

AS475 Survival Models for Actuaries Formula

Peliminary SOA Exam P Formula

$$\Gamma(\alpha; x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)\Gamma(\alpha - 1)$$

Continuous Dsn	pdf $f(x)$	mgf $M_X(t)$	Mean $E[X]$	$Var(X)$
Uniform(a, b)	$f(x) = \begin{cases} (b-a)^{-1} & a < x < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$\frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$	$\frac{b+a}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$
Exponential(λ) $\lambda > 0$	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$
Gamma(α, λ)	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$	$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}\right)^\alpha$	$\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$
Normal(μ, σ^2) $-\infty < x < \infty$	$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$	$exp\left(\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}\right)$	μ	σ^2
Pareto(α, θ)	$f(x) = \frac{\alpha \theta^\alpha}{(x + \theta)^{\alpha+1}}$ $F(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{x + \theta}\right)^\alpha$	$M_X(t)$ not given $E[X^k] = \frac{\theta^k k! \Gamma(\alpha - k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$	$\frac{\theta}{\alpha - 1}$	$\frac{\alpha \theta^2}{(\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2)}$

Discrete Dsn	pmf $p(x)$	mgf $M(t)$	Mean $E[X]$	Variance $Var(X)$
Binomial(n, p) $0 \leq p \leq 1$	$\binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$ $x = 0, 1, \dots, n$	$(pe^t + 1 - p)^n$	np	$np(1-p)$
Poisson(λ) $\lambda > 0$	$\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$ $x = 0, 1, \dots$	$exp[\lambda(e^t - 1)]$	λ	λ
Geometric(p)	$p^x (1-p)^{x-1}$ $x = 0, 1, \dots$	$\frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t}$	$\frac{1}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$
Negative Binomial(r, p)	$\binom{n-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{n-r}$ $n = r, r+1, \dots$	$\left[\frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t}\right]^r$	$\frac{r}{p}$	$r \frac{1-p}{p^2}$
Hypergeometric(n, K, N)	$\frac{1}{\binom{N}{n}} \binom{K}{x} \binom{N-K}{n-x}$ $x = 0, 1, \dots, \min(n, K)$	special function	$np^* = n \frac{K}{N}$	$np^*(1-p^*) \frac{N-n}{N-1}$

KK1 Introduction to Survival Analysis

Time = survival time Event = failure

Left-censored: true survival time \leq the observed survival time

Right-censored: true survival time \geq observed survival time

Interval-censored: true survival time is **within** a known time interval

Left censoring $\Rightarrow t_1 = 0; t_2 =$ upper bound **Right** censoring $\Rightarrow t_1 =$ lower bound; $t_2 = \infty$

$$d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if failure} \\ 0 & \text{censored} \end{cases} \quad S(t) = \text{survivor function} \quad h(t) = \text{hazard function}$$

Hazard function = conditional failure rate $h(t) =$ instantaneous potential

$$S(t) = P(T > t) \quad h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(t \leq T < t + \Delta t | T \geq t)}{\Delta t}$$

Relationship of $S(t)$ and $h(t)$: If you know one, you can determine the other.

$$h(t) = \lambda \text{ iff } S(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \quad h(t) = - \left[\frac{dS(t)/dt}{S(t)} \right] \quad S(t) = \exp \left[- \int_0^t h(u) du \right] \quad \hat{S}(t) = \text{observed survivor function}$$

Goals of Survival Analysis: 1) To estimate and interpret survivor and/or hazard functions from survival data.

2) To compare survivor and/or hazard functions.

3) To assess the relationship of explanatory variables to survival time. Use math modeling, e.g., Cox proportional hazards

Descriptive measures of survival experience

Average survival time : $\bar{T} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$

	Linear regression	Logistic regression	Survival analysis
Measure of effect:	regression coefficient β	odds ratio e^β	hazard ratio e^β

Censoring Assumptions: Three assumptions

- Independent (vs.non-independent) censoring
- Random (vs. non-random) censoring
- Non-informative (vs. informative) censoring

KK2:Kaplan-Meier Curves and the Log-Rank Test

Kaplan Meier curves (see also KPW12). $S(t_{(f)}) = S(t_{(f-1)})P(T > t_{(f)}|T \geq t_{(f)}) = \prod_{i=1}^f P(T > t_{(i)}|T \geq t_{(i)})$

