

31.3 Generalized Exponential Function

Definition

$$E_t(\nu, a) = t^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(at)^k}{\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)}.$$

Properties

- $I_0^\nu e^{at} = E_t(\nu, a)$.
- $D_0^\nu e^{at} = E_t(-\nu, a)$.
- $D_0^\mu E_t(w, c) = E_t(w - \mu, c)$.
- $E_t(0, a) = e^{at}$.
- $E_t(\nu, a) = t^\nu e^{at} \gamma^*(\nu, at)$, where $\gamma^*(\nu, at)$ is the incomplete gamma function.
- Relation to Mittag-Leffler function.

$$E_{1/q,1}(ct^{1/q}) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} c^k E_t(k/q, c^q), \quad q \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad t, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0.$$

Also,

$$E_t(\nu, a) = t^\nu E_{1,\nu+1}(at).$$

- Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L} \left\{ E_t(\nu, a) \right\} = \frac{1}{s^\nu (s - a)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu > -1.$$

Theorem 379 (Initial value theorem of Laplace transform)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} D^{\nu-r-1}y(0) = 0 \\ r = 0, \dots, m-1 \\ m = -[-\nu] \end{array} \right\} \implies D^\nu y(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [s^{\nu+1} Y(s)].$$

Proof. The result follows from the initial value theorem of the Laplace transform

$$f(0^+) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [sF(s)].$$

To see this we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^\nu y(0) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [s \mathcal{L}\{D^\nu y(t)\}] = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \left[s^\nu Y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s^r D^{\nu-r-1}y(0) \right] \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [s^{\nu+1} Y(s)] \end{aligned}$$

32 Linear FDE of Order (n, q)

32.1 Definition

Notation 380 *Let*

$$P(x) = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n.$$

Then

$$P(D^\nu) = D^{n\nu} + a_1D^{(n-1)\nu} + \cdots + a_n, = P(D^\nu) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu}, \quad a_0 = 1.$$

Definition 381 *The equation*

$$P(D^\nu)y(t) = 0, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{q}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}$$

is called homogeneous fractional differential equation of order (n, q) .

The polynomial $P(x)$ is call the corresponding indicial polynomial.

Lemma 382

$$w(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{P(s^\nu)} \right\} \implies D^{\nu-r-1}w(0) = 0, \quad r = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad m = -[-\nu].$$

Proof. Since $w(t)$ is a linear combination of the function $E_t(r\nu, \cdot)$.

32.2 Laplace transform of the solution of the IVP

From Laplace transformation formula we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{D^{k\nu} y(t)\right\} = s^{k\nu} Y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_k-1} s^r D^{k\nu-r-1} y(0),$$

$$k\nu > 0, \quad m_k - 1 < k\nu \leq m_k, \quad m_k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the transformation of the equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\left\{P(D^\nu) y(t)\right\} &= \mathcal{L}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu} y(t)\right\} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} \mathcal{L}\left\{D^{k\nu} y(t)\right\} \\ &= Y(s) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} \left\{s^{k\nu} Y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_k-1} s^r D^{k\nu-r-1} y(0)\right\} \\ &= P(s^\nu) Y(s) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} \sum_{r=0}^{m_k-1} s^r D^{k\nu-r-1} y(0) \\ &= P(s^\nu) Y(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} B_r(y) s^r, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_r(y)$ is a linear combination of the terms

$$D^{k\nu-r-1} y(0), \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad r = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad N = -[-n\nu].$$

Therefore the transform of the solution is

$$Y(s) = \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} B_r(y) s^r}{P(s^\nu)}.$$

Note 383

$$B_0(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu-1} y(0) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu} I y(0) = [P(D^\nu) - a_n] I y(0).$$

$$B_1(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} s D^{k\nu-2} y(0) = s \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu-2} y(0) = s [P(D^\nu) - a_n] I^2 y(0) = s B_0(I y).$$

32.3 Special Solution

Lemma 384

$$\boxed{y_1(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{P(s^\nu)} \right\} \implies P(D^\nu) y_1(t) = 0.}$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{L} \left\{ P(D^\nu) y_1(t) \right\} = P(s^\nu) Y_1(s) - \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} B_r(y_1) s^r = 1 - \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} B_r(y_1) s^r.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[s^{k\nu} Y_1(s) \right] = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^n a_{n-j} s^{(j-k)\nu}} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = n, \\ 0, & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

since for $k < n$ we have a positive power of s in the denominator. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(y_1) &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} D^{k\nu-1} y_1(0) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} s^{k\nu} Y_1(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[s^{k\nu} Y_1(s) \right] = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$B_r(y_1) = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} B_r(y_1) s^r = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{L} \left\{ P(D^\nu) y_1(t) \right\} = 0,$$

and thus $P(D^\nu) y_1(t) = 0$. Thus $y_1(t)$ is a solution.

