

## GENERALISED VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITIES AND A GAP FUNCTION

Q.H. ANSARI AND J.C. YAO

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions of generalised variational-like inequality problems by using a generalised form of the Fan-KKM-Theorem. We also introduce a gap function for generalised variational-like inequalities.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $E$  be a topological vector space with dual  $E^*$  and let  $\langle E^*, E \rangle$  be the dual system of  $E^*$  and  $E$ . We denote by  $2^X$  the family of all nonempty subsets of a set  $X$  and by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  the family of all nonempty finite subsets of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a subset of a topological vector space  $E$ , we shall denote by  $\bar{X}$  the closure of  $X$  in  $E$ , and by  $\text{co}(X)$  the convex hull of  $X$ . Let  $C$  and  $K$  be nonempty subsets of  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively. Given two maps  $\theta : C \times K \rightarrow E^*$  and  $\eta : C \times C \rightarrow E$ , and a multifunction  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$ , then we consider the following *generalised variational-like inequality problems*:

**PROBLEM 1.** Find  $\bar{x} \in C$  and  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$(1) \quad \langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

The vector  $\bar{x}$  is called a *strong solution* of Problem 1. We denote by  $S(P1)$  the set of all such vectors  $\bar{x}$ .

**PROBLEM 2.** Find  $\bar{x} \in C$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$(2) \quad \langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

The solution  $\bar{x}$  of this problem is called a *weak solution* of Problem 1. We denote by  $S(P2)$  the set of all solutions of this problem.

---

Received 14th April, 1998

This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

PROBLEM 3. Find  $\bar{x} \in C$  such that

$$(3) \quad \langle \theta(y, t), (\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y).$$

We denote by  $S(P3)$  the set of all its solutions.

Inequalities (1), (2) and (3) are known as *generalised variational-like inequalities* (in short, GVLI). Problem 1 was introduced by Parida and Sen [13] in finite dimensional spaces. They also showed its relation with convex mathematical programming. It was further studied by Yao [19, 20] with applications in complementarity problems.

When  $\theta(x, s) = s$ , for any  $x \in C$ , Problem 1 was considered by Boss [1], Ding [6] and Siddiqi et al [17].

When  $\theta(x, s) = s$  and  $\eta(x, y) = x - y$ , for any  $x, y \in C$  and  $s \in T(x)$ , the above three problems were studied by Crouzeix [5] in the setting of finite dimensional spaces. In this case, Problem 1 was studied for example by Browder [2], Chowdhury and Tan [3, 4], Ding and Tarafdar [7], Fang and Peterson [9], Saigal [14], Shih and Tan [15], Siddiqi and Ansari [16], Tan [18], Yao [21], and Yen [22].

In Section 2, we first prove that  $S(P1) = S(P2) = S(P3)$  under certain conditions. Then we define a gap function [10], which provides an optimisation problem formulation, for the generalised variational-like inequality (GVLI)(3). In Section 3, we consider a more general problem which includes Problem 2 as a special case.

Let  $C$  and  $K$  be nonempty subsets of  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively. Let  $\varphi : K \times C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction. Then we consider the following problem known as a *generalised implicit variational problem*:

(GIVP) Find  $\bar{x} \in C$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$(4) \quad \varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{x}, y) \leq 0.$$

We prove the existence of its solution by using a result of Chowdhury and Tan [3] which is a generalised form of the Fan-KKM Theorem [8]. As an application, we use our results to prove the existence of solutions of (GVLI).

Let  $X, Y$  be subsets of a vector space  $E$  such that  $\text{co}(X) \subset Y$ . Then the multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is called a *KKM-map* if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $\text{co}(A) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$ .

The *graph* of  $F$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(F)$ , is

$$\mathcal{G}(F) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : x \in X, y \in F(x)\}.$$

We shall use the following result of Chowdhury and Tan [3] in proving our main results in Section 3.

