Parsing Context-free grammars – Part 1 ICS 482 Natural Language Processing

Lecture 12: Parsing Context-free grammars – Part 1 Husni Al-Muhtaseb

بسم الله الرحيم ICS 482 Natural Language Processing

Lecture 12: Parsing Context-free grammars – Part 1 Husni Al-Muhtaseb

NLP Credits and

Acknowledgment

These slides were adapted from presentations of the Authors of the book

SPEECH and LANGUAGE PROCESSING:

An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition

and some modifications from presentations found in the WEB by several scholars including the following

NLP Credits and Acknowledgment

If your name is missing please contact me muhtaseb At Kfupm. Edu. sa

NLP Credits and Acknowledgment

Husni Al-Muhtaseb James Martin Jim Martin Dan Jurafsky Sandiway Fong Song young in Paula Matuszek Mary-Angela Papalaskari Dick Crouch Tracy Kin L Venkata Subramaniam Martin Volk Bruce R. Maxim Jan Hajič Srinath Srinivasa Simeon Ntafos Paolo Pirjanian **Ricardo** Vilalta Tom Lenaerts

Heshaam Feili Björn Gambäck **Christian Korthals** Thomas G. Dietterich Devika Subramanian Duminda Wijesekera Lee McCluskey David J. Kriegman Kathleen McKeown Michael J. Ciaraldi **David** Finkel Min-Yen Kan Andreas Geyer-Schulz Franz J Kurfess Tim Finin Nadjet Bouayad Kathy McCoy Hans Uszkoreit Azadeh Maghsoodi

Khurshid Ahmad Staffan Larsson Robert Wilensky Feiyu Xu Jakub Piskorski Rohini Srihari Mark Sanderson Andrew Elks Marc Davis Ray Larson Jimmy Lin Marti Hearst Andrew McCallum Nick Kushmerick Mark Craven Chia-Hui Chang Diana Maynard James Allan

Martha Palmer julia hirschberg Elaine Rich Christof Monz Bonnie J. Dorr Nizar Habash Massimo Poesio **David Goss-Grubbs** Thomas K Harris John Hutchins Alexandros Potamianos Mike Rosner Latifa Al-Sulaiti Giorgio Satta Jerry R. Hobbs **Christopher Manning** Hinrich Schütze Alexander Gelbukh Gina-Anne Levow Guitao Gao Qing Ma Zeynep Altan

Previous Lectures

- □ Introduction and Phases of an NLP system
- □ NLP Applications Chatting with Alice
- □ Finite State Automata & Regular Expressions & languages
- □ Morphology: Inflectional & Derivational
- Parsing and Finite State Transducers
- □ Stemming & Porter Stemmer
- □ Statistical NLP Language Modeling
- □ N Grams
- □ Smoothing and NGram: Add-one & Witten-Bell
- □ Parts of Speech
- □ Arabic Parts of Speech
- Syntax: Context Free Grammar (CFG): Derivation, Parsing, Recursion, Agreement, Subcategorization

Today's Lecture

- Parsing Context Free Grammars
 - Top-Down (TD)
 - Bottom-Up (BU)
 - Top-Down Depth-First Left-to-Right (TD DF L2R)
 - Top-down parsing with bottom-up filtering

Dynamic

Earley's Algorithm

Reminder

- □ Quiz 2
 - Tuesday 3rd April 2007?
 - Class time
 - Covered material
 - Textbook: Ch 6, 8, 9, covered part of 10
 - We are not covering Speech related material

Where does NLP fit in the CS taxonomy?



The Steps in NLP



Introduction

- Parsing = associating a structure (parse tree) to an input string using a grammar
- □ CFG are declarative, they don't specify how the parse tree will be constructed
- □ Parse trees are used in
 - Grammar checking
 - Semantic analysis
 - Machine translation
 - Question answering
 - Information extraction



Parsing

- Parsing with CFGs refers to the task of assigning correct trees to input strings
- Correct here means a tree that covers all and only the elements of the input and has an S at the top
- It doesn't actually mean that the system can select the correct tree from among the possible trees

Parsing

Parsing involves a search which involves the making of choices

□ Some Parsing techniques:

- Top-down parsing
- Bottom-up parsing

For Now

□ Assume...

