

E322: Intermediate Macroeconomics

Home work 1: Solutions

2. Coal producer, steel producer, and consumers.

(a) (i) **Product approach:** Coal producer produces 15 million tons of coal at \$5/ton, which adds \$75 million to GDP. The steel producer produces 10 million tons of steel at \$20/ton, which is worth \$200 million. The steel producer pays \$125 million for 25 million tons of coal at \$5/ton. The steel producer's value added is therefore \$75 million. GDP is equal to \$75 million + \$75 million = \$150 million.

(ii) **Expenditure approach:** Consumers buy 8 million tons of steel at \$20/ton, so consumption is \$160 million. There is no investment and no government spending. Exports are 2 million tons of steel at \$20/ton, which is worth \$40 million. Imports are 10 million tons of coal at \$5/ton, which is worth \$50 million. Net exports are therefore equal to \$40 million – \$50 million = –\$10 million. GDP is therefore equal to \$160 million + (–\$10 million) = \$150 million.

(iii) **Income approach:** The coal producer pays \$50 million in wages and the steel producer pays \$40 million in wages, so total wages in the economy equal \$90 million. The coal producer receives \$75 million in revenue for selling 15 million tons at \$5/ton. The coal producer pays \$50 million in wages, so the coal producer's profits are \$25 million. The steel producer receives \$200 million in revenue for selling 10 million tons of steel at \$20/ton. The steel producer pays \$40 million in wages and pays \$125 million for the 25 million tons of coal that it needs to produce steel. The steel producer's profits are therefore equal to \$200 – \$40 million – \$125 million = \$35 million. Total profit income in the economy is therefore \$25 million + \$35 million = \$60 million. GDP therefore is equal to wage income (\$90 million) plus profit income (\$60 million). GDP is therefore \$150 million.

(b) There are no net factor payments from abroad in this example. Therefore, the current account surplus is equal to net exports, which is equal to (–\$10 million).

(c) As originally formulated, GNP is equal to GDP, which is equal to \$150 million. Alternatively, if foreigners receive \$25 million in coal industry profits as income, then net factor payments from abroad are (–\$25 million), so GNP is equal to \$125 million.

3. (a) **Product approach:** Firm A produces 50,000 bushels of wheat, with no intermediate goods inputs. At \$3/bu., the value of Firm A's production is equal to \$150,000. Firm B produces 50,00 loaves of bread at \$2/loaf, which is valued at \$100,000. Firm B pays \$60,000 to firm A for 20,000 bushels of wheat, which is an intermediate input. Firm B's value added is therefore \$40,000. GDP is therefore equal to \$190,000.

(b) **Expenditure approach:** Consumers buy 50,000 loaves of domestically produced bread at \$2/loaf and 15,000 loaves of imported bread at \$1/loaf. Consumption spending is therefore equal to \$100,000 + \$15,000 = \$115,000. Firm A adds 5,000 bushels of wheat to inventory. Wheat is worth \$3/bu., so investment is equal to \$15,000. Firm A exports 25,000 bushels of wheat for \$3/bu. Exports are \$75,000. Consumers import 15,000 loaves of bread at \$1/loaf. Imports are \$15,000. Net exports are equal to \$75,000 – \$15,000 = \$60,000. There is no government spending. GDP is equal to consumption (\$115,000) plus investment (\$15,000) plus net exports (\$60,000). GDP is therefore equal to \$190,000.

- (c) Income approach: Firm A pays \$50,000 in wages. Firm B pays \$20,000 in wages. Total wages are therefore \$70,000. Firm A produces \$150,000 worth of wheat and pays \$50,000 in wages. Firm A's profits are \$100,000. Firm B produces \$100,000 worth of bread. Firm B pays \$20,000 in wages and pays \$60,000 to Firm A for wheat. Firm B's profits are $\$100,000 - \$20,000 - \$60,000 = \$20,000$. Total profit income in the economy equals $\$100,000 + \$20,000 = \$120,000$. Total wage income (\$70,000) plus profit income (\$120,000) equals \$190,000. GDP is therefore \$190,000.
4. Price and quantity data are given as the following.

Year 1		
Good	Quantity	Price
Computers	20	\$1,000
Bread	10,000	\$1.00

Year 2		
Good	Quantity	Price
Computers	25	\$1,500
Bread	12,000	\$1.10

(a) Year 1 nominal GDP = $20 \times \$1,000 + 10,000 \times \$1.00 = \$30,000$.

Year 2 nominal GDP = $25 \times \$1,500 + 12,000 \times \$1.10 = \$50,700$.

With year 1 as the base year, we need to value both years' production at year 1 prices. In the base year, year 1, real GDP equals nominal GDP equals \$30,000. In year 2, we need to value year 2's output at year 1 prices. Year 2 real GDP = $25 \times \$1,000 + 12,000 \times \$1.00 = \$37,000$. The percentage change in real GDP equals $(\$37,000 - \$30,000)/\$30,000 = 23.33\%$.