**THEOREM A.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex set in a topological vector space  $E$ . Let  $G : C \rightarrow 2^C$  be a KKM-map such that

- (i)  $\overline{G(y_0)}$  is compact for some  $y_0 \in C$ ,
- (ii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  with  $y_0 \in A$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $G(y) \cap \text{co}(A)$  is closed in  $\text{co}(A)$ , and
- (iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  with  $y_0 \in A$ ,

$$\overline{\left( \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y) \right)} \cap \text{co}(A) = \left( \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y) \right) \cap \text{co}(A).$$

Then  $\bigcap_{y \in C} G(y) \neq \emptyset$ .

The following Kneser minimax theorem [12] will be used in Section 2.

**THEOREM B.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty convex subset of a vector space, and let  $Y$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space. Suppose that the functional  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that, for each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and convex, and for each fixed  $y \in Y$ ,  $f(\cdot, y)$  is concave. Then

$$\min_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \sup_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

## 2. A GAP FUNCTION FOR (GVLI)

Throughout in this paper, unless specified otherwise,  $E$  is a topological vector space with dual  $E^*$ .

Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E^*$ . Given two functions  $\theta : C \times K \rightarrow E^*$  and  $\eta : C \times C \rightarrow E$ , the multifunction  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  is called:

- (i)  $\eta$ -pseudomonotone with respect to  $\theta$  if for every pair of points  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in K$  and for all  $s \in T(x)$ ,  $t \in T(y)$ , we have

$$\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle \leq 0 \text{ implies } \langle \theta(y, t), \eta(x, y) \rangle \leq 0;$$

- (ii)  $V$ -hemicontinuous with respect to  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  if for all  $x, y \in K$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $s_\lambda \in T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x)$ , there exists  $s \in T(x)$  such that  $\langle \theta(x, s_\lambda), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  converges to  $\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  as  $\lambda$  tends to  $0^+$ .

It is clear that  $S(P1) \subseteq S(P2)$ . By using Theorem B, we prove  $S(P2) \subseteq S(P1)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space with dual  $E^*$  and let  $C$  and  $K$  be nonempty convex subsets of  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively. Let  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a compact convex valued multifunction. Assume that

- (a) for each  $x, y \in C$ ,  $s \mapsto \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  is lower semicontinuous and convex;
- (b) for each  $x \in K$  and  $s \in T(x)$ ,  $y \mapsto \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  is concave.

Then  $S(P2) \subseteq S(P1)$ .

PROOF: Let  $\bar{x} \in C$  be a solution of Problem 2. Then for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Define a functional  $f : C \times T(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(y, s) = \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle.$$

By assumption (a), for each  $y \in C$ , the functional  $s \mapsto f(y, s)$  is lower semicontinuous and convex, and by assumption (b), for each  $s \in T(\bar{x})$ , the functional  $y \mapsto f(y, s)$  is concave. Then by Theorem B, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{s \in T(\bar{x})} \sup_{y \in C} \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle &= \sup_{y \in C} \min_{s \in T(\bar{x})} \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \\ &= \sup_{y \in C} \left[ \inf_{s \in T(\bar{x})} \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T(\bar{x})$  is compact, there exists a point  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in C} \left[ \langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \right] \leq 0,$$

and hence

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C,$$

that is,  $\bar{x} \in S(P1)$ . □

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $C$  and  $K$  be nonempty subsets of  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively. If  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  is  $\eta$ -pseudomonotone with respect to  $\theta$ , then  $S(P1) \subseteq S(P3)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$  be concave in their first and second arguments, respectively, such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ . If  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  is  $V$ -hemicontinuous with respect to  $\theta$  and  $\eta$ , then  $S(P3) \subseteq S(P2)$ .