- You have all the words already in some buffer
- The input isn't POS tagged
- We won't worry about morphological analysis
- All the words are known

Parsing as search

A Grammar to be used in our example

- $S \rightarrow NP VP$
- $S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$
- $S \rightarrow VP$
- $NP \rightarrow Pronoun$
- $NP \rightarrow Det NOMINAL$
- $NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$
- NOMINAL \rightarrow Noun
- NOMINAL \rightarrow NOMINAL Noun Pronoun \rightarrow I | she | me
- NOMINAL \rightarrow NOMINAL PP
- $VP \rightarrow Verb$
- $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$

- $VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$
- $VP \rightarrow Verb PP$
- $VP \rightarrow VP PP$
- $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$
- Det \rightarrow that | this |a
- Noun \rightarrow book | flight | meal | money
- Verb \rightarrow book | include | prefer
- - Aux \rightarrow does
 - Proper-Noun \rightarrow Houston | TWA
 - Preposition \rightarrow from | to | on | near | through

Parsing as search

Book that flight.

- Two types of constraints on the parses:
 - 1. some that come from the input string
 - 2. others that come from the grammar



Top-Down Parsing (TD)

- Since we're trying to find trees rooted with an S (Sentences) start with the rules that give us an S
- □ Then work your way down from there to the words



Bottom-Up Parsing

- Since we want trees that cover the input words start with trees that link up with the words in the right way.
- □ Then work your way up from there.



Comparing Top-Down and Bottom-Up

- □ <u>Top-Down parsers</u> never explore illegal parses (never explore trees that can't form an S) -- but waste time on trees that can never match the input
- Bottom-Up parsers never explore trees inconsistent with input -- but waste time exploring illegal parses (Explore trees with no S root)
- □ For both: how to explore the search space?
 - Pursuing all parses in parallel or …?
 - Which rule to apply next?
 - Which node to expand next?
- □ Needed: some middle ground.

Basic Top-Down (TD) parser

- Practically infeasible to generate all trees in parallel.
- □ Use depth-first strategy.
- When arriving at a tree that is inconsistent with the input, return to the most recently generated but still unexplored tree.



An example: TD, DF, L2R Search













Control

□ Does this sequence make any sense?



Top-Down and Bottom-Up

- □ Top-down
 - Only searches for trees that can be answers
 - But suggests trees that are not consistent with the words
- □ Bottom-up
 - Only forms trees consistent with the words
 - Suggest trees that make no sense globally

So Combine Them

- □ How to combine top-down expectations with bottom-up data to get more efficient searches?
- □ Use one kind as the control and the other as a filter
 - As in top-down parsing with bottom-up filtering

Bottom-Up Filtering



Top-Down, Depth-First, Left-to-Right Search







Example







Augmenting Top-Down Parsing with Bottom-Up Filtering

- □ Top-Down, depth-first, Left to Right (L2R) parsing
 - Expands non-terminals along the tree's left edge down to leftmost leaf of tree
 - Moves on to expand down to next leftmost leaf...
 - In a successful parse, current input word will be the first word in derivation of the unexpanded node that the parser is currently processing
 - So....lookahead to left-corner of the tree
 - $\Box B is a left-corner of A if A = * => B\alpha$
 - Build table with left-corners of all non-terminals in grammar and consult before applying rule

Left Corner



Left-Corner Table for <u>CFG</u>

Category	Left Corners	$S \rightarrow NP VP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$
S	Det PropN Aux V	$ S \rightarrow Aux NP VP \qquad VP \rightarrow Verb PP$
5		$S \rightarrow VP$ $VP \rightarrow VP PP$
NP	Det, PropN	$\frac{1}{NP \rightarrow Pronoun} \qquad PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$
		$NP \rightarrow Det NOMINAL$ $Det \rightarrow that this a$
Nom	N	$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$ Noun $\rightarrow book flight meal money$
T TD		
VP	V	NOMINAL \rightarrow NOMINAL Noun Pronoun \rightarrow I she me
		$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
		$VP \rightarrow Verb$ Proper-Noun \rightarrow Houston TWA
		VP \rightarrow Verb NP Preposition \rightarrow from to on near through

