Morphology and Finite-state Transducers Part 2

ICS 482: Natural Language Processing

Lecture 6 Husni Al-Muhtaseb

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

ICS 482: Natural Language Processing

Lecture 6 Morphology and Finite-state Transducers Part 2

Husni Al-Muhtaseb

NLP Credits and Acknowledgment

These slides were adapted from presentations of the Authors of the book

SPEECH and LANGUAGE PROCESSING:

An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition

and some modifications from presentations found in the WEB by several scholars including the following

NLP Credits and Acknowledgment

If your name is missing please contact me muhtaseb At Kfupm. Edu. sa

NLP Credits and Acknowledgment

Husni Al-Muhtaseb James Martin Jim Martin Dan Jurafsky Sandiway Fong Song young in Paula Matuszek Mary-Angela Papalaskari **Dick Crouch** Tracy Kin L. Venkata Subramaniam Martin Volk Bruce R. Maxim Jan Hajič Srinath Srinivasa Simeon Ntafos **Paolo Pirjanian Ricardo Vilalta Tom Lenaerts**

Heshaam Feili Björn Gambäck Christian Korthals Thomas G. Dietterich Devika Subramanian Duminda Wijesekera Lee McCluskey David J. Kriegman Kathleen McKeown Michael J. Ciaraldi David Finkel Min-Yen Kan Andreas Gever-Schulz Franz J. Kurfess Tim Finin Nadjet Bouayad Kathy McCoy Hans Uszkoreit

Khurshid Ahmad Staffan Larsson Robert Wilensky Feiyu Xu Jakub Piskorski Rohini Srihari Mark Sanderson Andrew Elks Marc Davis Ray Larson Jimmy Lin Marti Hearst Andrew McCallum Nick Kushmerick Mark Craven Chia-Hui Chang Diana Maynard James Allan

Martha Palmer julia hirschberg Elaine Rich Christof Monz Bonnie J. Dorr Nizar Habash Massimo Poesio **David Goss-Grubbs** Thomas K Harris John Hutchins Alexandros Potamianos Mike Rosner Latifa Al-Sulaiti Giorgio Satta Jerry R. Hobbs Christopher Manning Hinrich Schütze Alexander Gelbukh Gina-Anne Levow Guitao Gao Qing Ma Zeynep Altan

Previous Lectures

- 1 Pre-start questionnaire
- 2 Introduction and Phases of an NLP system
- 2 NLP Applications
- 3 Chatting with Alice
- 3 Regular Expressions, Finite State Automata
- 3 Regular languages
- 4 Regular Expressions & Regular languages
- 4 Deterministic & Non-deterministic FSAs
- 5 Morphology: Inflectional & Derivational
- 5 Parsing

Today's Lecture

- Review of Morphology
- Finite State Transducers
- Stemming & Porter Stemmer

Reminder: Quiz 1 Next class

- Next time: Quiz
 - Ch 1!, 2, & 3 (Lecture presentations)
 - Do you need a sample quiz?
 - What is the difference between a sample and a template?
 - Let me think It might appear at the WebCt site on late Saturday.

Introduction



English Morphology

- Morphology is the study of the ways that words are built up from smaller meaningful units called morphemes
- morpheme classes
 - **Stems**: The core meaning bearing units
 - Affixes: Adhere to stems to change their meanings and grammatical functions
 - Example: *unhappily*

English Morphology

- We can also divide morphology up into two broad classes
 - Inflectional
 - Derivational
- Non English
 - Concatinative Morphology
 - Templatic Morphology

Word Classes

- By word class, we have in mind familiar notions like noun, verb, adjective and adverb
- Why to concerned with word classes?
 - The way that stems and affixes combine is based to a large degree on the word class of the stem

Inflectional Morphology

- Word building process that serves grammatical function without changing the part of speech or the meaning of the stem
- The resulting word
 - Has the same word class as the original
 - Serves a grammatical/ semantic purpose different from the original

Inflectional Morphology in English

on Nouns

- PLURAL *-s books*
- POSSESSIVE -'s Mary's
 on Verbs
- 3 SINGULAR -s s/he knows
- PAST TENSE *-ed talked*
- PROGRESSIVE -ing talking
- PAST PARTICIPLE -en, -ed written, talked on Adjectives
- COMPARATIVE *-er longer*
- SUPERLATIVE *-est longest*

