KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS Term 151

STAT 212 BUSINESS STATISTICS II Third Major Exam <u>Allowed time 75 minutes</u> Wednesday November 25, 2015

Please circle your instructor name:

R. Anabosi

M. Saleh

		C	++ .	
Name:_	ID H	Srl	#:	

Important Note:

- 1) You must **show all work** to obtain full credit for questions on this exam.
- <u>DO NOT round</u> your answers at each step. Round answers only if necessary at your final step to <u>4 decimal places</u>.

Question No	Full Marks	Marks Obtained
Q1	29	
Q2	8	
Q3	14	
Q4	9	
Total	60	

Question One (16+3+2+2+6 points):

The Gilmore Accounting firm collected data in an effort to explain variation in client profitability. The variables used were:

- Y = Net profit earned from the client
- X₁ = Number of hours spent working with the client
- X₂ = Type of client:
 - 1 = manufacturing
 - 2 = service
 - 3 = governmental

A Minitab output is shown below. Regression Analysis: Net profit versus Hours spent; Manufac; Service

The regression equation is Net profit = - 586 + 22.9 Hours spent + 2302 Manufac + 1870 Service

Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	Т	P	VIF
Constant		974.2		0.566	
Hours spent			0.78	0.461	1.481
Manufac		895.1		0.037	1.838
Service			2.45		1.564

S = R-Sq = 69.8% R-Sq(adj) = %

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression			5130297	5.39	0.031
Residual Error			951223		
Total	10	22049448			

Unusual Observations

	Hours	Net				
Obs	spent	profit	Fit	SE Fit	Residual	St Resid
2	56.0	4200	2564	677	1636	2.33R

R denotes an observation with a large standardized residual.

Durbin-Watson statistic = 2.46576

Using the output above, answer the following:

- a. Fill in the gaps (shaded in gray) in the above output properly.
- b. Interpret the regression coefficient of "Manufac".

c. Predict the Net profit from a governmental client if 34 hours spent working with him.

d. Is there a severe collinearity in the model above? Justify your answer.

e. Is the variable "Hours spent" significantly linearly related to "Net profit"? Explain.

Question Two (1+1+2+2+2 points):

A study, in India, on rice was conducted to examine the relationship between Y, the yield (kg/ha) of rice as a function of X, the number of days after flowering at which harvesting took place. Minitab output is given below.

Regression Analysis: y versus x

The regression equation is y = 10.14 + 0.006353 x S = 9.46438 R-Sq = 7.8% R-Sq(adj) = 1.2% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 1 105.96 105.957 1.18 0.295 Error 14 1254.04 89.574 Total 15 1360.00

Polynomial Regression Analysis: y versus x

The regression equation is $y = -145.9 + 0.1062 \times - 0.000016 \times *2$

S = 9.32427 R-Sq = 16.9% R-Sq(adj) = 4.1%

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р
Regression	2	229.75	114.877	1.32	0.300
Error	13	1130.25	86.942		
Total	15	1360.00			

Sequential Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	F	P
Linear	1	105.957	1.18	0.295
Quadratic	1	123.796	1.42	0.254

Polynomial Regression Analysis: y versus x

The regression equation is $y = -1925 + 1.818 \times -0.000557 \times *2 + 0.000000 \times *3$

S = 9.01722 R-Sq = 28.3% R-Sq(adj) = 10.3%

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р
Regression	3	384.28	128.092	1.58	0.247
Error	12	975.72	81.310		
Total	15	1360.00			

Polynomial Regression Analysis: y versus x

The regression equation is

Page | 5

 $y = -23736 + 29.6 x - 0.0137 x^{**2} + 0.000003 x^{**3} - 0.000000 x^{**4}$

S = 8.09441R-Sq = 47.0% R-Sq(adj) = 27.7%

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	4	639.29	159.82	2.44	0.109
Residual Error	11	720.71	65.52		
Total	15	1360.00			