Note: Kaplan-Meier product limit estimator comes from the probability rule $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \times P(B|A)$
 Log-Rank Test for no difference in survival curves of Several Groups: $\mathbf{d}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{d} \sim \chi_{G-1}^2, i = 1, 2, \dots, G$ where

$$\mathbf{d} = (O_1 - E_1, O_2 - E_2, \dots, O_{G-1} - E_{G-1})' \quad f = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ time intervals for the } G \text{ groups}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = ((v_{ij})) \quad n_f = \sum_{i=1}^G n_{if} \quad m_f = \sum_{i=1}^G m_{if} \quad e_{if} = \frac{n_{if}}{n_f} m_f \quad O_i - E_i = \sum_{f=1}^k (m_{if} - e_{if})$$

$$v_{ii} = \text{Var}(O_i - E_i) = \sum_{f=1}^k \frac{n_{if}(n_f - n_{if})m_f(n_f - m_f)}{n_f^2(n_f - 1)}$$

$$v_{ij} = \text{Cov}(O_i - E_i, O_j - E_j) = \sum_{f=1}^k \frac{-n_{if}n_{jf}m_f(n_f - m_f)}{n_f^2(n_f - 1)}$$

Log-Rank Test for no difference in survival curves of 2 Groups: $\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{\text{Var}(O_i - E_i)} \sim \chi_1^2, i = 1, 2$ where

$$O_i - E_i = \sum_f (m_{if} - e_{if}), \quad \text{Var}(O_i - E_i) = \sum_f \frac{n_{1f}n_{2f}(m_{1f} + m_{2f})(n_{1f} + n_{2f} - m_{1f} - m_{2f})}{(n_{1f} + n_{2f})^2(n_{1f} + n_{2f} - 1)}$$

$$e_{if} = \left(\frac{n_{if}}{n_{1f} + n_{2f}} \right) (m_{1f} + m_{2f}) = \text{expected counts} = (\text{proportion in risk set}) \times (\text{\#failures over both groups})$$

$$m_{if} = \text{observed counts for the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ group at time } f.$$

Approximate formula: $\sum_{i=1}^G \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \sim \chi_1^2, i = 1, 2.$

Alternative tests for 2 groups: Test statistic: $\frac{\left(\sum_f w(t_{(f)})(m_{if} - e_{if}) \right)^2}{\text{Var} \left(\sum_f w(t_{(f)})(m_{if} - e_{if}) \right)}$ where $w(t_{(f)}) = \text{weights at the}$

f^{th} failure time.

	LogRank	Wilcoxon	Tarone-Ware	Peto	Flamington -Harrington
$w(t_{(f)})$	1	n_f	$\sqrt{n_f}$	$\tilde{s}(t_{(f)})$	$\hat{S}(t_{(f-1)})^p [1 - \hat{S}(t_{(f-1)})]^q$ $p = 0 \rightarrow \text{LogRank}$

Cox Models: KK3-KK6

	KK3. Cox PH	KK5. Stratified Cox PH	KK6. Cox PH for Time-dependent Variables
Model	$h_0(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i)$	$h_{0g}(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i)$ $g = 1, 2, \dots, k$	$h_0(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} \delta_j X_j)$
HR: $\frac{h(t, \mathbf{X}^*)}{h(t, \mathbf{X})}$	$\exp[\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i (X_i^* - X_i)]$		$\exp[\sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \beta_i (X_i^* - X_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} \delta_j (X_j^* - X_j)]$
Meaning PH	$\frac{h(t, \mathbf{X}^*)}{h(t, \mathbf{X})} = \theta$		PH not satisfied
General model to assess		Interaction: $h_{0g}(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{ig} X_i)$ $g = 1, 2, \dots, k$ strata defined from Z^* or $h_{0g}(t) \exp[\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i + \sum_{g=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{ig} X_i Z_g]$	PH assumption of Cox PH: $h_0(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i X_i g_i(t))$ where $g_i(t)$ is time-dependent fn heaviside $g_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \text{ in interval } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
Likelihood ratio (LR) test	$-2 \ln L_R - (-2 \ln L_F)$ $LR \sim \chi_{\#parameters \text{ in } F-R}^2$	$-2 \ln L_R - (-2 \ln L_F)$ $LR \sim \chi_{p(k-1)}^2$	$-2 \ln L_R - (-2 \ln L_F)$ $LR \sim \chi_{\#parameters \text{ in } F-R}^2$

95% Confidence Interval for Hazard Ratio, $HR = \exp(\ell)$ where $\ell = \beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i W_i$:

$$\exp(\hat{\ell} + 1.96 \sqrt{\widehat{Var}(\hat{\ell})}) \quad \text{where } Var(\hat{\ell}) = Var(\hat{\beta}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{\delta}_i W_i)$$

Adjusted survival curve.

