

Problem # 1. (10 marks) Given the problem

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \theta_t - b\theta_{xx} + \beta u_{xt} = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(0, t) = \theta(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = \theta(1, t) = 0, & \text{on } [0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x), & \text{in } (0, 1) \\ b, \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

1) Show that the space

$$W = \{w \in H^1(0, 1) / w(0) = 0\}$$

is complete

2) Discuss the well posedness of (P1).

Sol. 1) let (u_n) be a Cauchy sequence in W . so, it is Cauchy in $H^2(I)$. So $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^2(I)$ and $L^\infty(I)$

with $\sup_{x \in I} |u_n(x) - u(x)| \leq C \|u_n - u_n\|_{H^2(I)} \rightarrow 0$

Thus $u_n(0) \rightarrow u(0) \Rightarrow u(0) = 0 \Rightarrow u \in W$

Hence W is (closed) complete.

2) let $v_t - v = 0$, then $v_t - u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = 0$

(P_1) takes the form

$$(P'_1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - v = 0 \\ v_t - u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = 0 \\ \theta_t - b\theta_{xx} + \beta v_x = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{0.5}$$

Let $H = W \times L^2(I) \times L^2(I)$

We rewrite (P'_1) as $V_t + AV = 0$,

where $AV = A\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v \\ -u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x \\ -b\theta_{xx} + \beta v_x \end{pmatrix}$ 0.5

0.5 $D(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \in H / u \in H^2(I), v \in W, \theta \in H^2(I) \cap H^1_0(I), u_x(1) = 0 \right\}$

We equip H by
 $\left(\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \int_0^1 u_x \tilde{u}_x + \int_0^1 v \tilde{v} + \int_0^1 \theta \tilde{\theta}$ 0.5

Monotonicity: For $\mathcal{V} \in D(A)$, we have

$$(A\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})_H = \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{V} \\ -\mathcal{U}_{xx} + \beta \theta_x \\ -b\theta_{xx} + \beta \mathcal{V}_x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{V} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \right)_H$$

$$= - \int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \mathcal{U}_x - \int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_{xx} \mathcal{V} + \beta \int_0^1 \theta_x \mathcal{V} - b \int_0^1 \theta \theta_{xx} + \beta \int_0^1 \mathcal{V}_x \theta$$

$$\text{0.5} \quad = - \cancel{\int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \mathcal{U}_x} - \cancel{\int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \mathcal{V}} + \int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \mathcal{V}_x - \beta \int_0^1 \theta \mathcal{V}_x + b \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 + \beta \int_0^1 \theta \mathcal{V}_x$$

$$= b \int_0^1 \theta_x^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow A \text{ is monotone.}$$

Maximality let $F = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \\ h \end{pmatrix} \in H$ and find $\mathcal{V} \in D(A)$

s.t $\mathcal{V} + A\mathcal{V} = F$. That is

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{V} = f \in W & \textcircled{1} \\ \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{U}_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = g \in L^2 & \textcircled{2} \\ \theta - b\theta_{xx} + \beta \mathcal{V}_x = h \in L^2 & \textcircled{3} \end{cases}$$

①+② gives $\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = f + g$
We define the bilinear form and linear form as follows:

$$B\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{V} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{U} \tilde{\mathcal{U}} + \int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_x + \beta \int_0^1 \theta_x \tilde{\mathcal{U}} + \int_0^1 \theta \tilde{\mathcal{U}} + b \int_0^1 \mathcal{V} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_x + \beta \int_0^1 \mathcal{V}_x \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$$

$$+ \beta \int_0^1 \mathcal{U}_x \tilde{\theta}$$

$$\text{and } L\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \int_0^1 (f + g) \tilde{\mathcal{U}} + \int_0^1 (h + \beta f_x) \tilde{\theta}$$

clearly L and B are linear and bdd on $W \times H_0^1(I)$.

Coerciveness

$$B((\begin{matrix} u \\ \theta \end{matrix}), (\begin{matrix} u \\ \theta \end{matrix})) = \int_0^1 (u^2 + u_x^2 + \theta^2 + \theta_x^2) \geq \alpha \|(\begin{matrix} u \\ \theta \end{matrix})\|_{W \times H_0^1}^2 \quad 0.5$$

Lax-Milgram \Rightarrow Existence of unique $(\begin{matrix} u \\ \theta \end{matrix}) \in W \times H_0^1$ st

$$B((\begin{matrix} u \\ \theta \end{matrix}), (\begin{matrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{matrix})) = L((\begin{matrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{matrix})), \quad \forall (\begin{matrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{matrix}) \in W \times H_0^1(I). \quad ④$$

Take $\tilde{\theta} \equiv 0$ then, we have, by ④

$$\int_0^1 u \tilde{u} + \int_0^1 u_x \tilde{u}_x + \beta \int_0^1 \theta_x \tilde{u} = \int_0^1 (f + g) \tilde{u}, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in \mathbb{V} \quad ⑤$$

Note that $H_0^1(I) \subset \mathbb{V}$. so ⑤ is valid for $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(I)$

Thus, we can have

$$\int_0^1 u_x \tilde{u}_x = \int_0^1 (f + g - u - \beta \theta_x) \tilde{u}, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in H_0^1(I) \text{ (or } C_0^\infty(I)\text{)}$$

$$\Rightarrow u_x \in H^1(I) \text{ or } u \in H^2(I), \text{ with}$$

$$u_{xx} = -(f + g - u - \beta \theta_x)$$

$$\text{or } -u_{xx} + u + \beta \theta_x = f + g$$

letting $v = u - f$, we obtain ②. 0.5

Next, we integrate by parts in ⑤, to arrive at

$$\int_0^1 u \tilde{u} + u_x \tilde{u} \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 u_{xx} \tilde{u} + \beta \int_0^1 \theta_x \tilde{u} = \int_0^1 (f + g) \tilde{u}$$

That is

$$\int_0^1 (-u_{xx} + u + \cancel{\beta \theta_x} - f - g) \tilde{u} + u_x(1) \tilde{u}(1) = 0, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in \mathbb{W}$$

Arbitrariness of $\tilde{u} \Rightarrow u_x(1) = 0 \quad 0.5$

Thus $u \in W \cap H_0^1(I)$, with $u_x(1) = 0$

$$v = u - f \in W$$

Similarly, take $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$, to get

$$\int_0^1 (\theta \tilde{\theta} + \theta_x \tilde{\theta}_x + \beta v_x \tilde{\theta}) = \int_0^1 (h + \beta f_x) \tilde{\theta}, \quad \forall \tilde{\theta} \in H_0^1(I)$$

This gives that $\theta \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ and, similarly, integration by parts leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} (\theta - \theta_{xx} + \beta v_x) \tilde{\theta} = \int_{\Omega} (h + \beta f_x) \tilde{\theta}, \quad \forall \tilde{\theta} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

1 Thus $\theta - \theta_{xx} + \beta v_x = h + \beta f_x$

recalling that $v = u - f$ then we obtain

$$\theta - \theta_{xx} + \beta v_x = h$$

So $\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}(A)$; hence, A is maximal.

Theorem. suppose that $(u_0, u_1, \theta) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ then (P_1) has

a unique solution s.t

$$u \in C([0, +\infty), H^2 \cap W) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), W) \cap C^2([0, +\infty), L^2(\Omega))$$

$$\theta \in C([0, +\infty), H^2 \cap H_0^1) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), L^2(\Omega)).$$