Nouns and Verbs (English)

- Nouns are simple
 - Markers for plural and possessive
- Verbs are slightly more complex

 Markers appropriate to the tense of the verb
- Adjectives
 - Markers for comparative and superlative

Regulars and Irregulars

- some words misbehave (refuse to follow the rules)
 - Mouse/mice, goose/geese, ox/oxen
 - Go/went, fly/flew
- The terms regular and irregular will be used to refer to words that follow the rules and those that don't.

Regular and Irregular Verbs

- Regulars...
 - Walk, walks, walking, walked, walked
- Irregulars
 - Eat, eats, eating, ate, eaten
 - Catch, catches, catching, caught, caught
 - Cut, cuts, cutting, cut, cut

Derivational Morphology

- word building process that creates new words, either by changing the meaning or changing the part of speech of the stem
 - Irregular meaning change
 - Changes of word class

Examples of derivational morphemes in English that change the part of speech

- $fu/(N \rightarrow Adj)$
 - pain → painful
 - beauty → beautiful
 - truth \rightarrow truthful
 - cat → *catful
 - rain → *rainful
- ment (V \rightarrow N) establish \rightarrow establishment

- *ity* (Adj \rightarrow N) - *pure* \rightarrow *purity*
- $/y (Adj \rightarrow Adv)$ - $quick \rightarrow quick/y$
- $en(Adj \rightarrow V)$ - $wide \rightarrow widen$

Examples of derivational morphemes in English that change the meaning

- dis-
 - appear → disappear
- *un-*
 - comfortable → uncomfortable
- *in-*
 - accurate → inaccurate
- *re-*
 - generate → regenerate
- inter-
 - act → interact

Examples on Derivational Morphology

 $\mathsf{V}\to\mathsf{N}$

compute	computer	$N \to A$	
nominate	nominee	cat	catty, catlike
deport	deportation	hope	hopeless
computerize	computerization	magic	magical
$N \to V$		$V\toA$	
computer	computerize	love	lovable
$A \rightarrow N$		$A \rightarrow V$	
furry	furriness	black	blacken
apt	aptitude	modern	modernize
sincere	sincerity		

Derivational Examples

Verb/Adj to Noun

-ation	computerize	computerization
-ee	appoint	appointee
-er	kill	killer
-ness	fuzzy	fuzziness

Derivational Examples

Noun/ Verb to Adj

-al	Computation	Computational
-able	Embrace	Embraceable
-less	Clue	Clueless

Compute

- Many paths are possible...
- Start with compute
 - Computer -> computerize -> computerization
 - Computation -> computational
 - Computer -> computerize -> computerizable
 - Compute -> computee

Templatic Morphology: Root Pattern Examples from Arabic

Word & Transliteration	Meaning	Word & Transliteration	Meaning	
(نامَ] <naâma< td=""><td>He slept</td><td>(نائمٌ] <naâ'imun></naâ'imun></td><td>Sleeping</td></naâma<>	He slept	(نائمٌ] <naâ'imun></naâ'imun>	Sleeping	
(ينامُ] <yanaâmu></yanaâmu>	He sleeps	<i><munawwamun></munawwamun></i> [منوَّم] Under hypno		
(نمّ] <nam></nam>	Sleep	<i><na'ûmun></na'ûmun></i> [توَومٌ] Late riser		
<i><tanwçmun></tanwçmun></i> [تنويم]	Lulling to sleep	[أنوم] <anwamu></anwamu>	More given to sleep	
<i><manaâmun></manaâmun></i> [منامّ]	Dream	<nawwaâmun> [نوّامّ]</nawwaâmun>	The most given to sleep	
[نومة] <nawmatun></nawmatun>	Of one sleep	<i><manaâmun></manaâmun></i> [منامّ]	Dormitory	
<nawwaâmatun> [نوامةً]</nawwaâmatun>	Sleeper	أن] <i><'an yanaâma></i> [ينام [ينام		
<nawmiyyatun> [نوميةٌ] (19/2008</nawmiyyatun>	Pertaining to sleep	< <i>munawwamun></i> [منوِّمٌ]	hypnotic	

