

COINCIDENCE AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS WITH APPLICATIONS

QAMRUL H. ANSARI — ADAM IDZIK — JEN-CHIH YAO

Dedicated to the memory of Juliusz P. Schauder

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first establish a coincidence theorem under the noncompact settings. Then we derive some fixed point theorems for a family of functions. We apply our fixed point theorem to study nonempty intersection problems for sets with convex sections and obtain a social equilibrium existence theorem. We also introduce a concept of a quasi-variational inequalities and prove an existence result for a solution to such a system.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1952, Debreu [7] introduced the concept of the generalized the Nash equilibrium which extends the classical concept of Nash equilibrium for a noncooperative game [18]. Since then, it is widely studied by using some kinds of fixed point theorems, see for example [6], [9], [10], [12], [13], [16], [17], [20]–[23], and references therein. The remaining part of this section deals with preliminaries. In Section 2, we establish a coincidence theorem under the noncompact setting. Then we derive some fixed point theorems for a family of functions which generalize earlier results of Lan and Webb [14]. In Section 3, we study nonempty intersection problems for sets with convex sections. A social equilibrium existence theorem which is applied to results on saddle points, minimax theorems

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10, 49J40, 52A07, 49J35.

Key words and phrases. Coincidence, fixed point, convex section, equilibrium, quasi-variational inequality.

This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

and Nash equilibria, is obtained in Section 4. In the last section, we introduce a concept of a system of quasi-variational inequalities which includes the system of variational inequalities studied in [1], [3], [5], [19], as a special case. We also derive existence results for such a system of quasi-variational inequalities.

We shall use the following notation and definitions. Let A be a nonempty set. We shall denote by 2^A the family of all subsets of A . If A and B are two nonempty subsets of a topological vector space X such that $B \subseteq A$, we shall denote by $\text{int}_A B$ the interior of B in A . If A is a subset of a vector space, $\text{co } A$ denotes the convex hull of A .

Let X and Y be two topological vector spaces and $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a multivalued map. Then φ is said to have a *local intersection property* [24] if for each $x \in X$ with $\varphi(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open neighbourhood $N(x)$ of x such that $\bigcap_{z \in N(x)} \varphi(z) \neq \emptyset$.

A multivalued map φ is said to be *transfer open-valued* [4] if for any $x \in X$, $y \in \varphi(x)$ there exists a $z \in X$ such that $y \in \text{int}_Y \varphi(z)$.

A *graph* of φ , denoted by $\text{gr } \varphi$, is

$$\{(x, z) \in X \times Y : x \in X, z \in \varphi(x)\}.$$

An *inverse* of φ , denoted by φ^{-1} , is the multivalued map from the range of φ to X defined by

$$x \in \varphi^{-1}(z) \text{ if and only if } z \in \varphi(x).$$

We mention recent results of Ding [8] and Lin [15], Yu [25] and the well known Berge's theorem [2] which will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 1.1 ([8], [15]). *Let X and Y be two topological vector spaces and $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a multivalued map with nonempty values. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ^{-1} is transfer open-valued,
- (ii) φ has the local intersection property,
- (iii) $X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \text{int}_X \varphi^{-1}(y)$.

LEMMA 1.2 ([25]). *Let X and Y be two Hausdorff topological vector spaces and Y be compact. Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that*

- (i) f is upper semicontinuous on $X \times Y$, and
- (ii) for each fixed $y \in Y$, $x \mapsto f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on X .

Then the function $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

is continuous on X .

LEMMA 1.3 ([2]). Let X and Y be topological vector spaces, $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ an extended real-valued function, $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ a multivalued map, and

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sup_{y \in \varphi(x)} f(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

- (i) If f is upper semicontinuous and φ is upper semicontinuous with compact values, then \hat{f} is upper semicontinuous.
- (ii) If f is lower semicontinuous and φ is lower semicontinuous, then \hat{f} is lower semicontinuous.

2. Coincidence and fixed point theorems

Let I be an index set and for each $i \in I$, let E_i be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty convex subsets with each K_i in E_i . Let $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$ and $K^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} K_j$ and, we write $K = K^i \times K_i$. For each $x \in K$, $x_i \in K_i$ denotes the i th coordinate and $x^i \in X^i$ the projection of x on X^i and we also write $x = (x^i, x_i)$. We use this denotation throughout our paper.