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, \mathbf{X}) &= \exp \left[- \int_0^t h(u) du \right] = \exp \left[- \int_0^t h_0(u) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i \right) du \right] = \exp \left[- \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i \right) \int_0^t h_0(u) du \right] \\ &= \left[\exp \left(- \int_0^t h_0(u) du \right) \right]^{\exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i)} = [S_0(t)]^{\exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i)} \end{aligned}$$

KK4. Methods for checking PH assumptions

Method	Ideas	Details
1) Graphical	a) $\ln(-\ln S(t))$ vs t b) Obs vs predicted $S(t)$	$\ln(-\ln S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i + \ln(-\ln S_0(t))$ a linear function
2) Time dependent covariate	interaction terms: $X \times g(t)$	$h_0(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i X_i g_i(t))$ Test for $H_0: \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta_p = 0$ using LR with χ_p^2
3) Goodness of fit	large sample Z test	Schoenfeld Residuals. Use p -values

If PH assumption **not met**, use stratified Cox or Cox with time-dependent covariates.

KPW11. Estimation of Complete Data

Definition 1 (D11.1) A **data-dependent distribution** is at least as complex as the data or knowledge that produced it, and the number of "parameters" increases as the number of data points or amount of knowledge increases.

Definition 2 (D11.2) A **parametric distribution** is a set of distribution functions, each member of which is determined by specifying one or more values called **parameters**. The number of parameters is fixed and finite.

Definition 3 (D11.3) The **empirical distribution** is obtained by assigning probability $1/n$ to each data point.

Definition 4 (D11.4) A **kernel smoothed distribution** is obtained by replacing each data point with a continuous random variable and then assigning probability $1/n$ to each such random variable. The random variables used must be identical except for a location or scale change that is related to its associated data point.

Definition 5 (11.5) The **empirical distribution function** is $F_n(x) = \frac{\text{number of observations } \leq x}{n}$, when n is the total number of observations.

Definition 6 (11.6) The **cumulative hazard rate function** is defined as $H(x) = -\ln S(x)$. The name comes from the fact that, if $S(x)$ is differentiable, $H'(x) = -\frac{S'(x)}{S(x)} = \frac{f(x)}{S(x)} = h(x)$, and then $H(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x h(y)dy$.

Definition 7 (11.7) The **Nelson-Åalen estimate** of the cumulative hazard rate function is

$$\hat{H}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < y_1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{s_i}{r_i}, & y_{j-1} \leq x < y_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, k, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{s_i}{r_i}, & x \geq y_k \end{cases}$$

where the risk set $r_i = \sum_{j=i}^k s_j$ = number of observations $\geq y_i$.

Definition 8 (11.8) For grouped data, the distribution function obtained by connecting the values of the empirical distribution function at the group boundaries with straight lines is called the **ogive**. The formula is

$$F_n(x) = \frac{c_j - x}{c_j - c_{j-1}} F_n(c_{j-1}) + \frac{x - c_{j-1}}{c_j - c_{j-1}} F_n(c_j), \quad c_{j-1} \leq x \leq c_j.$$

Definition 9 (11.9) For grouped data, the empirical density function can be obtained by differentiating the ogive. The resulting function is called a **histogram**. The formula is

$$f_n(x) = \frac{F_n(c_j) - F_n(c_{j-1})}{c_j - c_{j-1}} = \frac{n_j}{n(c_j - c_{j-1})}, \quad c_{j-1} \leq x \leq c_j.$$

KPW12. Estimation of Modified Data (See also KK2)

Definition 10 (12.1) An observation is **truncated from below** (also called **left truncated**) at d if when it is at or below d it is **not recorded**, but when it is above d it is recorded at its observed value.

► An observation is **truncated from above** (also called **right truncated**) at u if when it is at or above u it is **not recorded**, but when it is below u it is recorded at its observed value.

► An observation is **censored from above** (also called **left censored**) at d if when it is at or below d it is recorded as being **equal to d** , but when it is above d it is recorded at its observed value.

► An observation is **censored from below** (also called **right censored**) at u if when it is at or above u it is recorded as being **equal to u** , but when it is below u it is recorded at its observed value.

$$r_j = (\text{number of } d_i s < y_j) - (\text{number of } x_i s < y_j) - (\text{number of } u_i s < y_j) \quad (12.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= r_{j-1} + (\text{number of } d_i s \text{ between } y_{j-1} \text{ and } y_j) \\ &\quad - (\text{number of } x_i s \text{ equal to } y_{j-1}) \\ &\quad - (\text{number of } u_i s \text{ between } y_{j-1} \text{ and } y_j) \end{aligned} \quad (12.2)$$

$s_j = \#$ of time the uncensored event y_j occurs in the sample.