Morphotactic Models

English nominal inflection





- Adj-root₁: clear, happy, real
- Adj-root₂: big, red

3/19/2008

Using FSAs to Represent the Lexicon and Do Morphological Recognition

 Lexicon: We can expand each nonterminal in our NFSA into each stem in its class (e.g. adj_root₂ = {big, red}) and expand each such stem to the letters it includes (e.g. red → r e d, big → b i g)



Limitations

- To cover all of English will require very large FSAs with consequent search problems
 - Adding new items to the lexicon means recomputing the FSA
 - Non-determinism
- FSAs can only tell us whether a word is in the language or not – what if we want to know more?
 - What is the stem?
 - What are the affixes?
 - We used this information to build our FSA: can we get it back?

Parsing with Finite State Transducers

- cats \rightarrow cat +N +PL
- Kimmo Koskenniemi's two-level morphology
 - Words represented as correspondences between lexical level (the morphemes) and surface level (the orthographic word)
 - Morphological parsing :building mappings between the lexical and surface levels

С	а	t	+N	+PL	
С	а	t	S		

Finite State Transducers

- FSTs map between one set of symbols and another using an FSA whose alphabet Σ is composed of pairs of symbols from input and output alphabets
- In general, FSTs can be used for
 - Translator (Hello:مرحبا)
 - Parser/generator (Hello:How may I help you?)
 - To map between the lexical and surface levels of Kimmo's 2-level morphology

- FST is a 5-tuple consisting of
 - Q: set of states {q0,q1,q2,q3,q4}
 - Σ : an alphabet of complex symbols, each is an i/o pair such that i \in I (an input alphabet) and o \in O (an output alphabet) and Σ is in I x O
 - q0: a start state
 - F: a set of final states in Q {q4}
 - δ (q,i:o): a transition function mapping Q x Σ to Q
 - Emphatic Sheep \rightarrow Quizzical Cow



FST for a 2-level Lexicon



FST for English Nominal Inflection



Combining (cascade or composition) this FSA with FSAs for each noun type replaces e.g. regn with every regular noun representation in the lexicon

Orthographic Rules and FSTs

 Define additional FSTs to implement rules such as consonant doubling (beg → begging), 'e' deletion (make → making), 'e' insertion (watch → watches), etc.

Lexical	f	Ο	X	+N	+PL	
Intermediate	f	0	X	۸	S	#
Surface	f	Ο	X	е	S	

 Note: These FSTs can be used for generation as well as recognition by simply exchanging the input and output alphabets (e.g. ^s#:+PL)
FSAs and the Lexicon

- First we'll capture the *morphotactics*
 - The rules governing the ordering of affixes in a language.
- Then we'll add in the actual stems



Adding the Words



Derivational Rules



Parsing/Generation vs. Recognition

- Recognition is usually not quite what we need.
 - Usually if we find some string in the language we need to find the structure in it (parsing)
 - Or we have some structure and we want to produce a surface form (production/ generation)

In other words

- Given a word we need to find: the stem and its class and properties (parsing)
- Or we have a stem and its class and properties and we want to produce the word (production/generation)
- Example (parsing)
 - From "cats" to "cat +N +PL"
 - From "lies" to

Applications

- The kind of parsing we're talking about is normally called morphological analysis
- It can either be
 - An important stand-alone component of an application (spelling correction, information retrieval)
 - Or simply a link in a chain of processing

Finite State Transducers

- The simple story
 - Add another tape
 - Add extra symbols to the transitions
 - On one tape we read "cats", on the other we write "cat +N +PL", or the other way around.

FSTs



Transitions



- c:c means read a c on one tape and write a c on the other
- +N:
 e means read a +N symbol on one tape and write nothing on the other
- +PL:s means read +PL and write an s

Typical Uses

- Typically, we'll read from one tape using the first symbol on the machine transitions (just as in a simple FSA).
- And we'll write to the second tape using the other symbols on the transitions.