PROOF: Let  $\bar{x} \in S(P3)$ . Then

$$\langle \theta(y, t), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y).$$

By the convexity of  $C$ , for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\langle \theta(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)\bar{x}, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)\bar{x}) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } s_\lambda \in T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)\bar{x}).$$

Since  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$  are concave in their first and second arguments, respectively, and  $\eta(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \langle \theta(\lambda y + (1-\lambda)\bar{x}, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, \lambda y + (1-\lambda)\bar{x}) \rangle \\ &\geq \lambda^2 \langle \theta(y, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle + (1-\lambda)\lambda \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $\lambda > 0$ , we get

$$0 \geq \lambda \langle \theta(y, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle + (1-\lambda) \langle \theta(\bar{x}, s_\lambda), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle.$$

Taking  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  and by  $V$ -hemicontinuity with respect to  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  of  $T$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0,$$

and hence  $\bar{x} \in S(P2)$ . □

By combining Propositions 1-3, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space with dual  $E^*$  and let  $C$  and  $K$  be nonempty convex subsets of  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively. Let  $T: C \rightarrow 2^K$  be compact convex valued,  $\eta$ -pseudomonotone with respect to  $\theta$  and  $V$ -hemicontinuous with respect to  $\theta$  and  $\eta$ . Let  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$  be concave in their first and second arguments, respectively, such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ . Let  $s \mapsto \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$ , for all  $x, y \in C$ , be lower semicontinuous and convex. Then  $S(P1) = S(P2) = S(P3)$ .

Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$ . Then a functional  $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  is called a gap function for (GVLI) if

- (i)  $f(x) \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in C$ ,
- (ii)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x$  is a solution of (GVLI).

Now, we define a functional  $g: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  as follows:

$$(5) \quad g(x) = \sup \left[ \langle \theta(y, t), \eta(x, y) \rangle : y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y) \right].$$

We also set

$$m = \inf_{x \in C} g(x) \quad \text{and} \quad M = \{x \in C : g(x) = m\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$  and let  $\eta(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ . Then  $g$  as defined by (5) is a gap function for (GVLI)(3).

**PROOF:** (i) Since  $\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, x) \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $s \in T(x)$ , we have

$$(6) \quad g(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in C,$$

- (ii) Suppose that  $\bar{x} \in C$  is a solution of (GVLI)(3), then

$$\langle \theta(y, t), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in T(y),$$

and hence

$$(7) \quad \sup \left[ \langle \theta(y, t), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle : y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y) \right] \leq 0.$$

This implies that  $g(\bar{x}) \leq 0$ . Combining (6) and (7) we get

$$(8) \quad g(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Conversely, let  $g(\bar{x}) = 0$ . From (5), we have

$$g(\bar{x}) \geq \langle \theta(y, t), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle, \text{ for all } y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y)$$

and hence

$$\langle \theta(y, t), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } y \in C \text{ and } t \in T(y).$$

Therefore,  $\bar{x} \in C$  is a solution of (GVLI)(3).  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $C$  be nonempty subset of  $E$  and let  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in C$ . If  $S(P3) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $m = 0$  and  $M = S(P3)$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $S(P3) \neq \emptyset$ . Then from (8),  $m = 0$ .

Let  $\bar{x} \in C$  be a solution of (GVLI)(3). Then  $g(\bar{x}) = 0$ . But from (6), we have  $g(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , and hence  $g(\bar{x}) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ . Therefore,  $\bar{x} \in M$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\bar{x} \in M$ . Then  $g(\bar{x}) = 0$  and thus  $\bar{x} \in S(P3)$ . Hence  $M = S(P3)$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorems 1-3, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 4.** Assume that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied and if  $m = 0$  and  $M \neq \emptyset$ , then  $M = S(P1) = S(P2) = S(P3)$ .

### 3. EXISTENCE RESULTS

We first prove the existence of solution of (GIVP) by using Theorem A.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\varphi : K \times C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction. Assume that

$$1^0 \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{F}(C) \text{ and each } x \in \text{co}(A), \min_{y \in A} \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0 \text{ for all } s \in T(x);$$

$$2^0 \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{F}(C) \text{ and each } y \in \text{co}(A),$$

$$G(y) \cap \text{co}(A) = \{x \in \text{co}(A) : \text{there exists } s \in T(x) \text{ such that } \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0\}$$

is closed in  $\text{co}(A)$ ;

3<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x^*, y \in \text{co}(A)$  and for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $C$  converging to  $x^*$ , if there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , for which

$$\varphi(s_\alpha, x_\alpha, y) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

then there exists  $s^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\varphi(s^*, x^*, y) \leq 0$ ;

4<sup>0</sup> there exists a nonempty closed and compact subset  $D$  of  $C$  and  $z \in D$  such that

$$\varphi(s', x', z) > 0, \quad \text{for all } x' \in C \setminus D \text{ and } s' \in T(x').$$

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{x}, y) \leq 0$ .