$$\text{Kaplan-Meier estimate } S_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t < y_1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{r_i - s_i}{r_i} \right), & y_{j-1} \leq x < y_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, k, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{r_i - s_i}{r_i} \right) \text{ or } 0, & t \geq y_k \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Greenwood's approximation formula: } \widehat{Var}[S_n(y_j)] = S_n(y_j)^2 \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{s_i}{r_i(r_i - s_i)}. \quad (12.3)$$

Definition 11 (12.2) A **kernel density estimator** of a distribution function is $\hat{F}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k p(y_j) K_{y_j}(x)$

and the estimator of the density function is $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k p(y_j) k_{y_j}(x)$,

Definition 12 (12.3) The following defines 3 popular **kernel** smoothing methods:

	Uniform kernel	Triangular kernel	Gamma kernel
$k_y(x)$	$\begin{cases} 0, & x < y - b, \\ \frac{1}{2b}, & y - b \leq x \leq y + b, \\ 0, & x > y + b, \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 0, & x < y - b, \\ \frac{x - y + b}{b^2}, & y - b \leq x \leq y, \\ \frac{y + b - x}{b^2}, & y \leq x \leq y + b, \\ 0, & x > y + b, \end{cases}$	$\frac{x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x\alpha/y}}{(y/\alpha)^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}$ shape α and scale parameter y/α
$K_y(x)$	$\begin{cases} 0, & x < y - b, \\ \frac{x - y + b}{2b}, & y - b \leq x \leq y + b, \\ 1, & x > y + b. \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 0, & x < y - b, \\ \frac{(x - y + b)^2}{2b^2}, & y - b \leq x \leq y, \\ 1 - \frac{(y + b - x)^2}{2b^2}, & y \leq x \leq y + b, \\ 1, & x > y + b. \end{cases}$	Gamma kernel has mean of $\alpha(y/\alpha) = y$ variance of $\alpha(y/\alpha)^2 = y^2/\alpha$

Exposure method	exposure definition	q_j
Exact	exposure = exact total time under observation	$q_j = 1 - \exp(-d_j/e_j)$
Actuarial	exposure period extend to end of age interval	$q_j = d_j/e_j$

Life insurance Exposure method	exposure definition
Insuring Ages	based on policy holder's age at entry
Anniversary based	based on when the policy reach its anniversary

Interval-based Exposure method	UDD exposure (risk set)	midyear exposure (risk set)
Exact	$P_j + (n_j - d_j - w_j)/2$	$P_j + (n_j - w_j)/2$
Actuarial	$P_j + (n_j - w_j)/2$	$P_j + (n_j - w_j)/2$

KPW13. Frequentist Estimation

Definition 13 (13.1) A **method-of-moments estimate** of θ is any solution of the p equations $\mu'_k(\theta) = \hat{\mu}'_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Definition 14 (13.2) A **percentile matching estimate** of θ is any solution of the p equations $\pi_{g_k}(\theta) = \hat{\pi}_{g_k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p are p arbitrarily chosen percentiles. From the definition of percentile, the equations can also be written as $F(\hat{\pi}_{g_k}|\theta) = g_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Definition 15 (13.3) The **smoothed empirical estimate** of a percentile is calculated as $\hat{\pi}_g = (1-h)x_{(j)} + hx_{(j+1)}$, where $j = \lfloor (n+1)g \rfloor$ and $h = (n+1)g - j$. Here $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ indicates the **greatest integer function** and $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(n)}$ are the **order statistics** from the sample.

Definition 16 (13.4) The **likelihood function** is $L(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Pr(X_j \in A_j|\theta)$ and the **maximum likelihood estimate** of θ is the vector that maximizes the likelihood function.

Theorem 17 (T13.5) Assume that the pdf (pf in the discrete case) $f(x; \theta)$ satisfies the following for θ in an interval containing the true value (replace integrals by sums for discrete variables):

(i) $\ln f(x; \theta)$ is three times differentiable with respect to θ .

(ii) $\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x; \theta) dx = 0$. This formula implies that the derivatives may be taken outside the integral and so we are just differentiating the constant 1.

(iii) $\int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(x; \theta) dx = 0$. This formula is the same concept for the second derivative.

(iv) $-\infty < \int f(x; \theta) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(x; \theta) dx < \infty$. This inequality establishes that the indicated integral exists and that the location where the derivative is zero is a maximum.

(v) There exists a function $H(x)$ such that $\int H(x) f(x; \theta) dx < \infty$ with $\left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \theta^3} \ln f(x; \theta) \right| < H(x)$. This inequality makes sure that the population is **not overpopulated** with regard to extreme values.