Ambiguity

- Recall that in non-deterministic recognition multiple paths through a machine may lead to an accept state.
 - Didn't matter which path was actually traversed
- In FSTs the path to an accept state does matter since different paths represent different parses and different outputs will result

Ambiguity

- What's the right parse for
 - Unionizable
 - Union-ize-able
 - Un-ion-ize-able
- Each represents a valid path through the derivational morphology machine.

Ambiguity

- There are a number of ways to deal with this problem
 - Simply take the first output found
 - Find all the possible outputs (all paths) and return them all (without choosing)
 - Bias the search so that only one or a few likely paths are explored

More Details

- Its not always as easy as
 "cat +N +PL" <-> "cats"
- There are geese, mice and oxen
- There are also spelling/ pronunciation changes that go along with inflectional changes

Multi-Tape Machines

- To deal with this we can simply add more tapes and use the output of one tape machine as the input to the next
- So to handle irregular spelling changes we'll add intermediate tapes with intermediate symbols

Spelling Rules and FSTs

Name	Description of Rule	Example
Consonant doubling	1-letter consonant doubled before <i>-ing/-ed</i>	beg/begging
E deletion	Silent e dropped before -ing and –ed	make/making
E insertion	e added after <i>–s, -z, -x,</i> <i>-ch, -sh</i> before <i>-s</i>	watch/watches
Y replacement	- <i>y</i> changes to <i>–ie</i> before - <i>s</i> , and to <i>-i</i> before <i>-ed</i>	try/tries
K insertion	verbs ending with <i>vowel +</i> - <i>c</i> add - <i>k</i>	panic/panicked

Multi-Level Tape Machines



• We use one machine to transducer between the lexical and the intermediate level, and another to handle the spelling changes to the surface tape



FST for the E-insertion Rule: Intermediate to Surface



3/19/2008

Note

- A key feature of this machine is that it doesn't do anything to inputs to which it doesn't apply.
- Meaning that: they are written out unchanged to the output tape.

English Spelling Changes



• We use one machine to transduce between the lexical and the intermediate level, and another to handle the spelling changes to the surface tape

Foxes



Overall Plan



Final Scheme: Part 1



Final Scheme: Part 2



Stemming vs Morphology

- Sometimes you just need to know the stem of a word and you don't care about the structure.
- In fact you may not even care if you get the right stem, as long as you get a consistent string.
- This is stemming... it most often shows up in IR (Information Retrieval) applications

Stemming in IR

- Run a stemmer on the documents to be indexed
- Run a stemmer on users queries
- Match
 - This is basically a form of hashing

Porter Stemmer

- No lexicon needed
- Basically a set of staged sets of rewrite rules that strip suffixes
- Handles both inflectional and derivational suffixes
- Doesn't guarantee that the resulting stem is really a stem
- Lack of guarantee doesn't matter for IR

Porter Example

- Computerization
 - ization -> -ize computerize
 - ize -> ε computer
- Other Rules
 - ing -> ε (motoring -> motor)
 - ational -> ate (relational -> relate)
- Practice: See Poter's Stemmer at Appendix B and suggest some rules for A KFUPM Arabic Stemmer



- The original exposition of the Porter stemmer did not describe it as a transducer but...
 - Each stage is separate transducer
 - The stages can be composed to get one big transducer

Human Morphological Processing: How do people represent words?

• Hypotheses:

- Full listing hypothesis: words listed
- Minimum redundancy hypothesis: morphemes listed

• Experimental evidence:

- Priming experiments (Does seeing/ hearing one word facilitate recognition of another?)
- Regularly inflected forms prime stem but not derived forms
- But spoken derived words can prime stems if they are semantically close (e.g. government/govern but not department/depart)

Reminder: Quiz 1 Next class

- Next time: Quiz
 - Ch 1!, 2, & 3 (Lecture presentations)
 - Do you need a sample quiz?
 - What is the difference between a sample and a template?
 - Let me think It might appear at the WebCt site on late Saturday.

More Examples

















YA/

Other transitions...



Other transitions...



السلام عليكم ورحمة الله

سبحانك اللهم وبحمدك أشهد أن لا إله إلا أنت أستغفرك وأتوب البك