32.4 Linearly Independent Solutions

Theorem 385 *Let*

$$P(D^\nu)y(t) = 0$$

be a fractional differential equation of order (n, q) . If

$$y_1(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{P(s^\nu)} \right\},$$

then

$$y_{j+1}(t) = D^j y_1(t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad N = -[-n\nu],$$

are N linearly independent solutions of the equation.

Proof. We already proved that $y_1(t)$ is a solution. From initial value theorem,

$$D^k y_1(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2. \quad (8)$$

Thus

$$D^u D^j y_1(t) = D^j D^u y_1(t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad u \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$P(D^\nu)y_{j+1}(t) = P(D^\nu)D^j y_1(t) = D^j P(D^\nu)y_1(t) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$y_{j+1}(t) = D^j y_1(t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

are solutions. Next we show they are linearly independent.

By (8)

$$\mathcal{L}\{y_{j+1}(t)\} = \mathcal{L}\{D^j y_1(t)\} = \frac{s^j}{P(s^\nu)}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-2,$$

and thus y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{N-1} are linearly independent (since this shows that non of them is a linear combination of the others.) Moreover,

$$y_1(0) = y_2(0) = \dots = y_{N-1}(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} y_N(0) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\mathcal{L}\{y_N(t)\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\mathcal{L}\{D^{N-1}y_1(t)\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^N \mathcal{L}\{y_1(t)\} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{s^N}{P(s^\nu)} \right\} = \begin{cases} 1, & N = n\nu, \\ \infty, & N > n\nu. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y_N(t)$ is linearly independent of y_1, \dots, y_{N-1} . ■

Example 386 Solve the equation of order $(2, q)$, $q = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$[D^{2\nu} - D^\nu - 2] y(t) = 0, \quad \nu = 1/q.$$

Solution. In this case $N = -[-2\nu] = 1$ and we have the solution

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{P(s^\nu)} \right\} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{2\nu} - s^\nu - 2} \right\} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(s^\nu + 1)(s^\nu - 2)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\nu - 2} - \frac{1}{s^\nu + 1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We have the formula

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\nu - \alpha_i} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \alpha_i^{q-k-1} E_t(-k\nu, \alpha_i^q).$$

Therefore,

$$y_1(t) = A \left[\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{q-k-1} E_t(-k\nu, 2^q) + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{q-k-1} E_t(-k\nu, (-1)^q) \right]$$

In particular, if $q = 2$ ($\nu = 1/2$) then

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &= A \left[\sum_{k=0}^1 2^{1-k} E_t(-k/2, 4) + \sum_{k=0}^1 (-1)^{2-k} E_t(-k/2, 1) \right] \\ &= A [2 E_t(0, 4) + E_t(-1/2, 4) + E_t(0, 1) - E_t(-1/2, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

is a solution of

$$[D - D^{1/2} - 2] y(t) = 0.$$

33 Integration by Parts

Lemma 387 (Samko, cor p. 667)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi \in L^p, \quad \psi \in L^q \\ 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1 + \alpha, \quad p \geq 1, q \geq 1 \\ p \neq 1, q \neq 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \alpha \end{array} \right\} \implies$$

$$\boxed{\int_a^b \phi(x) I_a^\alpha \psi(x) dx = \int_a^b \psi(x) I_b^\alpha \phi(x) dx.}$$

Proof. Interchange the order of integration by Dirichlet formula. ■

Lemma 388 (Samko, cor 2, p. 46.)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f \in I_b^\alpha(L^p), \quad g \in I_a^\alpha(L^q) \\ 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1 + \alpha \end{array} \right\} \implies$$

$$\boxed{\int_a^b f(x) D_a^\alpha g(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) D_b^\alpha f(x) dx.}$$

Proof.

$$f = I_b^\alpha \phi, \quad g = I_a^\alpha \psi, \quad \phi \in L^p, \psi \in L^q \implies$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) D_a^\alpha g(x) dx = \int_a^b \psi(x) I_b^\alpha \phi(x) dx \stackrel{\text{lem387}}{=} \int_a^b \phi(x) I_a^\alpha \psi(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) D_b^\alpha f(x) dx.$$

34 Inequalities

34.1 Triangle Inequalities

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

Inverse triangle inequality

$$|a| - |b| \leq |a - b| \quad \implies \quad ||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$$

34.2 Convex/Concave Functions

Definition 389 A real valued function f defined on an interval Ω is convex if

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(t) + (1 - t)f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, t \in [0, 1].$$

f is concave if $-f$ is convex. i.e.

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) > tf(t) + (1 - t)f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, t \in [0, 1].$$

Remark 51 The definition states that the graph is above the secant line.

Property 390

- A differentiable function of one variable is convex on an interval if and only if its derivative is monotonically non-decreasing on that interval.
- A twice differentiable function of one variable is convex on an interval if and only if its second derivative is non-negative there.