THEOREM 2.1. For each $i \in I$, let $\varphi_i : K_i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ and $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ be two multivalued maps. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) For each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in K^i$, $\varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$ is nonempty and convex.
- (ii) For each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) : x_i \in K_i\}$.
- (iii) If K^i is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset B_i of K_i and a nonempty compact subset D^i of K^i such that for each $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$ there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$ such that $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(\tilde{y}_i))$.

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\psi_i(\bar{x}^i) \cap \varphi_i(\bar{x}_i) \neq \emptyset$, for each $i \in I$.

PROOF. Although it is based on one given in [1] for the fixed points of the family of functions, we include it for the sake of completeness of the paper. For each $i \in I$, we define a multivalued map $\phi_i : K_i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ by

$$\phi_i(x_i) = \{x^i \in K^i : x^i \notin \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} = K^i \setminus \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)).$$

Then ϕ_i satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each $x_i \in K_i$, $\phi_i(x_i)$ is closed in K^i .
- (b) For each $i \in I$, then $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$ is compact in K^i .

Indeed, if K^i is compact, $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$ is compact since $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$ is closed in K^i by (a). If K^i is not compact,

$$\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) = \bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \{x^i \in K^i : x^i \notin \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} \subset D^i$$

by (iii) and thus is compact.

(c) Since for each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) : x_i \in K_i\}$, we have

$$\bigcap_{x_i \in K_i} \phi_i(x_i) = \bigcap_{x_i \in K_i} \{K^i \setminus \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} = \emptyset, \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Now, we will show that there exist $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i} \in K_i$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{l_i} \phi_i(a_{ik}) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose that (2.1) is not true, then for every finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset K_i$, we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n \phi_i(y_j) \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\chi(y) = (\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)) \cap (\phi_i(y))$ for $y \in K_i$. Then the family $\{\chi(y) : y \in K_i\}$ has the finite intersection property. Note that $\chi(y)$ is compact in K for each $y \in K_i$ because $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$ is compact and $\phi_i(y)$ is closed in K^i . It follows that $\bigcap_{y \in K_i} \chi(y) \neq \emptyset$ and thus $\bigcap_{y \in K_i} \phi_i(y) \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction with (c).

By (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\bigcup_{x_i \in B_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right) = K^i.$$

Let $F_i = \text{co}(B_i \cup \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i}\})$. Then F_i is compact in K_i . Let $F^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} F_j$, then F^i is a compact subset of K^i . By (2.2), we have

$$F^i \subset \left(\bigcup_{x_i \in B_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right).$$

Since F^i is compact, there exist $b_{i1}, \dots, b_{il_i} \in B_i$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad F^i \subset \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(b_{ij})) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right).$$

Let $\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i}\} = \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i}, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{il_i}\}$. We rewrite (2.3) as follows

$$F^i \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})).$$

Let $X_i = \text{co}\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i}\}$ and $X^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} X_j$. We denote by Δ_i the vector subspace of E_i generated by X_i . Then Δ_i is a finite dimensional subspace. We note that X^i is a compact set in $\prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} \Delta_j$, and $X^i \subset F^i \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$. Therefore

$$X^i \subset \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \right) \cap X^i \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \subset X^i$$

and hence $X^i = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$.

Since X^i is compact, there exists a partition of unity $\{g_{i1}, \dots, g_{in_i}\}$ subordinated to this finite subcovering such that:

- (a) for each $k = 1, \dots, n_i$, $g_{ik} : X^i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous,
- (b) for each $k = 1, \dots, n_i$, $g_{ik}(x^i) = 0$, for $x^i \notin \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$,
- (a) for each $x^i \in X^i$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} g_{ik}(x^i) = 1$.

For each $i \in I$, we define a map $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X_i$ by $f_i(x^i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} g_{ik}(x^i)c_{ik}$, for all $x^i \in X^i$. Obviously, for each $i \in I$, f_i is continuous. For each $x^i \in X^i$ and each k with $g_{ik}(x^i) \neq 0$, we have $x^i \in \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \subset \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$ and so that $c_{ik} \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$ for each $i \in I$. Because $f_i(x^i)$ is a convex combination of c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i} and because $\varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$ is convex by (i), we have for each $i \in I$, $f_i(x^i) \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$, for all $x^i \in X^i$.

