. This cloud of negative charge creates a potential barrier that repels other electrons, thus limiting the current flow. The system is in a very delicate equilibrium.

Now, if we shine a resonant laser into the space between the electrodes, where there may be a small amount of vapor of the atom we wish to study, we can produce an optogalvanic effect. Laser absorption leads to ionization, creating positive ions. These positive ions are very effective at neutralizing the negative space-charge cloud near the cathode.

This modulation of the space-charge cloud has a dramatic effect on the current flowing through the diode. A small number of laser-created ions can neutralize a large number of electrons in the space charge, leading to a large increase in the diode current. This results in voltage changes that can be on the order of millivolts or even Volts, often without the need for any external amplification like a lock-in amplifier.

Page 36:

This internal gain mechanism makes space-charge amplification particularly attractive for certain applications. As the first bullet point notes,

it’s especially useful for building portable sensors where bulky and power-hungry lock-in amplifiers are impractical. You get a very large, robust signal from a relatively simple device.

The physics governing the operation of the diode in this regime is the famous Child-Langmuir law. This law describes the space-charge-limited current I I in a simple planar diode. The equation is:

I = (4 ε 0 9) 2 e m e V 3 / 2 d 2 .

$$I = \left(\frac{4\varepsilon_0}{9}\right)\sqrt{\frac{2e}{m_\mathrm{e}}}\,\frac{V^{3/2}}{d^2}.$$

Let’s break down the terms: - ε 0 ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity, a fundamental constant. - e e is the elementary charge. - m e m_e is the mass of the electron. - V V is the voltage applied between the anode and the cathode. - And d d is the spacing between the anode and cathode.

The key thing to notice here is the dependence on voltage. The current I I is proportional to V V raised to the power of three-halves.

The next point on the slide appears to have a slight mix-up in its explanation. Let me clarify the physical mechanism. The laser-induced *ions* neutralize the space charge. This neutralization effectively lowers the potential barrier for the electrons, which can be thought of as locally changing the effective voltage ’ V V’ or the effective spacing ’ d d’. Because of the highly non-linear dependence of the current I I on V V (the V V to the 3 / 2 $3/2$ power), a tiny change in the space charge, induced by the laser, results in a very large, amplified change in the current I I. This is the source of the high internal gain.

Page 37:

Alright, we've covered a great deal of ground today. Let's begin to summarize with the key takeaways and place this technique in context for further study.

The most fundamental takeaway is this: Optogalvanic spectroscopy is a clever technique that converts subtle optical absorption events into robust electrical signals. Its major advantage is that it bypasses many of the challenges associated with direct optical detection, like the need for sensitive photodetectors or the difficulty of measuring a small change in a large laser signal. The sample itself becomes the amplifier and the detector.

Now, looking ahead, let's consider the broader context. As the title on the slide suggests, we're now at a point to review the key takeaways.

The first major bullet point reiterates our main theme: Optogalvanic spectroscopy converts subtle optical absorption events into robust electrical signals, bypassing many optical detection challenges. This is the core concept you should remember. The plasma is a transducer.

The next point on the following slide will delve into the source of this sensitivity and the technique's versatility.

Page 38:

Continuing with our summary, where does the sensitivity of this technique come from?

As the first bullet point here states, the sensitivity relies on the coupling between two key factors: the level-specific ionization probabilities, which we've discussed at length, and the overall discharge transport properties, like electron temperature and density. It's the laser's ability to perturb this delicate, coupled system that gives rise to the signal.

What does the technique offer the experimentalist? The second bullet point gives a great summary of its key features:

- First, a high dynamic range. Signals can range from microvolts (μ V μV) for very weak transitions, requiring a lock-in amplifier, all the way up to Volts (V V) in space-charge-amplified configurations. - Second, flexibility. We've seen that it's adept at studying neutral atoms, ions, molecules, radicals—a whole zoo of species in various environments. - Third, its utility as an absolute wavelength standard, using Uranium or Thorium lamps, which is one of its most important practical applications.

Finally, where does this lead? Optogalvanic spectroscopy is not just a standalone technique; it serves as a conceptual and experimental foundation for even more advanced spectroscopic methods. The slide lists a few examples:

- Velocity-modulation spectroscopy, a variation used to selectively study ions. - The Doppler-free measurements using intracavity saturation that we discussed. - And, more broadly, it's a powerful tool for plasma diagnostics, which is a huge field in itself.

Understanding optogalvanic spectroscopy gives you the building blocks to understand a whole family of related techniques.

Page 39:

To conclude our discussion, this flowchart provides a superb visual summary of the diverse applications of Optogalvanic Spectroscopy. It shows how this single, central technique branches out to impact many different fields of science and technology.

At the center, we have our core topic: Optogalvanic Spectroscopy. Let's follow the arrows to see its applications.

Going upwards, we have Wavelength Calibration. As we've discussed, this provides absolute frequency references for tunable lasers, a crucial function in any spectroscopy lab.

To the right, we have Plasma Diagnostics. The technique allows us to measure species concentration, temperature (both electron and gas temperatures), and even electric fields within a plasma, providing a detailed picture of the plasma state.

Moving down, we find Combustion Analysis. Here, OGS is used to detect trace radicals and transient species in flames, giving critical insights into chemical kinetics in these high-temperature environments.

To the bottom left, Astrophysical Relevance. By studying laboratory plasmas that mimic conditions in space, we can use OGS to determine fundamental atomic and molecular parameters—like transition probabilities and cross-sections—that are essential for interpreting astronomical data and modeling stellar atmospheres.

And finally, to the left, we have Collision Studies. The technique is a sensitive probe of energy transfer, quenching processes, and ionization mechanisms, allowing us to investigate the fundamental physics of atomic and molecular collisions.

This chart beautifully illustrates that Optogalvanic Spectroscopy is not just a niche method, but a versatile and powerful tool with a broad and lasting impact across physics, chemistry, and engineering.

That concludes our lecture for today. Thank you.