



King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Technical Report Series

TR 285

January 2003

A Wave Equation with Fractional Damping

Nasser-eddine Tatar

A Wave Equation with Fractional Damping

Nasser-eddine Tatar

King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: tatarn@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract

We consider a wave equation with an internal damping represented by a fractional derivative of lower order than one. An exponential growth result is proved in presence of a source of polynomial type. This result improves an earlier one where the initial data are supposed to be very large in some norm. A new argument based on a new functional is proposed.

Key words and phrases: Exponential growth, fractional derivative, internal damping

AMS Subject Classification: 35L20, 35L70

1 Introduction

We are interested in the following fractional differential problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \partial_t^{1+\alpha} u = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $p > 1$, $-1 < \alpha < 1$, $u_0(x)$ and $u_1(x)$ are given functions. Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary Γ . Here $\partial_t^{1+\alpha}$ is the Caputo's fractional derivative of order $1 + \alpha$ (see [17], Chapter 2.4.1) defined by

$$\partial_t^{1+\alpha} w(t) := I^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} w(t), \quad -1 < \alpha < 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$\partial_t^{1+\alpha} w(t) := I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} w(t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad (3)$$

where I^β , $\beta > 0$, is the fractional integral

$$I^\beta w(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} w(s) ds.$$

See also [16,17,19,4] for more on fractional derivatives and applications. In particular, in control theory, it is known that noise is amplified by the differentiation process. To attenuate this noise one is lead to use derivatives of lower order.

This problem was first studied for $\alpha = 1/2$ by Lokshin in [11] and Lokshin and Rok in [12]. Then, it has been considered (for $0 < \alpha < 2$) by Matignon et al. [13]. The authors have managed to replace the hereditary equation by a non-hereditary system for which the standard methods, such as the Galerkin method and LaSalle's invariance principle, apply. For the well posedness we refer the reader to this reference (see also [8] for more on existence results).

Let us mention here that the case $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to an internal damping. This damping competes with the polynomial source. As a result, it was proved (see [14,15,18,5]) that solutions exist globally in time when the initial data are in a *stable* set and blow up in a finite time when the initial data are in an *unstable* set.

The wave equation without damping corresponds to the case $\alpha = -1$. It has been extensively studied by many authors (see, for instance [1,7,10,2,3,6,20]). It has been proved, in particular, that solutions blow up in finite time for sufficiently large initial data (in some sense) and also for small initial data provided that the exponent p lies in some critical range.

In this paper we improve an earlier result by the present author with M. Kirane in [9]. There, for sufficiently large initial data (in some sense), it has been shown that the solution is unbounded provided that the initial data are very large in some norm. In fact, an exponential growth result was proved. Here we relax considerably this condition on the initial data. So the space of initial data is enlarged. To this end we present a different argument based on a new functional while the previous proof makes use of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and some "convolution" inequalities.

2 Exponential growth

Let us define the classical energy by

$$E(t) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right\} dx$$

and the modified energy by

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon u u_t - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right\} dx, \quad (4)$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Theorem 1 *Let $u(x, t)$ be a regular solution of problem (1) with $-1 < \alpha < 0$. If the initial data u_0 and u_1 are such that $E_{\varepsilon}(0) < 0$, then the solution $u(x, t)$ grows up exponentially in the L_{p+1} -norm.*

Proof. Let us multiply (1)₁ by $(u_t - \varepsilon u)$ and integrate over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon u u_t - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right\} dx \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx = \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition (4) of $E_{\varepsilon}(t)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dE_{\varepsilon}(t)}{dt} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \\ & = \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Next, we define the auxiliary functional

$$F_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma}(t) := \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G_{\alpha, \beta}(t-s) e^{-\sigma \varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds \quad (6)$$

with

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(t) := e^{\beta t} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\beta s} s^{-(2\alpha+3)} ds. \quad (7)$$

where β and $\sigma\varepsilon$ are positive constants which will be precised later on. Since there is no risk of confusion in the notation, we will drop the subscripts of F and G for convenience.

A differentiation of $F(t)$ in (6) with respect to t gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF(t)}{dt} &= \int_{\Omega} G_{\beta}(0) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} |u_t|^2 dx \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left\{ -(t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} + \beta e^{\beta(t-s)} \int_{t-s}^{+\infty} e^{-\beta z} z^{-(2\alpha+3)} dz \right\} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Observe that

$$G(0) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\beta s} s^{-(2\alpha+3)} ds = \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \Gamma(2\alpha+4).$$