Define a map $h : X \rightarrow X$ by $h(x) = (f_i(x^i))_{i \in I}$. Since for each $x \in X$, we have $x^i \in X^i$ and $f_i(x^i) \in X_i$, it follows that h is well-defined and continuous. By Tychonoff's fixed point theorem, h has a fixed point $\bar{x} = (f_i(\bar{x}^i))_{i \in I} \in X$. This implies that $\bar{x}_i = f_i(\bar{x}^i)$ for each $i \in I$. Hence $\bar{x}_i = f_i(\bar{x}^i) \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(\bar{x}^i))$ and therefore $\psi_i(\bar{x}^i) \cap \varphi_i(\bar{x}_i) \neq \emptyset$, for each $i \in I$. □

When $\varphi(x_i) = \{x_i\}$, we have the following result on fixed points for a family of multivalued maps.

THEOREM 2.2. *For each $i \in I$, let $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ be a multivalued map. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in K^i$, $\psi_i(x^i)$ is nonempty and convex.*
- (ii) *For each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$.*
- (iii) *If K^i is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset B_i of K_i and a nonempty compact subset D^i of K^i such that for each $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$ there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$ such that $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i)$.*

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bar{x}_i \in \psi_i(\bar{x}^i)$, for each $i \in I$.

REMARK 2.3.

- (a) Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are non-compact version of Theorems 3 and 4 in [10], respectively.
- (b) If for each $x_i \in K_i$, $\psi_i^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i , then by assumption (i) in Theorem 2.2, $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$. Hence Theorem 2.2 contains Theorem 2.1 in [14].
- (c) In view of Lemma 1.1, assumption (ii) in Theorem 2.2 can be replaced by any one of the following conditions:
 - (ii)' for each $i \in I$, ψ_i^{-1} is transfer open-valued,
 - (ii)" for each $i \in I$, ψ_i has the local intersection property.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and generalizes Theorem 2.2 in [14].

THEOREM 2.4. For each $i \in I$, let $\phi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ be a multivalued map. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) For each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in K^i$, $\phi_i(x^i)$ is nonempty.
- (ii) For each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \phi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$.
- (iii) If K^i is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset B_i of K_i and a nonempty compact subset D^i of K^i such that for each $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$ there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$ such that $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co} \phi_i^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i)$.

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co} \phi_i(\bar{x}^i)$, for each $i \in I$.

PROOF. For each $i \in I$, we define a multivalued map $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ by $\psi_i(x^i) = \text{co} \phi_i(x^i)$. Then it is easy to verify that for each $i \in I$, ψ_i satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.2. □

3. Intersection theorems for sets with convex sections

Let Y be a topological space. A family $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subsets in Y is said to be *open transfer complete* (respectively, *closed transfer complete*) if $y \in A_i$ (respectively, $y \notin A_i$), there exists $j \in I$ such that $y \in \text{int}_Y A_j$ (respectively, $y \notin \text{cl}_Y A_j$), where $\text{cl}_Y A$ denotes the closure of A in Y for any subset A of Y .

For $A \subset K$, $x^i \in K^i$ and $x_i \in K_i$, we define $A[x_i] = \{x^i \in K^i : (x^i, x_i) \in A\}$ and $A[x^i] = \{x_i \in K_i : (x^i, x_i) \in A\}$.

Now we extend Lemma 2.1 in [4] as follows:

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of K . Then the following conditions hold:

- (i) for each $i \in I$, the family $\{A_i[x^i] : x^i \in K^i\}$ is closed transfer complete if and only if

$$\bigcap_{x^i \in K^i} A_i[x^i] = \bigcap_{x^i \in K^i} \text{cl}_{K_i} A_i[x^i],$$

- (ii) for each $i \in I$, the family $\{A_i[x^i] : x^i \in K^i\}$ is open transfer complete if and only if

$$\bigcup_{x^i \in K^i} A_i[x^i] = \bigcup_{x^i \in K^i} \text{int}_{K_i} A_i[x^i],$$

- (iii) if for each $i \in I$, $A_i[x^i]$ is nonempty and the family $\{A_i[x_i] : x_i \in K_i\}$ is open transfer complete, then $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$.

Since the proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [4], we omit it.

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following results on sets with convex sections:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of K . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in K^i$, $A_i[x^i]$ is nonempty,*
- (ii) *for each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$,*
- (iii) *if K^i is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset B_i of K_i and a nonempty compact subset D^i of K^i such that for each $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$ there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$ such that $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co } A_i[\tilde{y}_i]$.*

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co } A_i[\bar{x}^i]$, for each $i \in I$.