Polynomial Regression Analysis: y versus x

The regression equation is $y = -48744 + 69 x - 0.039 x^{*2} + 0.000011 x^{*3} - 0.0000 x^{*4} +$ 0.0000 x**5 R-Sq = 47.2% R-Sq(adj) = 20.9%S = 8.47127Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F Ρ Regression 5 642.38 128.48 1.79 0.203 Residual Error 10 717.62 71.76 1360.00

Based on the output above, answer the following:

15

Total

- a. What is the size of the sample used in this study?
- b. How many models are there in the output above?
- c. Which model is significant in relating the Yield of rice to the Number of days after flowering, at 12% level of significance? Why?

d. Which model is the best model in predicting the variation in the Yield of rice using the Number of days after flowering? Why?

e. Based on your answer in part (d), predict the Yield of rice if was harvested 30 days after flowering.

$Page | \mathbf{6}$

Question Three (2+3+3+3+3 points):

Hip; Thigh

A variety of sources uses simple body measurements to predict percentage Body fat. These simple body measurements include: Age, Weight (in pound), Height (in inch), Chest circumference (in cm), Abdomen circumference (in cm), Hip circumference (in cm), and Thigh circumference (in cm).

Given the following Minitab output Regression Analysis: %Fat versus Age; Weight; ... The regression equation is %Fat = - 43.8 + 0.0937 Age - 0.221 Weight - 0.073 Height - 0.010 Chest + 0.921 Abdomen - 0.249 Hip + 0.742 Thigh Predictor Coef SE Coef Т Ρ VIF -43.80 22.70 -1.93 0.060 Constant 0.291 0.09370 0.08761 1.07 1.708 Age -0.22112 0.07028 -3.15 0.003 25.242 Weight Height -0.0733 0.1150 -0.64 0.527 1.674 0.1734 -0.06 0.953 -0.0103 10.680 Chest Abdomen 0.9214 0.1631 5.65 0.000 17.650 0.2636 -0.94 -0.2486 0.351 23.991 Hip 0.7416 0.2863 2.59 0.013 11.652 Thigh S = 3.94225 R-Sq = 83.8% R-Sq(adj) = 81.2% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F Ρ 7 3388.30 484.04 31.15 0.000 Regression Residual Error 42 15.54 652.74 49 4041.03 Total Unusual Observations SE Fit Obs %Fat Fit Residual St Resid Aqe 39 46.0 33.800 37.992 2.978 -4.192 -1.62 X 44.0 0.20 X 42 31.700 31.483 3.786 0.217 X denotes an observation whose X value gives it large leverage. Durbin-Watson statistic = 1.78689 Correlations: %Fat; Age; Weight; Height; Chest; Abdomen;

%Fat Aqe Weight Height Chest Abdomen Hip 0.517 Age 0.000 0.612 0.265 Weight 0.000 0.063 -0.276 0.109 Height -0.266 0.451 0.061 0.052 0.723 Chest 0.376 0.912 0.014 0.007 0.000 0.000 0.926

Abdomen	0.824	0.442		-0.052 0.717			
Hip	0.693 0.000			-0.045 0.754			
Thigh	0.682			-0.037 0.801		0.890 0.000	
Cell Conte	nts: Pear P-Va		elation				
Stepwise	Regress	ion: %F	at vers	us Age;	Weight;	•••	
Backward e	liminatic	n. Alph	a-to-Rem	ove: 0.0	5		
Response i	s %Fat on	7 predi	ctors, w	ith N =	50		
Step Constant	1 -43.80	2 -44.37	3 -54.76	4 -61.69	5 -59.00		
Age T-Value P-Value	0.094 1.07 0.291	1.09		1.37			
Weight T-Value P-Value	-0.221 -3.15 0.003	-3.37	-4.63	-0.268 -5.84 0.000	-6.04		
T-Value	-0.07 -0.64 0.527	-0.64					
Chest T-Value P-Value	-0.01 -0.06 0.953						
Abdomen T-Value P-Value	0.921 5.65 0.000	0.916 6.90 0.000	0.911 6.92 0.000	0.863 7.46 0.000	0.950 9.75 0.000		
Hip T-Value P-Value	-0.25 -0.94 0.351	-0.95	-0.77				
Thigh T-Value P-Value	0.74 2.59 0.013	2.66	3.03	0.74 2.95 0.005	0.65 2.67 0.010		
S R-Sq R-Sq(adj) Mallows Cp	81.16	3.90 83.85 81.59 6.0		3.85 83.47 82.00 3.0	3.89 82.78 81.66 2.8		