Then the relation (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF(t)}{dt} &= \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \Gamma(2\alpha+4) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds \\ &+ \beta F(t). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, we consider the functional

$$H(t) = e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} E_{\varepsilon}(t) + \mu F(t), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

for some $\mu > 0$ to be determined. Its derivative with respect to t is, according to (5) and (9), equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH(t)}{dt} &= -\sigma\varepsilon e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} E_{\varepsilon}(t) \\ &+ e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right. \\ &- \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \left. \right\} \\ &+ \mu \left\{ \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \sigma\varepsilon (2\alpha+4) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \right. \\ &- \left. \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds + \beta F(t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition (4) of $E_\varepsilon(t)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = & - \left(\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon - \mu\beta^{2(\alpha+1)}\Gamma(2\alpha+4) \right) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
& - \left(\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2} - \varepsilon \right) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \sigma\varepsilon\varepsilon e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx - \left(\varepsilon - \frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{p+1} \right) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \\
& - \mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds + \frac{\varepsilon e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \\
& - \frac{e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx + \mu\beta F(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By the generalized Young inequality and the Poincaré inequality, we clearly have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u dx \leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \varepsilon C_p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \tag{12}$$

where C_p is the Poincaré constant. The seventh term in the right hand side of (11) may be handled in the following manner. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \\
& = e^{-\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2}t} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2}(t-s)} e^{-\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2}s} u_t(s) ds dx,
\end{aligned}$$

then by the generalized Young inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon\Gamma(-\alpha)}{2} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2}(t-s)} e^{-\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{2}s} u_t(s) ds \right)^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the decomposition $\alpha+1 = -\frac{1}{2} + (\alpha + \frac{3}{2})$, we obtain by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon\Gamma(-\alpha)}{2} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-\alpha)\sigma\varepsilon^3} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 ds dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Similarly, we obtain for the sixth term in the right hand side of (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+1)} u_t(s) ds dx &\leq \delta C_p e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\delta \sigma \epsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma \epsilon s} |u_t|^2 ds dx, \quad \delta > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Taking into account (12)-(14) in (11), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} &\leq - \left[\frac{\sigma \epsilon}{2} + \epsilon - \mu \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \Gamma(2\alpha+4) - \frac{1}{4} \sigma \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
&- \left[\frac{\sigma \epsilon}{2} - \epsilon \left(1 + \sigma \epsilon^2 C_p + \frac{C_p \delta}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \right) \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\epsilon - \frac{\sigma \epsilon}{p+1} \right) e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \\
&- \left[\mu - \frac{1}{4\sigma^2 \epsilon^2 \Gamma(-\alpha)} \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon \Gamma(-\alpha)} + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right] \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma \epsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds + \mu \beta F(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality may also be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} &\leq \sigma \epsilon H(t) - \left[\sigma \epsilon - \epsilon \left(1 + \sigma \epsilon^2 C_p + \frac{C_p \delta}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \right) \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&- \left[\sigma \epsilon + \epsilon - \mu \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \Gamma(2\alpha+4) - \frac{1}{4} \sigma \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
&- \left[\mu - \frac{1}{4\sigma^2 \epsilon^2 \Gamma(-\alpha)} \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon \Gamma(-\alpha)} + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right] \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma \epsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds \\
&- \left(\epsilon - \frac{2\sigma \epsilon}{p+1} \right) e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx + \sigma \epsilon^2 e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \mu(\beta - \sigma \epsilon) F(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

To get (15), we have added and subtracted $\sigma \epsilon H(t)$ in the right hand side of the previous inequality.

Finally, we apply (12) to the term $\int_{\Omega} u_t u dx$ in (15), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} &\leq \sigma \epsilon H(t) - \epsilon \left[\sigma - \left(1 + 2\sigma \epsilon^2 C_p + \frac{C_p \delta}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \right) \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma \epsilon + \epsilon - 2\mu \beta^{2(\alpha+1)} \Gamma(2\alpha+4) \right] e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
&- \left[\mu - \frac{1}{4\sigma^2 \epsilon^2 \Gamma(-\alpha)} \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon \Gamma(-\alpha)} + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \right) \right] \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma \epsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds \\
&- \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{2\sigma}{p+1} \right) e^{-\sigma \epsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx + \mu(\beta - \sigma \epsilon) F(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Choosing $\delta = (p-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)/4C_p$, the inequality (16) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH(t)}{dt} &\leq \sigma\varepsilon H(t) - \varepsilon \left[\sigma - \left(2\sigma\varepsilon^2 C_p + \frac{p+3}{4} \right) \right] e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma\varepsilon + \varepsilon - 2\mu\beta^{2(\alpha+1)}\Gamma(2\alpha+4) \right] e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx \\
&\quad - \left[\mu - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\varepsilon^2\Gamma^2(-\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2\varepsilon C_p}{p-1} \right) \right] \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s)^{-(2\alpha+3)} e^{-\sigma\varepsilon s} |u_t|^2 dx ds \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{2\sigma}{p+1} \right) e^{-\sigma\varepsilon t} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx + \mu(\beta - \sigma\varepsilon)F(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

If we choose $\varepsilon < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{C_p}, \left[\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)C_p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$, then it is possible to select σ such that

$$\frac{p+3}{4(1-2C_p\varepsilon^2)} < \sigma < \frac{p+1}{2}.$$

This ensures the negativity of the coefficients of $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx$.