PROOF. For each $i \in I$, we define a multivalued map $\phi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K_i}$ by

$$\phi_i(x^i) = A_i[x^i], \quad \text{for all } x^i \in K^i.$$

It is easy to verify that for each $i \in I$, ϕ_i satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Hence there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co } A_i[\bar{x}^i]$, for each $i \in I$. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be two families of subsets of K . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in K^i$, $A_i[x^i]$ is nonempty,*
- (ii) *for each $x \in K$, there exists a subset $I(x) \subset I$ such that for $i \in I(x)$, $\text{co } A_i[x^i] \subset \tilde{A}_i[x^i]$,*
- (iii) *for each $i \in I$, $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$,*
- (iv) *if K^i is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset B_i of K_i and a nonempty compact subset D^i of K^i such that for each $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$ there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$ such that $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co } A_i[\tilde{y}_i]$.*

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I(\bar{x})} \tilde{A}_i \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3.2, there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co } A_i[\bar{x}^i]$, for each $i \in I$. From assumption (ii), we have $\bar{x}_i \in \tilde{A}_i[\bar{x}^i]$ for $i \in I(\bar{x})$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in \tilde{A}_i$, for each $i \in I(\bar{x})$. \square

REMARK 3.4. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 generalize Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, respectively, in [14].

In view of Lemma 3.1, we have the following

REMARK 3.5. The assumption (ii) in Theorem 3.2 and the assumption (iii) in Theorem 3.3 can be replaced by the following condition:

- (0) *For each $i \in I$, the family $\{A_i[x_i] : x_i \in K_i\}$ is open transfer complete.*

4. Equilibrium existence theorems

For $S \subset K$, $x^i \in K^i$ and $x_i \in K_i$, let $S(x^i) = \{y_i \in K_i : (x^i, y_i) \in S\}$.

From Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following social equilibrium existence theorem (cf. [7]):

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty compact convex subsets with each K_i in E_i . For each $i \in I$, let $S_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ be an upper semicontinuous multivalued map with nonempty compact convex values such that $S_i^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i , for all $x_i \in K_i$. For each $i \in I$, let $f_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each $i \in I$, f_i is upper semicontinuous on $\text{gr } S_i$,*
- (ii) *for each $i \in I$, $\hat{f}_i(x^i) = \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z)$ is a lower semicontinuous function,*
- (iii) *for each $i \in I$ and for each fixed $y_i \in K_i$, $x^i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$ is lower semicontinuous on K^i ,*
- (iv) *for each $i \in I$ and for each fixed $x^i \in K^i$, $y_i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$ is quasi-concave on K_i .*

Then there exists an equilibrium point $\bar{x} \in \text{gr } S_i$ for each $i \in I$; that is, $\bar{x}_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)$ and $f_i(\bar{x}) = \max_{x_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, x_i)$, for each $i \in I$.

PROOF. For each $i \in I$ and each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we define a multivalued map $\psi_{(i,n)} : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ by

$$\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i) = \{x_i \in S_i(x^i) : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}, \quad \text{for all } x^i \in K^i.$$

Since $S_i(x^i)$ is compact and f_i is upper semicontinuous, we have $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$ is nonempty for each $i \in I$ and $x^i \in K^i$. By the assumption (iv), for each $i \in I$ and $x^i \in K^i$, $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$ is convex.

Now for each $i \in I$ and $x_i \in S_i(x^i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i) &= \{x^i \in K^i : x_i \in S_i(x^i) \text{ and } f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\} \\ &= S_i^{-1}(x_i) \cap \{x^i \in K^i : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumptions and Lemma 1.3, the set

$$\{x^i \in K^i : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}$$

is open in K^i . Since $S_i^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i for any $x_i \in K_i$, $\psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i , for all $x_i \in K_i$. Since for each $i \in I$, $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$ is nonempty and $\psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i , we have

$$K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i) = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i).$$

By Theorem 2.2, there exists $\hat{x}_n = (\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, \hat{x}_{(i,n)}) \in K$ such that $\hat{x}_{(i,n)} \in \psi_{(i,n)}(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})$, for each $i \in I$ and, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that is,

$$\hat{x}_{(i,n)} \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}) : f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, \hat{x}_{(i,n)}) > \max_{z \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n,$$

for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since K_i is compact, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\hat{x}_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \in K$, that is, $\hat{x}^{(i,n)} \rightarrow \bar{x}^i \in K^i$ and $\hat{x}_{(i,n)} \rightarrow \bar{x}_i \in K^i$. Since for each $i \in I$, S_i is compact-valued and upper semicontinuous, the graph of S_i is closed and therefore $\bar{x}_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)$. By assumptions (i) and (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_i) &\geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, \hat{x}_{(i,n)}) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{z \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n \right] \\ &\geq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{z \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n \right] \geq \max_{z \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_i(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_i) = \max_{z \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, z)$. □

REMARK 4.2.

