

Warm Up Exercises

Section 1.1

5.h

- p = "Swimming at the New Jersey shore is allowed"
- q = "Sharks have been spotted near the shore"
- $\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q) = \text{" ? "}$
 - = Swimming at the New Jersey shore is **not** allowed **and** either Swimming at the New Jersey shore is allowed **or** Sharks have **not** been spotted near the shore

7.f

- $p = \text{"It is below freezing"}$
- $q = \text{"It is snowing"}$
- It is either below freezing or it is snowing, but it is not snowing if it is below freezing = ?
 $= (p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow \neg q)$

7.g

- $p = \text{"It is below freezing"}$
- $q = \text{"It is snowing"}$
- Below freezing is necessary and sufficient for it to be snowing = ?
= $p \leftrightarrow q$

■ p is necessary for q means $q \rightarrow p$

■ p is sufficient for q means $p \rightarrow q$

True or false:

- 13.a: If $1+1=2$ then $2+2 = 5$

False

- 13.b: If $1+1=3$ then $2+2 = 4$

True

- 13.d: If monkeys can fly, then $1 + 1 = 3$

True

Truth Tables

- Have fun with [this Java Applet](#)

Propositional Equivalences

Section 1.2

Definitions

- **Tautology** is a compound proposition that is always true (independent of the truth values of the single propositions)
- **Contradiction** is a compound proposition that is always false.
- **Contingency** is a compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.
- p and q are **logically equivalent** ($p \equiv q$) iff $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

Examples

- $p \vee \neg p$ is a tautology
- $p \wedge \neg p$ is a contradiction

Proof

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

Use **T** for Tautology & **F** for Contradiction

- $p \vee \neg p \equiv T$

- $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$

- $T \wedge \neg T \equiv F$

- $T \vee p \equiv T$

- $T \wedge p \equiv p$

- $F \vee p \equiv p$

- $F \wedge p \equiv F$

- $(p \vee \neg p) \wedge q \equiv q$

- $(p \wedge \neg p) \vee p \equiv p$

- $p \rightarrow T \equiv T$

- $F \rightarrow p \equiv T$

- $p \wedge q \rightarrow p \equiv T$

Examples of Equivalences

■ $p \rightarrow q \equiv q \vee \neg p$

p	q	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \vee \neg p$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Examples of Equivalences

■ $\neg (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg q \vee \neg p$

De Morgan's Law

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg (p \wedge q)$	$\neg q \vee \neg p$
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Known Equivalences

- Double Negation Law:

$$\neg (\neg p) \equiv p$$

- Identity Laws:

$$p \wedge T \equiv p$$

$$p \vee F \equiv p$$

- Domination Laws:

$$p \wedge F \equiv F$$

$$p \vee T \equiv T$$

- Idempotent Laws:

$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$

$$p \vee p \equiv p$$

Known Equivalences

- Commutative Laws:

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

$$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$$

- Negation Laws:

$$p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$$

$$p \vee \neg p \equiv T$$

- Associative Laws:

$$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$

$$p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$$

Known Equivalences

■ Distributive Laws:

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

■ De Morgan's Laws:

$$\neg (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \quad \text{NAND}$$

$$\neg (p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q \quad \text{NOR}$$

■ Absorption Laws:

$$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$$

Known Equivalences

All of these laws can be proved easily by using truth tables.

More Equivalences

- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ **contrapositive**
- $\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
- $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
- $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
- $\neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

Example

Prove that $\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}\neg (p \rightarrow q) &\equiv \neg (\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv \neg (\neg p) \wedge \neg q && \text{De Morgan's} \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg q && \text{double negation}\end{aligned}$$

Example

Prove that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology

Proof

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv \neg (p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q)$$

$$\equiv T \vee T \equiv T$$

De Morgan's

Associative

Example

Simplify

$$\neg (p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$$

$$\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg (\neg p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\equiv F \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\equiv \neg (p \vee q)$$

De Morgan's

De Morgan's

Distributive

Negation

Identity

De Morgan's

Wake-up Exercises

11.d

Prove that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \equiv T$

Proof

$$\equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$$

$$\equiv \neg (p \wedge q) \vee (p \rightarrow q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (\neg p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee \neg p \vee \neg q \vee q$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee T \equiv T$$

11.e

Prove that $\neg (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \equiv T$

Proof

$$\equiv \neg (\neg (p \rightarrow q)) \vee p$$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \vee p$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \vee p$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee q$$

$$\equiv T \vee q \equiv T$$

15

Determine if $(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$
is T, F, or contingency

Tautology !

Prove it.

25

Prove $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \\ & \equiv (\neg p \vee r) \vee (\neg q \vee r) \\ & \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \vee r \\ & \equiv \neg (p \wedge q) \vee r \\ & \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \end{aligned}$$

Definition

- **Dual** of a compound Proposition is the same proposition but
- each \wedge is replaced with \vee
- each \vee is replaced with \wedge
- each **T** is replaced with **F**
- each **F** is replaced with **T**

- **Dual of** $(\neg p \wedge T) \vee (q \vee F)$ is $(\neg p \vee F) \wedge (q \wedge T)$