Then the following results hold:

(a) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the probability that the likelihood equation $[L'(\theta) = 0]$ has a solution goes to 1.

(b) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of the mle $\hat{\theta}_n$ converges to a normal distribution with mean θ and variance

such that $I(\theta)\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 1$, where the Fisher's information

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= -nE \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(X; \theta) \right] = -n \int f(x; \theta) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(x; \theta) dx \\ &= nE \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X; \theta) \right)^2 \right] = n \int f(x; \theta) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x; \theta) \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{[I(\theta)]^{-1/2}} < z \right) = \Phi(z)$.

Theorem 18 (T13.6- Delta Method) Let $X_n = (X_{1n}, \dots, X_{kn})^T$ be a multivariate random variable of dimension k based on a sample of size n . Assume that X is asymptotically normal with mean θ and covariance matrix Σ/n , where neither θ nor Σ depend on n . Let g be a function of k variables that is totally differentiable. Let $G_n = g(X_{1n}, \dots, X_{kn})$. Then G_n is asymptotically normal with mean $g(\theta)$ and variance $(\partial \mathbf{g})^T \Sigma (\partial \mathbf{g})/n$, where $\partial \mathbf{g}$ is the vector of first derivatives, that is, $\partial \mathbf{g} = (\partial g/\partial \theta_1, \dots, \partial g/\partial \theta_k)^T$ and it is to be evaluated at θ , the true parameters of the original random variable.

KPW14. Frequentist Estimation for Discrete Distributions

Negative Binomial: The moment equation are $r\beta = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kn_k}{n} = \bar{x}$. (14.1)

and $r\beta(1+\beta) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 n_k}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kn_k}{n} \right)^2 = s^2$. (14.2)

$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \left(\frac{k}{\beta} - \frac{r+k}{1+\beta} \right)$. (14.3)

and $\frac{\partial l}{\partial r} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \ln(1+\beta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \frac{(r+k-1) \dots r}{k!}$

$$= -n \ln(1+\beta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} (r+m) = -n \ln(1+\beta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \ln(r+m)$$

$$= -n \ln(1+\beta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{r+m}. \quad (14.4)$$

Setting these equations to zero yields $\hat{\mu} = \hat{r}\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kn_k}{n} = \bar{x}$ (14.5)

and $n \ln(1+\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\hat{r}+m} \right)$. (14.6)

$H(\hat{r}) = n \ln \left(1 + \frac{\bar{x}}{\hat{r}} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\hat{r}+m} \right) = 0$ (14.7)

Binomial: $\hat{q} = \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kn_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k}$, (14.8)

The (a,b,1) class: $\bar{x}(1-e^{-\lambda}) = \frac{n-n_0}{n} \lambda$. (14.9) $\bar{x} = \frac{1-\hat{p}_0^M}{1-p_0} \lambda$. (14.10)

Zero-modified Binomial: $\bar{x} = \frac{1-\hat{p}_0^M}{1-p_0} mq$, (14.11)

$l_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \ln p_k - (n-n_0) \ln(1-p_0)$, (14.12)

Hence, $l_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \ln \left[\binom{k+r-1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta} \right)^r \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta} \right)^k \right] - (n-n_0) \ln \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta} \right)^r \right]$. (14.13)

$$g_k = \frac{\lambda}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k j f_j g_{k-j}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (14.14) \quad \text{where } f_j = \beta^{j-1} / (1 + \beta)^j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

KPW15. Bayesian Estimation

Definition 19 (D15.1) **Prior distribution** $\pi(\theta)$ is a probability distribution over the space of parameter values. It represents our opinion about the relative chances various θ values are the true parameter value.

Definition 20 (D15.2) **Improper prior distribution** is one for which the probabilities (or pdf) are non-negative but their sum (or integral) is infinite.

Definition 21 (D15.3) The **model distribution** $f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ is the probability distribution for the data given a particular value of the parameter.

Definition 22 (D15.4) The **joint distribution** $f_{\mathbf{X},\Theta}(\mathbf{x},\theta)$ has pdf $f_{\mathbf{X},\Theta}(\mathbf{x},\theta) = f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)$.

Definition 23 (D15.3) The **marginal distribution** of \mathbf{X} has pdf $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta$.

Definition 24 (D15.6) The **Posterior distribution** $\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is the conditional probability distribution of parameter values given the observed data.

Definition 25 (D15.7) The **Predictive distribution** $f_{Y|\mathbf{X}}(y|\mathbf{x})$ is the conditional probability distribution of a new observation y given the observed data \mathbf{x} .