- (a) In the proof of Theorem 4.1 we used in fact the nets (the sets K_i need not be metrizable).
- (b) We notice that Theorem 5.2 in [16] is not correct in the present form. We need one more assumption that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $G_i^{-1}(z)$ is open in K , where G_i is defined as in Theorem 5.2 in [16]. Theorem 4.1 corrects and generalizes this theorem in the sense that the index set need not be finite.
- (c) Similar results to Theorem 4.1 were obtained by Idzik [10] (see Theorem 7) and Idzik and Park [12] (see Theorem 3.2) with the inequalities for equilibrium points instead the equalities.

From Theorem 4.1, we have the following saddle point and minimax theorems:

THEOREM 4.3. *Let X and Y be two compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E . Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an upper semicontinuous function on $X \times Y$ such that*

- (i) *for each fixed $y \in Y$, $x \mapsto f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and quasi-convex on X , and*
- (ii) *for each fixed $x \in X$, $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is quasi-concave on Y .*

Then f has a saddle point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y$, that is

$$\min_{y \in Y} f(\bar{x}, y) = f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \max_{x \in X} f(x, \bar{y}).$$

PROOF. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [12]. □

THEOREM 4.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3, we have the following minimax inequality*

$$\max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

PROOF. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [12]. □

REMARK 4.5. In Theorems 4.3 and 4.4, we have neither assumed that X and Y are convexly totally bounded (see [11] for the definition) nor f is continuous on $X \times Y$ as it is assumed in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 in [12] and hence Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 generalize Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, respectively, in [12].

When $S_i(x^i) = K_i$ for each $x^i \in K^i$, we obtain the following generalization of the Nash equilibrium theorem (the condition (ii) of Theorem 4.1 is fulfilled by Lemma 1.2:

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty compact convex subset with each K_i in E_i . For each $i \in I$, let $f_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each $i \in I$, f_i is upper semicontinuous,*
- (ii) *for each $i \in I$ and for each fixed $y_i \in K_i$, $x^i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$ is lower semicontinuous on K^i ,*
- (iii) *for each $i \in I$ and for each fixed $x^i \in K^i$, $y_i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$ is quasi-concave on K_i .*

Then there exists a point $\bar{x} \in K$ such that, for each $i \in I$,

$$f_i(\bar{x}) = \max_{y_i \in K_i} f_i(\bar{x}^i, y_i).$$

REMARK 4.7. Theorem 4.6 is an infinite version of Theorem 3.2 in [25] and it generalizes Theorem 5 in [21] in the following ways:

- (a) K need not be convexly totally bounded [11],
- (b) for each $i \in I$, f_i need not be continuous.

5. The system of quasi-variational inequalities

For each $i \in I$, let E_i be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space with its dual E_i^* . For each $i \in I$, let $\theta_i : K^i \rightarrow E_i^*$ be an operator and $\sigma_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K_i}$ be a multivalued map. We consider the *system of quasi-variational inequalities* (in short, SQVI) which is to find $\bar{x} \in K$ such that for each $i \in I$,

$$\bar{x}_i \in \sigma_i(\bar{x}^i) : \langle \theta_i(\bar{x}^i), \bar{x}_i - y_i \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y_i \in \sigma_i(\bar{x}^i),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between E_i^* and E_i .

In the case each $i \in I$ and $x^i \in K^i$, $\sigma_i(x^i) = K_i$, we have the *system of variational inequalities* (SVI), that is, to find $\bar{x} \in K$ such that for each $i \in I$,

$$\langle \theta_i(\bar{x}^i), \bar{x}_i - y_i \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y_i \in K_i.$$

SVI was considered by Pang [19] with applications in equilibrium problems. Later, it has also been studied by Ansari and Yao [1], Bianchi [3] and Cohen and Chaplais [5].