PROOF: We define the multifunction  $G : C \rightarrow 2^C$  by

$$G(y) = \{x \in C : \text{there exists } s \in T(x) \text{ such that } \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0\}, \quad \text{for each } y \in C.$$

We show first that  $G$  is a KKM-map.

Suppose that  $G$  is not a KKM-map. Then for some finite subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  of  $C$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ , we have  $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(y_i)$ . Then, for all  $s_0 \in T(x_0)$ ,

$$\varphi(s_0, x_0, y_i) > 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$

and so

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \varphi(s_0, x_0, y_i) > 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption 1<sup>0</sup>. Hence  $G$  is a KKM-map. Moreover, we have,

(i)  $G(z) \subset D$  by assumption 4<sup>0</sup>, so that  $\overline{G(z)} \subset \overline{D} = D$  and hence  $\overline{G(z)}$  is compact in  $C$ ;

(ii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  with  $z \in A$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,

$$G(y) \cap \text{co}(A) = \{x \in \text{co}(A) : \text{there exists } s \in T(x) \text{ such that } \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0\}$$

is closed in  $\text{co}(A)$  by assumption 2<sup>0</sup>.

(iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  with  $z \in A$ , if  $x^* \in \overline{\left(\bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y)\right) \cap \text{co}(A)}$ , then  $x^* \in$

$$\overline{\left(\bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y)\right)} \text{ and } x^* \in \text{co}(A), \text{ and there is a net } \{x_\alpha\} \text{ in } \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y)$$

such that  $x_\alpha$  converges to  $x^*$ . For each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ , there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for which

$$\varphi(s_\alpha, x_\alpha, y) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

From assumption 3<sup>0</sup>, there exists  $s^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\varphi(s^*, x^*, y) \leq 0$ . It follows that  $x^* \in \left( \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y) \right) \cap \text{co}(A)$  and hence

$$\overline{\left( \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y) \right) \cap \text{co}(A)} = \left( \bigcap_{y \in \text{co}(A)} G(y) \right) \cap \text{co}(A).$$

By Theorem A, we have  $\bigcap_{y \in C} G(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, noting that  $\bigcap_{y \in C} G(y) \subseteq G(z) \subseteq D$ , there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{x}, y) \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\varphi : K \times C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction such that its graph is closed. Assume that

- 1<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\min_{y \in A} \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0$  for all  $s \in T(x)$ ;
- 2<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $K \times \text{co}(A)$ ;
- 3<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x^*, y \in \text{co}(A)$  and for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $C$  converging to  $x^*$ , if there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , for which

$$\varphi(s_\alpha, x_\alpha, y) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

then there exists  $x^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\varphi(s^*, x^*, y) \leq 0$ ;

- 4<sup>0</sup> there exists a nonempty closed and compact subset  $D$  of  $C$  and  $z \in D$  such that

$$\varphi(s', x', z) > 0, \text{ for all } y \in C \setminus D \text{ and } s' \in T(x').$$

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{x}, y) \leq 0$ .

**PROOF:** If we prove that for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  with  $z \in A$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,

$$G(y) \cap \text{co}(A) = \{x \in \text{co}(A) : \text{there exists } s \in T(x) \text{ such that } \varphi(s, x, y) \leq 0\}$$

is closed in  $\text{co}(A)$  then from Theorem 5, we get the result.