Theorem 26 (T15.8) The **posterior distribution** can be computed as $\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta}$ while the **predictive distribution** can be computed as $f_{Y|\mathbf{X}}(y|\mathbf{x}) = \int f_{Y|\Theta}(y|\theta)\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})d\theta$, where $f_{Y|\Theta}(y|\theta)$ is the pdf of the new observation given the parameter value.

Inference and Prediction

Definition 27 (D15.9) A **loss function** $l_j(\hat{\theta}_j, \theta_j)$ describes the penalty paid by the investigator when $\hat{\theta}_j =$ estimator while $\theta_j =$ true value of the j^{th} parameter.

Definition 28 (D15.10-12) The **Bayes estimate** for a given loss function is the one that minimizes the expected loss **given the posterior distribution** of the parameter in question.

	Square error	absolute error	zero-one
loss function $l_j(\hat{\theta}_j, \theta_j)$	$(\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j)^2$	$ \hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j $	0 if $\hat{\theta}_j = \theta_j$ 1 if $\hat{\theta}_j \neq \theta_j$
Bayes estimate	mean	median	mode of $\pi_{\Theta \mathbf{X}}(\theta \mathbf{x})$

Definition 29 (D5.13) The points $a < b$ defines a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ **credibility interval** for θ_j provided that $\Pr(a < \Theta_j < b|\mathbf{x}) \geq 1 - \alpha$.

Theorem 30 (T15.14) If the posterior random variable $\theta_j|\mathbf{x}$ is continuous and unimodal, then the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ credibility interval with the smallest width $b-a$ is the unique solution to

$$\int_a^b \pi_{\Theta_j|\mathbf{X}}(\theta_j|\mathbf{x})d\theta_j = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(a|\mathbf{x}) = \pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(b|\mathbf{x}).$$

The interval is a special case of a highest posterior density (HPD) credibility set.

Definition 31 (D15.15) For any posterior distribution, the $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ **HPD credibility set** is the set of parameter values C such that $\Pr(\theta_j \in C) \geq 1 - \alpha$ and $C = \{\theta_j : \pi_{\Theta_j|\mathbf{X}}(\theta_j|\mathbf{x}) \geq c\}$ for some c where c is the largest value for which the probability inequality holds.

Theorem 32 (T15.16: **Bayesian Central Limit Theorem**) If $\pi(\theta)$ and $f_{\mathbf{X}|\Theta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ are both **twice differentiable** in the elements of θ and **other commonly satisfied assumptions** hold, then the posterior distribution of Θ given $X = \mathbf{x}$ is asymptotically normal. (see Theorem T13.5 for commonly satisfied assumptions).

Conjugate prior distributions

Definition 33 (D15.17) A prior distribution is said to be a **conjugate prior distribution** for a given model if the resulting posterior distribution is from the same family as the prior (but perhaps with different parameters).

Theorem 34 (T15.18) Suppose for $\Theta = \theta$, the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. with pf $f_{X_j|\Theta}(x_j|\theta) = \frac{p(x_j)e^{r(\theta)x_j}}{q(\theta)}$

where Θ has pdf $\pi(\theta) = \frac{[q(\theta)]^{-k} e^{\mu k r(\theta)} r'(\theta)}{c(\mu, k)}$

where k and μ are parameters of the distribution and $c(\mu, k)$ is the normalizing constants. Then the posterior pf $\pi_{\Theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is of the same form as $\pi(\theta)$.

KPW16. Model Selection

Models and Data Representations.

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < t, \\ \frac{F(x) - F(t)}{1 - F(t)} & x \geq t. \end{cases} \quad f^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < t, \\ \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(t)} & x \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Graphical comparison of models to data: Check discrepancies

- 1) Empirical and model plot ($F_n(x)$ and $F^*(x)$ vs x plot)
- 2) Deviation plot ($D(x) = F_n(x) - F^*(x)$ vs x plot)
- 3) Probability $p - p$ plot : check for straight 45° line

Hypothesis tests

A) H_o : Data came from population with stated model } \rightarrow (1) KS (2) AD (3) Chi-Square GoF test
vs H_a : Data **did not** come from such population

(1) Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) Test: Statistic $D = \max_{t \leq x \leq u} |F_n(x) - F^*(x)|$ where

t = left truncation point ($t = 0$ if no truncation) u = right censoring point ($u = \infty$ if no censoring).

