# KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS

Term 172

**STAT 212:** BUSINESS STATISTICS II

# Exam III 18 April 2018 at 6:15 PM

Name:				
ID #:				
Serial#:	Section:	1	2 (Al-Sawi)	3 (Abbas)

Important Notes:

- 1) You must <u>show all work</u> to obtain full credit for questions on this exam.
- <u>DO NOT round</u> your answers at each step. Round answers only if necessary at your final step to <u>4 decimal places</u>.

Question No	Full Marks	Marks Obtained
Ql	19	
ବୃଛ	13	
ବ୍ୟ	12	
Q4	8	
Q5	8	
Total	60	

 In Hawaii, condemnation proceedings are under way to enable private citizens to own the property that their homes are built on. Until recently, only estates were permitted to own land, and homeowners leased the land from the estate. In order to comply with the new law, a large Hawaiian estate wants to use regression analysis to estimate the fair market value of the land. The following model was fit to data collected for n = 90 properties, 30 of which are located near a cove.

Model#1:  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2 + \beta_4 X_1^2 + \beta_5 X_1^2 X_2 + \epsilon$ 

where Y = Sale price of property in thousands of dollars

- $X_1$  = Size of property in thousands of square feet
- $X_2 = 1$  if property located near cove, 0 if not

Using the data collected for the 90 properties, the following partial output is shown:

Model # 1 (Full Model )	Model # 2			
The regression equation is Sale price = - 199 + 0.391 Size + 403 Cove - 0.000037 Size^2 - 0.390 Size*Cove + 0.000080 Size^2 *Cove	The regression equation is Sale price = - 88 + 0.294 Size - 25.8 Cove - 0.000019 Size^2			
Predictor         Coef         SE Coef         T         P         VIF           Constant         -198.6         130.9         -1.52         0.133         Size         0.3913         0.1188         3.30         0.051         41.058           Cove         403.5         285.2         1.41         0.161         110.679           Size^2         -0.00003718         0.00002445         -1.52         0.132         39.086           Size*Cove         -0.3900         0.2780         -1.40         0.164         457.894           Size^2 *Cove         0.00008046         0.00006458         1.25         0.216         144.662           S = 121.256         R-Sq = 60.6%         R-Sq(adj) = 58.3%         State         State         State	Predictor         Coef         SE Coef         T         P           Constant         -87.5         111.0         -0.79         0.433           Size         0.2943         0.1025         2.87         0.050           Cove         -25.84         27.70         -0.93         0.354           Size^2         -0.00001879         0.00002168         -0.87         0.388           S = 121.667         R-Sq = 59.4%         R-Sq(adj) = 58.0%			
Analysis of Variance	Analysis of Variance			
Source         DF         SS         MS         F         P           Regression         5         1900039         380008         25.85         0.001           Residual Error         84         1235044         14703           Total         89         3135083	Source         DF         SS         MS         F         P           Regression         3         1862045         620682         41.93         0.002           Residual Error         86         1273038         14803           Total         89         3135083			
Model # 3	Model # 4.			
Model#3 The regression equation is Sale price = - 79 + 0.278 Size - 0.000015 Size^2	Model#4. The regression equation is Sale price = - 11.8 + 0.214 Size + 43.5 Cove - 0.0326 Size*Cove			
The regression equation is	The regression equation is Sale price = - 11.8 + 0.214 Size + 43.5 Cove - 0.0326			
The regression equation is Sale price = - 79 + 0.278 Size - 0.000015 Size^2 Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant -79.5 110.6 -0.72 0.474 Size 0.2776 0.1009 2.75 0.007 Size^2 -0.00001506 0.00002129 -0.71 0.481	The regression equation is Sale price = - 11.8 + 0.214 Size + 43.5 Cove - 0.0326 Size*Cove Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant -11.77 45.40 -0.26 0.796 Size 0.21358 0.02092 10.21 0.000 Cove 43.46 95.72 0.45 0.651			

- I. (2+2 pts)
  - A. Using **Model # 4**, for a property located near cove, as the size increase by one sq.ft., the sale price will change, on average, by ......
  - B. Interpret the coefficient of Size^2 in **Model # 2**:....

II. Answer the following questions Using <u>Model #1</u>, A. (4 pts) Is the overall model statistically adequate at  $\alpha = 0.05$  for predicting sale price (*Y*)?

H<sub>0</sub>:

**H**<sub>1</sub>:

P-value:

## **Conclusion :**

- B. (2 pts) Which of the independent variables in the full model are significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ? Explain.
- C. (2 pts) Is there any contradiction between the answers of part A and part B? If Yes, Explain why.

- D. (7 pts) Given a quadratic relationship between sale price (Y) and property size ( $X_1$ ), what null hypothesis would you test to determine whether the curves differ from cove and non-cove properties?
- H<sub>0</sub>: H<sub>1</sub>:

Test Statistic:

Critical value:

Conclusion:

2. Suppose that the sales manager of a large automotive parts distributor wants to estimate the total annual sales for each of the company's regions. Five factors appear to be related to regional sales: the number of retail outlets in the region (x<sub>1</sub>), the number of automobiles in the region registered as of April 1 (x<sub>2</sub>), the total personal income recorded in the first quarter of the year (x<sub>3</sub>), the average age of the automobiles (x<sub>4</sub>), and the number of sales supervisors in the region (x<sub>5</sub>). The data for each region were gathered for last year. For example, see the following table. In region 1 there were 1,739 retail outlets stocking the company's automotive parts, there were 9,270,000 registered automobiles in the region as of April 1, and so on. The region's sales for that year were \$37,702,000.