Summing Up

- Parsing is a search problem which may be implemented with many search strategies
- □ Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up Parsers
 - Both generate too many useless trees
 - Combine the two to avoid over-generation: Top-Down Parsing with Bottom-Up look-ahead
- □ Left-corner table provides more efficient look-ahead
 - Pre-compute all POS that can serve as the leftmost POS in the derivations of each non-terminal category

Have all the problems been solved?: Left Recursion

□ Depth-first search will never terminate if grammar is left recursive (e.g. NP \rightarrow NP PP)

$$(A \xrightarrow{*} \alpha AB, \alpha \xrightarrow{*} \varepsilon)$$



Problems with the basic parser

□ Left-recursion: rules of the type: $NP \rightarrow NP PP$ solution: rewrite each rule of the form $A \rightarrow A\beta \mid \alpha$ using a new symbol: A' as $\Box A \rightarrow \alpha A' \quad A' \rightarrow \beta A' \mid \varepsilon$

Left-recursion Problem

Rewrite
A \rightarrow A b₁
A \rightarrow A b₂
A \rightarrow A b₃
A \rightarrow α

 \square as

 $A \rightarrow \alpha A'$ $A' \rightarrow b_1 A'$ $A' \rightarrow b_2 A'$ $A' \rightarrow b_3 A'$ $A' \rightarrow \varepsilon$



 b_1

Structural ambiguity:

- Multiple legal structures
 - Attachment (e.g. I saw a man on a hill with a telescope)
 - Coordination (e.g. younger cats and dogs)
 - NP bracketing (e.g. Spanish language teachers)

Ambiguity

- □ Structural ambiguity occurs when a grammar assigns more than one possible parse trees to a sentence..
- Attachment ambiguity is when a particular constituent can be attached to the parse tree in more that one ways. E.g
 - I shot an elephant in my pajamas.
- □ **Coordination ambiguity** is when there are different sets of phrases that can be joined by a conjunction such as *and*.
 - [old [men and women]] *or* [old men] and [women]
- □ Noun phrase bracketing ambiguity.
 - [Dead [poets' society]] or [[Dead poets'] society]

Ambiguity



Ambiguity

- Choosing the correct parse of a sentence among the possible parses is a task that requires additional semantic and statistical information. A parser without such information should return all possible parses.
- A sentence may lead to a huge number of parses.
 Sentences with many PP attachments like
 Show me the meal on Flight UA 386 from San Francisco to Denver.

lead to an exponational number of parses.

PPs	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Parses	2	5	14	132	469	1430	4867

Avoiding Repeated Work

Parsing is hard, and slow. It's wasteful to redo stuff over and over and over.

□ Consider an attempt to top-down parse the following as an NP

A flight from Indianapolis to Houston on TWA









Dynamic Programming

- □ We need a method that fills a table with partial results that
 - Does not do (avoidable) repeated work
 - Does not hang in left-recursion
 - Solves an exponential problem in polynomial time (sort of)

Repeated Parsing of Subtrees

The parser often builds valid trees for a portion of the input and then discards them during backtracking because they fail to cover all of the input. Later, the parser has to rebuild the same trees again in the search.

Repeated Parsing of Subtrees

How many times each constituent in the Previous example sentence "A flight from Indianapolis to Houston on TWA" is built.

A flight	4
from Indianapolis	3
Houston	2
on TWA	1
A flight from Indianapolis	3
A flight from Indianapolis to Houston	2
A flight from Indianapolis to Houston on TWA	1

Dynamic Programming

- Create table of solutions to sub-problems (e.g. subtrees) as parse proceeds
- Look up subtrees for each constituent rather than reparsing
- Since all parses implicitly stored, all available for later disambiguation
- □ Method:
 - Cocke-Younger-Kasami (CYK) (1960)
 - Graham-Harrison-Ruzzo (GHR) (1980)
 - Earley's (1970) algorithm
 - Next Class



السلام عليكم ورحمة الله