Next, assuming μ large enough, namely

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\varepsilon^3\Gamma^2(-\alpha)} \left(1 + \frac{2\varepsilon^2 C_p}{p-1} \right)$$

and

$$\beta \leq \min \left\{ \sigma\varepsilon, \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2\mu\Gamma(2\alpha+4)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}} \right\},$$

the remaining coefficients are also negative. Therefore (17) reduces to

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} \leq \sigma\varepsilon H(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Observe that if

$$H(0) = E_{\varepsilon}(0) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} u_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0|^2 - \varepsilon u_0 u_1 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u_0|^{p+1} \right\} dx$$

is negative, then defining

$$\Psi(t) = -H(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

we have $\Psi(0) > 0$. By Gronwall inequality it is easy to see from (18) that

$$\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(0)e^{\sigma\epsilon t}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand from the definition of $\Psi(t)$ and (12) (with $\epsilon = 1/2$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) \leq & \frac{e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \frac{e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & + \frac{\epsilon e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\epsilon C_p e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

or, for $t \geq 0$,

$$\Psi(t) \leq \frac{e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{(1-\epsilon)e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 dx - \frac{(1-\epsilon C_p)e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

From our choice of ϵ , it is clear,

$$\Psi(t) \leq \frac{e^{-\sigma\epsilon t}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx. \quad (20)$$

The relations (19) and (20) imply that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \geq (p+1)\Psi(0)e^{(2\sigma\epsilon)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. ■

References

- [1] Glassey R. T.: *Blow up theorems for nonlinear wave equations*. Math. Z., 132 (1973), 183-203.
- [2] Glassey R. T.: *Finite time blow up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations*. Math. Z., 177 (1981), 323-340.
- [3] Glassey R. T.: *Existence in the large for $\square u = F(u)$ in two space dimensions*. Mat. Z., 178 (1981), 233-261.

- [4] Gorenflo R. and S. Vessella: *Abel Integral Equations*. Lect. Notes Math. No 1461, Berlin et al.: Springer-Verlag 1991.34
- [5] Ikehata R. and T. Suzuki: *Stable and unstable sets for evolution equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type*. Hiroshima Math. J., 26 (1996), 475-491.
- [6] John F.: *Blow up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*. Manuscripta Mat., 28 (1979), 235-268.
- [7] Keller J.: *On solutions of nonlinear wave equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1957), 523-532.
- [8] Kilbas A. A.: B. Bonilla and J. J. Trujillo: *Existence and uniqueness theorem for nonlinear fractional differential equations*. Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. XXXIII, No 3 (2000), 583-602.
- [9] Kirane M. and N.-e. Tatar: *Exponential growth for a fractionally damped wave equation*, To appear in ZAA.
- [10] Levine H. A.: *Instability and nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations of the form $Pu_{tt} = -Au + F(u)$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 192 (1974), 1-21.
- [11] Lokshin A. A.: *Wave equation with singular delayed time*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR (in Russian), 240 (1978), 43-46.
- [12] Lokshin A. A. and V. E. Rok: *Fundamental solutions of the wave equation with delayed time*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR (in Russian), 239 (1978), 1305-1308.
- [13] Matignon D., Audounet J. and G. Montseny: *Energy decay for wave equations with damping of fractional order*. Proc. Fourth International Conference on Mathematical and Numerical Aspects of Wave Propagation Phenomena, pp 638-640. INRIA-SIAM, Golden, Colorado, June 1998.
- [14] Matsumura A.: *Global existence and asymptotics of the solutions of the second-order quasilinear hyperbolic equations with first-order dissipation*. Publ. Res. Inst. Sci. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1977), 349-379.

- [15] Nakao M. and K. Ono: *Global existence to the Cauchy problem of the semilinear wave equation with a nonlinear dissipation*. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 38 (1995), 417-431.
- [16] Oldham K. B. and J. Spanier: *The Fractional Calculus*. New York: Acad. Press 1974.
- [17] Podlubny I.: *Fractional Differential Equations*. (Math. in Sci. and Eng.: Vol. 198), New York, London: Acad. Press 1999.
- [18] Pucci P. and J. Serrin: *Global non existence for abstract evolution equations with positive initial energy*. J. Diff. Eqs. 150 (1998), No 1, 203-214.
- [19] Samko S. G., Kilbas A. A. and O. I. Marichev: *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach 1993. [Engl. Trans. from the Russian edition 1987].
- [20] Sideris T. C.: *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*. J. Diff. Eqs., 52 (1984), 378-406.