Annual Sales (\$ millions)	Number of Retail Outlets	Number of Automobiles Registered (millions)	Personal Income (\$ billions)	Average Age of Automobiles (years)	Number of Supervisors	
У	<b>X</b> 1	<b>X</b> 2	<b>X</b> 3	<b>X</b> 4	<b>X</b> 5	
37.702	1,739	9.27	85.4	3.5		9
24.196	1,221	5.86	60.7	5		5
32.055	1,846	8.81	68.1	4.4		7
•	•	•		•	•	

Use the following Minitab outputs to answer the questions on next page:

The regression equation is Sales = -19.7 - 0.00063 outlets + 1.74 autos + 0.410 income + 2.04 age - 0.034 bosses							
	Predictor Constant outlets - automobiles income age bosses	Coef -19.672 0.000629 1.7399 0.40994 2.0357 -0.0344	SE Coef 5.422 0.002638 0.5530 0.04385 0.8779 0.1880	T -3.63 -0.24 3.15 9.35 2.32 -0.18	P 0.022 0.823 0.035 0.001 0.081 0.864		
Analysis o	f Variance SOURCE Regression Residual Error Total	4	SS MS 3.81 318.76 9.08 2.27 2.89	F 140.36	P 0.000		
	ssion equation i 18.9 + 1.61 auto		income + 1.96	age			
	Predictor Constant automobiles income age	Coef -18.924 1.6129 0.40031 1.9637	SE Coef 3.636 0.1979 0.01569 0.5846	T -5.20 8.15 25.52 3.36	P 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.015		
Analysis o	of Variance SOURCE Regression Residual Error Total	6	SS MS 93.66 531.22 9.23 1.54 02.89		P 0.000		

(1) (3 pts) What percent of the variation in annual sales is explained by the regression equation including all 5 predictors, **taking into account** the **sample size** and **number of independent variables**?

(2) (6 pts) Using  $\alpha = 0.05$ , Test the following hypothesis:

 $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_5 = 0$  $H_1:$  at least one of them is significantly different from zero

Test Statistic:

Critical value:

Conclusion:

(3) (2 pts) Which variable is the most significant variable in explaining the annual sales? Explain.

(4) (2 pts) Which variable is the least significant variable in explaining the annual sales? Explain.

3. A human resource (HR) director wants to recruit sales managers. The company has the data for its 45 regions on 5 variables i.e.

Sales ( <b>y</b> )	-> Ratio of yearly sales divided by the target sales value for that region.
Wonder ( <b>x</b> 1)	—> Score from the Wonderlic Personnel Test.
SC ( <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub> )	—> Score on the Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory Test.
Experience ( <b>x</b> a	<ul> <li>a) -&gt; Number of years of selling experience prior to becoming a sales manager.</li> </ul>
Engineer ( <b>x</b> 4)	—> Dummy variable that equals 1 if the sales manager has a degree in electrical engineering and 0 otherwise.

The director wants to fit a linear regression for predicting sales of region and he feels that the sales can possibly depend on Wonder, SC, Experience and Engineer. To decide which variables should be included in the model, the director runs a stepwise regression and a best-subset regression. The Minitab outputs are given below:

Stepwise Regression: Sales versus Wonder, SC, Experience, EngineerAlpha-to-Enter:0.15Alpha-to-Remove:0.15Response is Sales on 4 predictors, with N = 45Step12Constant31.4726.89SC1.361.34T-Value7.397.48P-Value0.0000.000Engineer7.3T-Value1.83P-Value0.075S11.811.5R-Sq55.9759.22R-Sq(adj)54.9557.28

### Best Subsets Regression: Sales versus Wonder, SC, Experience, Engineer

			Mallows					
Vars	R− Sq	R-Sq(adj)	Ср	S	Wonder	SC	Experience	Engineer
1	56.0	55.0	2.3	11.782		Х		
1	5.8	3.6	51.6	17.232	Х			
1	4.9	2.6	52.6	17.320				Х
2	59.2	57.3	1.1	11.474		Х		Х
2	56.1	54.0	4.2	11.904		Х	Х	
2	56.0	53.9	4.3	11.917	Х	Х		
3	59.3	56.3	3.0	11.598		Х	Х	Х
3	59.2	56.2	3.1	11.613	Х	Х		Х
3	56.1	52.9	6.1	12.045	Х	Х	Х	
4	59.3	55.3	5.0	11.742	Х	Х	x	Х

(A) (2 pts) Write down the final model through the stepwise regression.

- (B) (6 pts) Based on the output "Best Subsets Regression",What are the best **two** candidate models based on:
  - 1. Adjusted R-square criterion?

2. Mallows Cp criterion?

3. Standard error?

(C) (2 pts) Which variable is most strongly correlated with sales (y)? Justify your answer.

(D)(2 pts) What would be the final model through the stepwise regression if Alpha-to-Enter= 0.05 and Alpha-to-Remove = 0.05. Justify your answer. 4. (8 pts) Suppose we estimate the model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$ using 36 months of data. From the regression results we calculate a Durbin-Watson test statistic of 1.03. What can we conclude about the possibility of positive autocorrelation in this model at=0.05?

H<sub>0</sub>: H<sub>1</sub>:

Test Statistic:

Critical values:

**Decision Rules:** 

Conclusion:

#### 5. (8 pts) For each of the following graphs, Which assumption appears to be violated? Explain