We next calculate chain-weighted real GDP. At year 1 prices, the ratio of year 2 real GDP to year 1 real GDP equals $g_1 = (\$37,000/\$30,000) = 1.2333$. We must next compute real GDP using year 2 prices. Year 2 GDP valued at year 2 prices equals year 2 nominal GDP = \$50,700. Year 1 GDP valued at year 2 prices equals $(20 \times \$1,500 + 10,000 \times \$1.10) = \$41,000$. The ratio of year 2 GDP at year 2 prices to year 1 GDP at year 2 prices equals $g_2 = (\$50,700/\$41,000) = 1.2367$. The chain-weighted ratio of real GDP in the two years therefore is equal to $g_c = \sqrt{g_1 g_2} = 1.23496$. The percentage change chain-weighted real GDP from year 1 to year 2 is therefore approximately 23.5%.

If we (arbitrarily) designate year 1 as the base year, then year 1 chain-weighted GDP equals nominal GDP equals \$30,000. Year 2 chain-weighted real GDP is equal to $(1.23496 \times \$30,000) = \$37,048.75$.

- (b) To calculate the implicit GDP deflator, we divide nominal GDP by real GDP, and then multiply by 100 to express as an index number. With year 1 as the base year, base year nominal GDP equals base year real GDP, so the base year implicit GDP deflator is 100. For the year 2, the implicit GDP deflator is $(\$50,700/\$37,000) \times 100 = 137.0$. The percentage change in the deflator is equal to 37.0%.

With chain weighting, and the base year set at year 1, the year 1 GDP deflator equals $(\$30,000/\$30,000) \times 100 = 100$. The chain-weighted deflator for year 2 is now equal to $(\$50,700/\$37,048.75) \times 100 = 136.85$. The percentage change in the chain-weighted deflator equals 36.85%.

- (c) We next consider the possibility that year 2 computers are twice as productive as year 1 computers. As one possibility, let us define a ‘computer’ as a year 1 computer. In this case, the 25 computers produced in year 2 are the equivalent of 50 year 1 computers. Each year 1 computer now sells for \$750 in year 2. We now revise the original data as:

Year 1		
Good	Quantity	Price
Year 1 Computers	20	\$1,000
Bread	10,000	\$1.00

Year 2		
Good	Quantity	Price
Year 1 Computers	50	\$750
Bread	12,000	\$1.10

First, note that the change in the definition of a ‘computer’ does not affect the calculations of nominal GDP. We next compute real GDP with year 1 as the base year. Year 2 real GDP, in year 1 prices is now $50 \times \$1,000 + 12,000 \times \$1.00 = \$62,000$. The percentage change in real GDP is equal to $(\$62,000 - \$30,000)/\$30,000 = 106.7\%$.

We next revise the calculation of chain-weighted real GDP. From above, g_1 equals $(\$62,000/\$30,000) = 206.67$. The value of year 1 GDP at year 2 prices equals \$26,000. Therefore, g_2 equals $(\$50,700/\$26,000) = 1.95$. The percentage change chain-weighted real GDP from year 1 to year 2 is therefore 100.75%.

If we (arbitrarily) designate year 1 as the base year, then year 1 chain-weighted GDP equals nominal GDP equals \$30,000. Year 2 chain-weighted real GDP is equal to $(2.0075 \times \$30,000) = \$60,225$. The chain-weighted deflator for year 1 is automatically 100. The chain-weighted deflator for year 2 equals $(\$50,700/\$60,225) \times 100 = 84.18$. The percentage rate of change of the chain-weighted deflator equals -15.8% .

When there is no quality change, the difference between using year 1 as the base year and using chain weighting is relatively small. Factoring in the increased performance of year 2 computers, the production of computers rises dramatically while its relative price falls. Compared with earlier practices, chain weighting provides a smaller estimate of the increase in production and a smaller estimate of the reduction in prices. This difference is due to the fact that the relative price of the good that increases most in quantity (computers) is much higher in year 1. Therefore, the use of historical prices puts more weight on the increase in quality-adjusted computer output.

6. Corn producer, consumers, and government.

- (a) (i) Product approach: There are no intermediate goods inputs. The corn producer grows 30 million bushels of corn. Each bushel of corn is worth \$5. Therefore, GDP equals \$150 million.
- (ii) Expenditure approach: Consumers buy 20 million bushels of corn, so consumption equals \$100 million. The corn producer adds 5 million bushels to inventory, so investment equals \$25 million. The government buys 5 million bushels of corn, so government spending equals \$25 million. GDP equals \$150 million.

- (iii) Income approach: Wage income is \$60 million, paid by the corn producer. The corn producer's revenue equals \$150, including the value of its addition to inventory. Additions to inventory are treated as purchasing one owns output. The corn producer's costs include wages of \$60 million and taxes of \$20 million. Therefore, profit income equals \$150 million – \$60 million – \$20 million = \$70 million. Government income equals taxes paid by the corn producer, which equals \$20 million. Therefore, GDP by income equals \$60 million + \$70 million + \$20 million = \$150 million.
- (b) Private disposable income equals GDP (\$150 million) plus net factor payments (0) plus government transfers (\$5 million is Social Security benefits) plus interest on the government debt (\$10 million) minus total taxes (\$30 million), which equals \$135 million. Private saving equals private disposable income (\$135 million) minus consumption (\$100 million), which equals \$35 million. Government saving equals government tax income (\$30 million) minus transfer payments (\$5 million) minus interest on the government debt (\$10 million) minus government spending (\$5 million), which equals \$10 million. National saving equals private saving (\$35 million) plus government saving (\$10 million), which equals \$45 million. The government budget surplus equals government savings (\$10 million). Since the budget surplus is positive, the government budget is in surplus. The government deficit is therefore equal to (–\$10 million).