•

$$\phi(x) = |x|^p \quad \text{is} \quad \begin{cases} \text{convex,} & p \geq 1. \\ \text{concave,} & 0 < p < 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 391

$$\boxed{0 < a < b, \quad 0 < q < 1 \quad \implies \quad b^q - a^q \leq (b - a)^q.}$$

Proof. Follows from the concavity of the function $f(x) = x^q, 0 < q < 1$.

34.3 Jensen's inequality

See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jensen_inequality.

Lemma 392 (Jensen's inequality)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi \text{ convex} \\ a_i \text{ positive weights} \\ x_i \in \text{Dom}(\phi) \end{array} \right\} \implies \phi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \right) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \phi(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i}.$$

Inequality is reversed if ϕ is concave.

Corollary 393

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi \text{ convex} \\ x_i \in \text{Dom}(\phi) \end{array} \right\} \implies \phi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i}{k} \right) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \phi(x_i)}{k}.$$

Proof. Let $a_i = 1$. ■

Corollary 394 For $x_i \geq 0$,

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k)^p \begin{cases} \leq & k^{p-1} (x_1^p + x_2^p + \cdots + x_k^p), & p \geq 1, \\ > & k^{p-1} (x_1^p + x_2^p + \cdots + x_k^p), & 0 < p < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $\phi(x) = x^p$.

1 Application 2

$$D^{\alpha+1}u(t) = F(t, \{D^{\beta_i}u(t)\}_{i=1}^k)$$
$$D[D^{\alpha}u(t)]^2 = 2D^{\alpha}u(t) DD^{\alpha}u(t) = 2D^{\alpha}u(t) D^{\alpha+1}u(t) = 2D^{\alpha}u(t) F(t, \{D^{\beta_i}u(t)\}_{i=1}^k)$$
$$[D^{\alpha}u(t)]^2 - [D^{\alpha}u(0)]^2 = 2 \int_0^t D^{\alpha}u(s) F(s, \{D^{\beta_i}u(s)\}_{i=1}^k) ds$$

2 Future

Repeat the Fractional differential inequality but using Ou-Iang inequality and not Bihari-Type inequality

3 Summar of results in [Furati-Tatar 06]

Proposition 395

$$0 < \alpha < 1, \quad u \in C_{1-\alpha}^{\alpha}[a, b] \implies u \in S^{\alpha}(a, b)$$

Theorem 396 $u \in C_{1-\alpha}^{\alpha}[0, h]$ is a solution of (39), then

$$u = u_0 t^{\alpha-1} + I^{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u$$

Now Suppose $D^{\alpha}u \leq \Theta(t)$. If $t^{1-\alpha} I^{\alpha} \Theta(t)$ is bounded then $u(t) = O(t^{\alpha-1})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$

Bibliography

- [1] C. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw. *Principles of Real Analysis*. Academic Press, 1990.
- [2] B. Bonilla, J. J. Trujillo, and M. Rivero. Fractional order continuity and some properties about integrability and differentiability of real functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 231:205–212, 1999.
- [3] D. Delbosco and L. Rodino. Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 204:609–625, 1996.
- [4] B. R. Gelbaum and J. M. Olmsted. *Theorems and Counterexamples in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [5] R. Gorenflo and S. Vessella. *Abel Integral Equations: Analysis and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [6] F. Jones. *Lebesgue Integration on Euclidean Spaces*. Jones and Barlett Publishers, 1993.
- [7] A. A. Kilbas, B. Bonilla, and J. J. Trujillo. Fractional integrals and derivatives, and differential equations of fractional order in weighted spaces of continuous functions. *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi*, 44(6):18–22, 2000.
- [8] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, volume 204 of *Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier, 2006.
- [9] J. J. Koiha. Fundamental theorem of calculus for lebesgue integration. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 113:551–555, 2006.
- [10] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin. *Introductory Real Analysis*. Dover Publications, 1975.
- [11] K. S. Miller and B. Ross. *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1993.
- [12] I. P. Natanson. *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, volume I. Frederick Ungar Publishing. Co., New York, english trans. 3rd printing 1964 edition, 1955.
- [13] I. Podlubny. *Fractional Differential Equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Acad. Press, 1999.
- [14] I. Podlubny. Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 5(4):367–386, 2002.

- [15] H. Royden. *Real Analysis*. Prentice Hall, 1988.
- [16] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Science, 3rd edition, 1987.
- [17] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1993. Engl. Trans. From the Russian 1987.
- [18] M. R. Spiegel. *Theory and Problems of Real Variables*. Schaum's Outline Series. McGraw-Hill Science, 1990.
- [19] C. zhi Bai and J. xuan Fang. The existence of a positive solution for a singular coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 150:611–621, 2004.