Indeed, let  $\{x_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Lambda}$  be a net in  $G(y) \cap \text{co}(A)$  such that  $x_\beta$  converges to  $x$ . Then  $x \in \text{co}(A)$ , because  $\text{co}(A)$  is compact (see [3, p.922]). Since  $x_\beta \in G(y) \cap \text{co}(A)$ , there exist  $s_\beta \in T(x_\beta)$  such that  $\varphi(s_\beta, x_\beta, y) \leq 0$ . Since  $T(C)$  is contained in a compact set

$K$ , we may assume that  $s_\beta$  converges to some  $s \in K$ . Then from the closed graph of  $T$ , we have  $s \in T(x)$ . Since  $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, y)$ , for each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ , is lower semicontinuous, we get

$$0 \geq \liminf_{\beta} \varphi(s_\beta, x_\beta, y) \geq \varphi(s, x, y)$$

and hence  $x \in G(y) \cap \text{co}(A)$ , as desired. □

As applications of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, we have the following results:

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\theta : C \times K \rightarrow E^*$  and  $\eta : C \times C \rightarrow E$  be functions and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction. Assume that

1<sup>o</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\min_{y \in A} \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $s \in T(x)$ ;

2<sup>o</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ , the set

$$\{x \in \text{co}(A) : \text{there exists } s \in T(x) \text{ such that } \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle \leq 0\}$$

is closed in  $\text{co}(A)$ ;

3<sup>o</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x^*, y \in \text{co}(A)$  and for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $C$  converging to  $x^*$ , if there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , for which

$$\langle \theta(x_\alpha, s_\alpha), \eta(x_\alpha, y) \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

then there exists  $s^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\langle \theta(x^*, s^*), \eta(x^*, y) \rangle \leq 0$ ;

4<sup>o</sup> there exists a nonempty closed and compact subset  $D$  of  $C$  and  $z \in D$  such that

$$\langle \theta(x', s'), \eta(x', z) \rangle > 0, \text{ for all } y \in C \setminus D \text{ and } s' \in T(x').$$

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

**PROOF:** By taking  $\varphi(s, x, y) = \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  in Theorem 5, we get the result. □

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\theta : C \times K \rightarrow E^*$  and  $\eta : C \times C \rightarrow E$  be functions and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction such that its graph is closed. Assume that

1<sup>o</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\min_{y \in A} \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $s \in T(x)$ ;

2<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  is lower semi-continuous in  $(s, x) \in K \times \text{co}(A)$ ;

3<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x^*, y \in \text{co}(A)$  and for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $C$  converging to  $x^*$ , if there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , for which

$$\langle \theta(x_\alpha, s_\alpha), \eta(x_\alpha, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

then there exists  $s^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\langle \theta(x^*, s^*), \eta(x^*, y) \rangle \leq 0$ ;

4<sup>0</sup> there exists a nonempty closed and compact subset  $D$  of  $C$  and  $z \in D$  such that

$$\langle \theta(x', s'), \eta(x', z) \rangle > 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C \setminus D \text{ and } s' \in T(x').$$

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

PROOF: By taking  $\varphi(s, x, y) = \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  in Theorem 6, we get the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $E$  and  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $E^*$ . Let  $\theta : C \times K \rightarrow E^*$  and  $\eta : C \times C \rightarrow E$  be functions and  $T : C \rightarrow 2^K$  be a multifunction such that its graph is closed. Assume that

1<sup>0</sup>  $\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, x) \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $s \in T(x)$ ;

2<sup>0</sup>  $y \mapsto \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  is quasiconcave for each fixed  $x \in C$  and  $s \in T(x)$ ;

3<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $y \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  is lower semi-continuous in  $(s, x) \in K \times \text{co}(A)$ ;

4<sup>0</sup> for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(C)$  and each  $x^*, y \in \text{co}(A)$  and for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in  $C$  converging to  $x^*$ , if there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $K$  with  $s_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , for which

$$\langle \theta(x_\alpha, s_\alpha), \eta(x_\alpha, y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

then there exists  $s^* \in T(x^*)$  such that  $\langle \theta(x^*, s^*), \eta(x^*, y) \rangle \leq 0$ ;