If $D \leq CV$ don't reject H_o

$D > CV$ reject H_o , where

α	0.10	0.05	0.01
critical value	$1.22/\sqrt{n}$	$1.36/\sqrt{n}$	$1.63/\sqrt{n}$

(2) Anderson-Darling (AD) Test: Statistic $A^2 = n \int_t^u \frac{[F_n(x) - F^*(x)]^2}{F^*(x)[1 - F^*(x)]} f^*(x) dx$

$$A^2 = -nF^*(u) + n \sum_{j=0}^k [1 - F_n(y_j)]^2 \{ \ln [1 - F^*(y_j)] - \ln [1 - F^*(y_{j+1})] \} + n \sum_{j=1}^k F_n(y_j)^2 [\ln F^*(y_{j+1}) - \ln F^*(y_j)]$$

If $A^2 \leq CV$ don't reject H_o

$A^2 > CV$ reject H_o , where

α	0.10	0.05	0.01
critical value	1.933	2.492	3.857

(3) Chi-Square goodness of fit (GoF) Test: Statistic $\chi_{df}^2 = \sum_{g=1}^k \frac{n(\hat{p}_g - p_{ng})^2}{\hat{p}_g} = \sum_{g=1}^k \frac{(E_g - O_g)^2}{E_g}$ where

$t = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k < u \leq \infty$, $\hat{p}_g = F^*(c_g) - F^*(c_{g-1})$, $p_{ng} = F_n(c_g) - F_n(c_{g-1})$,

$E_g = n\hat{p}_g$, $O_g = np_{ng}$, $df = k - 1 - \#parameter$.

If $\chi_{df}^2 \leq CV$ don't reject H_o

$\chi_{df}^2 > CV$ reject H_o ,

where $CV = \chi_{df, 1-\alpha}^2$ is from a χ^2 table.

B) H_o : Data came from population with distribution model A

vs H_a : Data came from population with distribution model B (where A is special case of B).

Likelihood ratio Test: Statistic $T = 2 \ln(L_a/L_0) = 2(\ln L_a - \ln L_0)$

If $T \leq CV$ don't reject H_o where L_0 = Likelihood function maximized under H_o

$T > CV$ reject H_o , L_a = Likelihood function maximized under H_a .

$CV = \chi_{df, 1-\alpha}^2$ is from a χ^2 table and $df = \#parameter_{H_a} - \#parameter_{H_0}$.

Selection of Models

- (1) Use a simple model if possible
- (2) Restrict universe of potential models

A) Judgement-based approach

B) Score-based approach

Some scores worth considering:

- a) Lowest value of Kolmogorv-Smirnov statistic
- b) Lowest value of Anderson-Darling statistic
- c) Lowest value of Chi-square goodness of fit statistic
- d) Highest p -value for the Chi-square goodness of fit statistic
- e) Highest value of the likelihood function at its maximum.

KK7. Parametric Survival Models

	Weibull	Exponential	Log-logistic
$h_0(t)$	$pt^{p-1} \exp(\beta_0)$	$\exp(\beta_0)$	complicated form
$h(t, X)$	λpt^{p-1} $p < 1$ decreasing $p = 1$ constant $p > 1$ increasing	λ Weibull($p = 1$)	$\frac{\lambda pt^{p-1}}{1 + \lambda t^p}$ $p \leq 1$ decreasing $p > 1$ increase then decrease
PH form	$\lambda = \exp(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i)$	$\lambda = \exp(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i)$	
PO form			$\lambda = \exp(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i)$
$S(t)$	$\exp(-\lambda t^p)$	$\exp(-\lambda)$	$\frac{1}{1 + \lambda t^p}$
HR (TRT = 1 vs 0)	$\exp(\beta_1)$	$\exp(\beta_1)$	
$\ln[-\ln S(t)]$	$\ln(\lambda) + p \ln(t)$		
Failure odds $\frac{1 - S(t)}{S(t)}$			λt^p
$\ln(\text{failure odds})$			$\ln(\lambda) + p \ln(t)$
$f(t) = h(t)S(t)$	$\lambda pt^{p-1} \exp(-\lambda t^p)$	$\lambda \exp(-\lambda)$	$\frac{\lambda pt^{p-1}}{(1 + \lambda t^p)^2}$
AFT t	$t = [-\ln S(t)]^{1/p} \times \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}}$ $\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}} = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i)$	$t = [-\ln S(t)] \times \frac{1}{\lambda}$ $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i)$	$t = \left[\frac{1}{S(t)} - 1 \right]^{1/p} \times \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}}$ $\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}} = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i)$
α_i vs β_i	$\beta_i = -\alpha_i p$	$\beta_i = -\alpha_i$	$\beta_i = -\alpha_i p$
Acceleration γ	$\gamma = \exp(\alpha_0)$	$\gamma = \exp(\alpha_0)$	$\gamma = \exp(\alpha_0)$
	AFT \Rightarrow PH then PH \Rightarrow AFT		AFT \Leftrightarrow PH AFT \Leftrightarrow PO