Answer the following:

a. Comment, and do NOT interpret, on the regression coefficients of Weight, Height, Chest, and Hip. Explain in detail.

b. Estimate and interpret the percentage body fat of nobody and comment on it.

c. If one of the predictors is to be removed from the model, which one is that predictor? Why?

d. After some analysis, it was found that not all predictors are significantly useful to predict the percentage Body fat. Write the model with most useful predictors. Explain.

e. Use the model in d to predict the Body fat of a person whose age is 21, weight is 170, height is 70 inches, chest circumference is 100 cm, abdomen circumference is 90 cm, hip circumference is 105 cm, and whose thigh circumference is 60 cm?

Question Four (4+4+4 points):

Retail sales of electricity (\$ Mil) are predicted using net generation by energy source. The different sources of energy included in the study are Coal, Petroleum, Natural Gas, Nuclear, and Hydroelectric. Given the Minitab output below, answer the questions that follow:

Correlations: Hydroelectric	Sales (\$Mil); Coal;	<pre>Petroleum; Natural Gas; Nuclear;</pre>
Coal	Sales (\$Mil) 0.881 0.000	Coal Petroleum Natural Gas
Petroleum	0.413 0.182	0.469 0.124
Natural Gas	0.961 0.000	0.872 0.000 0.200
Nuclear	0.912 0.000	0.8530.3480.9600.0000.2680.000
Hydroelectric	-0.480 0.114	-0.223-0.461-0.5340.4870.1320.074

	Nuclear
Hydroelectric	-0.527
	0.078

Cell Contents: Pearson correlation P-Value

Regression Analysis: Sales (\$Mil) versus Coal; Petroleum; ...

The regression equation is Sales (\$Mil) = 70612 + 0.0583 Coal - 0.041 Petroleum + 0.217 Natural Gas - 0.081 Nuclear - 0.035 Hydroelectric

Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	Т	P
Constant	70612	75675	0.93	0.387
Coal	0.05834	0.07587	0.77	0.471
Petroleum	-0.0412	0.2140	-0.19	0.854
Natural Gas	0.2172	0.1032	2.11	0.080
Nuclear	-0.0806	0.1486	-0.54	0.607
Hydroelectric	-0.0354	0.1196	-0.30	0.777

Analysis of Variance								
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р			
Regression	5	5719764576	1143952915	17.37	0.002			
Residual Error	6	395079130	65846522					
Total	11	6114843706						

Best Subsets Regression: Sales (\$Mil) versus Coal; Petroleum; ... Response is Sales (\$Mil) Η У Ν d а r Ρt 0 e u е t r N l raue olcc С 1 1 t o e G e r Mallows auaai R-Sq(adj) Vars R-Sq Ср S lmsrc 92.4 91.7 -1.0 6799.5 Х 1 1 83.1 81.4 7.7 10158 Х 2 93.2 91.7 0.3 6797.2 Х Х 2 92.6 90.9 0.9 7093.8 ΧХ 3 93.4 91.0 2.1 7078.5 ΧХ Х 3 93.2 90.7 2.3 7197.6 Х Х Х 4 93.5 89.8 4.0 7535.8 ХХХ Х 93.4 89.7 4 4.1 7567.3 ХХХХ 93.5 6.0 5 88.2 8114.6 XXXXX

a. What is the best group of predictors to fit the Retail sales of electricity? Why?

b. Is there a sever collinearity in the full regression model? Why?

c. Since the overall model is significant in predicting the Retail sales, which of the predictors is individually significant in predicting the Retail sales? Why?