Now from Theorem 4.1, we derive the following existence result for the SQVI:

THEOREM 11. *Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty compact convex subsets with each K_i in E_i . For each $i \in I$, let $\sigma_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$ be an upper semicontinuous multivalued map with nonempty compact convex values such that $\sigma_i^{-1}(x_i)$ is open in K^i , for all $x_i \in K_i$. Let $\theta_i : K^i \rightarrow E_i^*$ be a continuous operator on K^i . Then there exists a solution to the SQVI.*

PROOF. Taking $f_i(x^i, y_i) = \langle \theta_i(x^i), x_i - y_i \rangle$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. H. ANSARI AND J. C. YAO, *A fixed point theorem and its applications to the system of variational inequalities*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 433–442.
- [2] C. BERGE, *Topological Spaces*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1963.
- [3] M. BIANCHI, *Pseudo P-monotone operators and variational inequalities*, Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore **6** (1993).
- [4] S. S. CHANG, B. S. LEE, X. WU, Y. J. CHO AND G. M. LEE, *On the generalized quasi-variational inequality problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 686–711.
- [5] G. COHEN AND F. CHAPLAIS, *Nested monotony for variational inequalities over product of spaces and convergence of iterative algorithms*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **59** (1988), 360–390.
- [6] P. CUBIOTTI, *Existence of Nash equilibria for generalized games without upper semi-continuity*, Internat. J. Game Theory **26** (1997), 267–273.
- [7] G. DEBREU, *A social equilibrium existence theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **38** (1952), 886–893; *Twenty Papers of Gerald Debreu*, Mathematical Economics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [8] X. P. DING, *Coincidence theorems involving composites of acyclic mappings in contractible spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. **11** (1998), 85–89.
- [9] A. IDZIK, *Remarks on Himmelberg's fixed point theorems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), 909–912.
- [10] ———, *Fixed point theorems for family of functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), 913–916.
- [11] ———, *Almost fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 779–784.
- [12] A. IDZIK AND S. PARK, *Leray-Schauder type theorem and equilibrium existence theorems*, Lecture Notes in Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 2; J. Andres, L. Górniewicz and P. Nistri (eds.), Differential Inclusions Optim. Control, Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies, Nicolas Copernicus University, Toruń, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1998, pp. 191–197.
- [13] T. KACZYŃSKI AND V. ZEIDAN, *An application of Ky-Fan point theorem to an optimization problem*, Nonlinear Anal. **13** (1989), 259–261.
- [14] K. Q. LAN AND J. WEBB, *New fixed point theorems for a family of mappings and applications to problems on sets with convex sections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 1127–1132.
- [15] L. J. LIN, *Applications of a fixed point theorem in G-convex space*, Nonlinear Anal., 2000 (to appear).
- [16] E. MARCHI AND J.-E. MARTÍNEZ-LEGAZ, *Some results on approximate continuous selections, fixed points and minimax inequalities*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (M. A. Théra and J.-B. Baillon, eds.), Longman Scientific & Technical, 1991, pp. 327–342.

- [17] E. MARCHI AND J.-E. MARTINEZ-LEGAZ, *A generalization of Fan–Browder’s fixed point theorem and its applications*, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **2** (1993), 277–291.
- [18] J. NASH, *Non-cooperative games*, *Ann. Math.* **54** (1951), 286–293.
- [19] J. S. PANG, *Asymmetric variational inequality problems over product sets: applications and iterative methods*, *Math. Programming* **31** (1985), 206–219.
- [20] S. PARK, *Remarks on a social equilibrium existence theorem of G. Debreu*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **11** (1998), 51–54.
- [21] S. PARK AND J. A. PARK, *The Idzik type quasivariational inequalities and noncompact optimization problems*, *Colloq. Math.* **71** (1996), 287–295.
- [22] D. I. RIM AND W. K. KIM, *A fixed point theorem and existence of equilibrium for abstract economies*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **45** (1992), 385–394.
- [23] G. TIAN AND J. ZHOU, *The maximum theorem and the existence of Nash equilibrium of (generalized) games without lower semicontinuities*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **166** (1992), 351–364.
- [24] X. WU AND S. SHEN, *A further generalization of Yannelis–Prabhakar’s continuous selection theorem and its applications*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **196** (1996), 61–74.
- [25] J. YU, *Essential equilibria of n-person noncooperative games*, *J. Math. Econom.* **31** (1999), 361–372.

Manuscript received December 1, 1999

QAMRUL H. ANSARI and JEN-CHIH YAO
 Department of Applied Mathematics
 National Sun Yat-sen University
 Kaohsiung, TAIWAN 804, ROC

E-mail address: ansari@math.nsysu.edu.tw, yaojc@math.nsysu.edu.tw

ADAM IDZIK
 Institute of Computer Science
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 Ordonia 21
 01-237 Warsaw, POLAND

E-mail address: adidzik@ipipan.waw.pl