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#### Abstract

A method called the Remainder Method is proposed for the calculation of sample quantiles of a given order, for example, quartiles, hexatiles, octatiles, deciles and percentiles assuming that all the observations are distinct. Proof is given for a special case of deciles. We propose the criterion of equisegmentation property that the number of observations below the first quantile, that between the consecutive quantiles, and that above the last quantile are the same. The formulae for quantiles offered by the proposed method satisfy the equisegmentation property, and more interestingly provide the number of quantiles having integer ranks. Some open problems are indicated.
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## 1. Introduction

Quartiles, deciles, percentiles or more generally fractiles are uniquely determined for continuous random variables. A $p^{\text {th }}$ quantile of a random variable $X$ (continuous or discrete) is a value $x_{p}$ such that $P\left(X<x_{p}\right) \leq p$ and $P\left(X \leq x_{p}\right) \geq p$. Let $X$ be a continuous or discrete random variable with probability function $f(x)$ and the cumulative distribution function $F(x)=P(X \leq x)$. If the distribution is continuous, then $P\left(X<x_{p}\right)=p$ and $P\left(X \leq x_{p}\right)=p$ since $P\left(X=x_{p}\right)=0$. Therefore, for the continuous case, $F\left(x_{p}\right)=p$.

The quartiles $Q_{1}=x_{0.25}, Q_{2}=x_{0.50}$ and $Q_{3}=x_{0.75}$ for a continuous random variable with cumulative distribution function $F(x)$ are defined by $F\left(x_{0.25}\right)=0.25, \quad F\left(x_{0.50}\right)=0.50$ and $F\left(x_{0.75}\right)=0.75$ respectively. Let $X$ follow an exponential distribution with the probability density function

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta^{-1} e^{-x / \beta}, \quad x>0 \\
0, \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the cumulative distribution function $F(x)=1-e^{-x / \beta}$. Then $1-e^{-Q_{1} / \beta}=1 / 4$, $1-e^{-Q_{2} / \beta}=2 / 4$ and $1-e^{-Q_{3} / \beta}=3 / 4$ so that $Q_{1}=\beta \ln (4 / 3), Q_{2}=\beta \ln 2, Q_{3}=\beta \ln 4$.

However, for the discrete distribution, one has to use the basic definition. Consider the binomial distribution $B(n=4, \pi=1 / 2)$. The probability mass function is given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\binom{4}{x}(1 / 2)^{4}, & x=0,1, \cdots, 4 \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Then $x_{0.25}=1$, is the first quartile of the distribution since

$$
P(X<1)=P(X=0)=0.0625 \leq 0.25, P(X \leq 1)=P(X=0)+P(X=1)=0.3125 \geq 0.25 .
$$

Similarly $X_{0.50}=2$, is the second quartile of the distribution since
$P(X<2)=0.3125 \leq 0.50, \quad P(X \leq 2)=0.6825 \geq 0.50$ 。
Note that the median is the same as 0.5 -quantile or the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, or the $5^{\text {th }}$ decile. It is not surprising that the $60^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $x_{0.6}=2$, since $P(X<2)=0.3125 \leq 0.60$ and $P(X \leq 2)$ $=0.6825 \geq 0.60$. Similarly it can be checked that the third quartile is given by $x_{0.75}=3$.

In case we have a sample (discrete in nature), it is, however, difficult to define quartiles. A sample quantile is a point below which some specified proportion of the values of a data set lies. The median is the 0.50 quantile because approximately half of all observations lie below this value. The name fractile for quantile is used by some authors (see Lapin, 1975, 52). Quartiles, hexatiles, octatiles, deciles, percentiles are special cases of quantiles.

One method for quartiles, called the hinges (Tukey, 1976), is based on finding the median first and then finding the medians of the upper and lower halves of the data each time including the median of the whole data set. Done so, approximately $25 \%$ observations remain below the lower quartile and $25 \%$ above the upper quartile. The literature is full of different formulae for sample quartiles with various rounding notions of the corresponding ranks of quartiles. See for example Mendenhall and Sincich (1995, 54), and Joarder and Latif (2004) for a detailed survey and illustrations. Joarder (2003) discussed halving method of sample quartiles that satisfies equisegmentation property but it seems rather difficult to generalize it to quantiles of higher order.

In this note, the Remainder Method discussed by Joarder and Latif (2004) for quartiles has been generalized to some even orders namely hexatiles, octatiles, deciles and percentiles. Proof is given for a special case of deciles.

We propose the criterion of equisegmentation property that the number of observations below the first quantile, that between the consecutive quantiles, and that above the last quantile are the same. Let the number of observations in each segment be $m_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots, f ; f=2,4,6, \cdots)$. Then the equisegmentation property requires that $m_{1}=m_{2}=\cdots=m_{f}$. However this will divide the ordered sample observations into the desired number of segments leaving the same number of observations in each if the observations are distinct.