	General form	LogNormal	Gompertz
$h_0(t)$			$\exp(\gamma t)$
$h(t, X)$			$\lambda \exp(\gamma t)$ $\gamma < 0$ exponentially decreasing $\gamma = 0$ constant $\gamma > 0$ exponentially increasing
PH form			$\lambda = \exp(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i)$
t	$t = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i + \sigma \epsilon)$	$t = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i + \sigma \epsilon)$	$t = \exp(\alpha_0 + \sum \alpha_i X_i + \epsilon)$
AFT		$\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$	

Frailty Models: $h_j(t, X|\alpha_j) = \alpha_j h(t, X)$ $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\mu_\alpha = 1$ and variance $_\alpha = \theta$
 model with Gamma frailty: $\alpha \sim \text{gamma} (\mu_\alpha = 1, \text{variance}_\alpha = \theta)$

Weibull with gamma frailty HR(TRT=2 vs 1) =
$$\begin{cases} \exp(\beta_1) & \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \\ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \exp(\beta_1) & \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \end{cases}$$

unconditional hazard with gamma frailty:
$$h_U(t, X) = \frac{h(t)}{1 - \theta \ln S(t)}$$

KK8. Recurrent Event Survival Analysis

Events can occur more than 1 times during study

(1) Counting Process (CP) with Cox PH model (2) Stratified Cox PH models (3) Parametric with frailty model

(1) Counting Process (CP) with Cox PH model

standard cox: $h(t, X) = h_0(t) \exp(\sum \beta_i X_i)$

likelihood function is different than nonrecurrent event (subjects remains in the risk set until last interval for follow-up)

Robust estimation for variance estimators: $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\hat{\beta}) = \widehat{\mathbf{Var}}(\hat{\beta})[\hat{\mathbf{R}}_S \hat{\mathbf{R}}_S] \widehat{\mathbf{Var}}(\hat{\beta})$ where $\widehat{\mathbf{Var}}(\hat{\beta})$ =information matrix and $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_S$ =matrix of score residuals.

(2) Stratified Cox PH models for recurrent times

time interval =strata

no interaction stratified cox: $h_g(t, X) = h_{0g}(t) \exp(\sum \beta_i X_i)$ or **interaction** stratified cox: $h_g(t, X) = h_{0g}(t) \exp(\sum \beta_{ig} X_i)$

Robust estimation for variance estimators

(a) **Stratified Counting Process** approach: time interval = time from $(k-1)^{st}$ to k^{th} event

(b) **Gap Time** approach: time interval = additional time between 2 recurrent events

(c) **Marginal Time** approach: time interval = total time to k^{th} event

(3) Parametric with shared frailty model

Survival curves with recurrent events: on one ordered event at a time.

$S_k(t) = Pr(T_k > t)$ where T_k =survival time up to occurrence of k^{th} event.

a) Stratified $S_{kc}(t) = Pr(T_{kc} > t)$ where T_k =time from $(k-1)^{st}$ to k^{th} event: restricts data to subjects with $(k-1)$ events.

b) Marginal $S_{km}(t) = Pr(T_{km} > t)$ where T_k =time from study entry to k^{th} event: ignores previous events.

KK9. Competing Risk Survival Analysis

Only one event of different type can occur to a subject during study: Events compete with each other.

Usually one event is death. Example: Accidental, Illness vs natural death.

(1) Separate models for each event type (2) Lunn-McNeil (LM) approach

(1) Separate models for each event type

Use Cox PH model for each hazard separately while treating other competing risks as censored.

cause-specific hazard function: $h_c(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} P(t \leq T_c \leq t + \Delta t) / \Delta t$ where T_c =time to failure from event c , $c = 1, 2, \dots, C$.

cause-specific model: $h_c(t, X) = h_{0c}(t) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{ic} X_i)$ $c = 1, 2, \dots, C$.

Independence Assumptions: Independent censoring. Competing risks are independent.

Cumulative Incidence Curves (CIC): KM curves may not be informative.

alternative to KM curves for competing risks. $CIC(t_f) = \sum_{f'=1}^f \hat{I}_c(t_{f'}) = \sum_{f'=1}^f \hat{S}(t_{f'-1}) \hat{h}_c(t_{f'})$

Conditional Probability Curves (CPC): $CPC_c = P(T_c \leq t | T \geq t)$ where T_c =time until event c occurs while T =time until any competing risk event occurs

$CPC_c = CIC_c / (1 - CIC_c)$

(a) Pepe & Mori (1993) test for 2 CPC curves (b) Lunn (1998) test for g CPC curves

(2) Lunn-McNeil (LM) approach

uses an augmented data layout