5<sup>0</sup> there exists a nonempty closed and compact subset  $D$  of  $C$  and  $z \in D$  such that

$$\langle \theta(x', s'), \eta(x', z) \rangle > 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C \setminus D \text{ and } s' \in T(x').$$

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that for each  $y \in C$ , there exists  $\bar{s} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\langle \theta(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \eta(\bar{x}, y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

PROOF: In view of assumptions 1<sup>0</sup> and 2<sup>0</sup>, it is easy to prove that the multifunction  $G$  in the proof of Theorem 5 is a KKM-map. By taking  $\theta(s, x, y) = \langle \theta(x, s), \eta(x, y) \rangle$  in Corollary 2, we get the result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.K. Boss, 'Ky Fan minimax inequality and non-linear variational inequalities', *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Meth. Appl.* **30** (1997), 4161–4170.
- [2] F.E. Browder, 'The fixed point theory of multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces', *Math. Ann.* **177** (1968), 283–301.
- [3] M.S.R. Chowdhury and K.K. Tan, 'Generalization of Ky Fan's minimax inequality with applications to generalized variational inequalities for pseudo-monotone operators and fixed point theorems', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204** (1996), 910–929.
- [4] M.S.R. Chowdhury and K.K. Tan, 'Generalized variational inequalities for quasi-monotone operators and applications', *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **45** (1997), 25–54.
- [5] J.P. Crouzeix, 'Pseudomonotone variational inequality problems: existence of solutions', *Math. Programming* **78** (1997), 305–314.
- [6] X.P. Ding, 'Generalized variational-like inequalities with nonmonotone set-valued mappings', *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **95** (1997), 601–613.
- [7] X.P. Ding and E. Tarafdar, 'Generalized nonlinear variational inequalities with nonmonotone set-valued mappings', *Appl. Math. Lett.* **7** (1994), 5–11.
- [8] K. Fan, 'A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem', *Math. Ann.* **142** (1961), 305–310.
- [9] S.C. Fang and E.L. Peterson, 'Generalized variational inequalities', *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **38** (1982), 363–383.
- [10] D.W. Hearn, 'The gap function of a convex program', *Oper. Res. Lett.* **1** (1981), 67–71.
- [11] C.R. Jou and J.C. Yao, 'Extension of generalized multivalued variational inequalities', *Appl. Math. Lett.* **6** (1993), 21–25.
- [12] H. Kneser, 'Sur un théorème fondamental de la théorie des jeux', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **234** (1952), 2418–2420.
- [13] J. Parida and A. Sen, 'A variational-like inequality for multifunctions with applications', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **124** (1987), 73–81.
- [14] R. Saigal, 'Extension of the generalized complementarity problems', *Math. Oper. Res.* **1** (1976), 160–166.
- [15] M.H. Shih and K.K. Tan, 'Browder-Hartman-Stampacchia variational inequalities for multi-valued monotone operators', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **134** (1988), 431–440.
- [16] A.H. Siddiqi and Q.H. Ansari, 'An iterative method for generalized variational inequalities', *Math. Japonica* **34** (1989), 475–481.
- [17] A.H. Siddiqi, Q.H. Ansari and R. Ahmad, 'On generalized variational-like inequalities', *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1995), 1135–1141.
- [18] K.K. Tan, 'Comparison theorems on minimax inequalities, variational inequalities, and fixed point theorems', *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1983), 555–562.
- [19] J.C. Yao, 'A basic theorem of complementarity for the generalized variational-like inequality problem', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **158** (1991), 124–138.
- [20] J.C. Yao, 'Abstract variational inequality problems and a basic theorem of complementarity', *Comput. Math. Appl.* **25** (1993), 73–79.

- [21] J.C. Yao, 'Multi-valued variational inequalities with  $K$ -pseudomonotone operators', *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **83** (1994), 391-403.
- [22] C.L. Yen, 'A minimax inequality and its applications to variational inequalities', *Pacific J. Math.* **97** (1981), 477-481.

Department of Mathematics  
Aligarh Muslim University  
Aligarh - 202 002  
India

Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Sun Yat-sen University  
Kaohsiung 804  
Taiwan  
Republic of China