Consider the quantiles of even order say $f=2,4,6, \ldots$, that divides the ordered sample observations in $f$ divisions with $m(\geq 1)$ observations in each segment. Since the sample size can be represented by $n=r(\bmod f)$ i.e.
$n=f m+r,(r=0,1,2, \cdots, f-1)$,
the number of observations in each of the $f \leq n$ segments is given by
$m(r)=(n-r) / f$
or $m$ for short.

The equisegmentation Property: The ranks $R_{i r}(i=1,2, \cdots, f-1 ; r=0,1, \cdots, f-1)$ for quartiles of order $f$ satisfies equisegmentation property if
(i) $\left\lceil R_{1 r}\right\rceil-1=m$
(ii) $\left\lceil R_{i r}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{i-1, r}\right\rfloor-1=m, \quad i=2,3, \cdots, f$
(iii) $f m+r-\left\lfloor R_{f-1, r}\right\rfloor=m$
where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ and $\lceil x\rceil$ are the floor function (greatest integer not exceeding $x$ ) and the ceiling function (smallest integer at least as large as $x$ ) of $x$. The equation (1.3a) states that the number of observations below the first quantile is $m$ while the equation (1.3c) states that the number of observations above the third quantile is $m$. The equation (1.3b) states that the number of observations between two consecutive quantiles is $m$. Interestingly the quantity $r$ is also the number of quantiles with integer ranks.

The Remainder Method for quartiles $(f=4)$, hexatiles $(f=6)$, octatiles $(f=8)$ and deciles $(f=10)$ have been discussed in Section 2. The method has been proved for a special case of deciles in Section 2.4, and argued that proofs for all other cases are similar. A set of general formulae for quantiles of some even order, in particular, or quantiles of any order, in general, remains open to be determined. The left hand side of the equation in (1.3b) in general, for any two numbers $u$ and $v(<u)$, can be written as $\lceil v\rceil-\lfloor u\rfloor-1$, which is actually the number of integers between $u$ and $v(<u)$.

Sample quartiles are popularly interpolated linearly by the observations corresponding to the ranks $i(n+1) / 4$, $(i=1,2,3)$. This method will hereinafter be called the Popular Method. Joarder (2003) observed that the ranks provided by this method do not satisfy equisegmentation property if sample sizes are $n=6,10,14$ etc. This led us to conjecture that the remainder of the sample size with respect modulus 4 may play a role in the determination of the ranks for quartiles.

Let $R_{i r}$ be the rank of $i^{\text {th }}$ quartile with $m$ observations in each of the $f$ segments. Then
$R_{i r}=i(n+1) / f=(f m+r+1) / f=i m+i(r+1) / f=i m+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / f$
where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq f-1, \quad 0 \leq r \leq f-1, \quad\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor$ is the greatest integer not exceeding (less than or equal to) $u_{i r}=i(r+1) / f=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / f$. For simplicity we will often use $u$ for $u_{i r}$. The quartiles can then be calculated by the simple linear interpolation as
$Q_{i r}=(1-d / f) x_{(i m+\lfloor u\rfloor)}+(d / f) x_{(i m+\lfloor u\rfloor+1)},(i=1,2, \cdots, f-1 ; r=0,1,2, \cdots, f-1)$
where $x_{(i)}$ is the $i$ th ordered observation. Note that $u=d / f$ if $\left\lfloor u_{\text {ir }}\right\rfloor=0$ i.e. if $u<1$.

## 2. Sample quartiles, hexatiles, octatiles and deciles

### 2.1 The Remainder Method for Sample Quartiles ( $f=4$ )

The refinement of the formulae for quartiles is based on the equisegmentation property discussed in Section 1. With a view to improving upon the rank of quartiles given by the Popular Method so that equisegmentation property is satisfied, a special notion of rounding depending on the remainder $r$ and $d$ of the ranks of quartiles considered by Joarder and Latif (2004) is given below.

Theorem 2.1 Let $R_{i r}(1 \leq i \leq 3 ; 0 \leq r \leq 3)$ be the rank of the $i$ th quartile based on a sample of $n=4 m+r \geq 4$ observations. Then the following ranks satisfy equisegmentation property:
$R_{i r}= \begin{cases}\text { im }+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor & \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d \leq 2 \\ i m+\left\lceil u_{i r}\right\rceil & \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d>2 \\ i m+u_{i r} & \text { if }(r, d) \notin A\end{cases}$
where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq r \leq 3, u_{i r}=i(r+1) / 4=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / 4$, and $A=\{(r, d):(2,1),(2,3)\}$ with $m=(n-r) / 4(\geq 1)$ observations in each segment.

In what follows we will see that the ranks for quartiles satisfy the equisegmentation property for an admissible set $A=\{(r, d)\}$.

Example 2.1 An independent consumer group tested radial tires from a major brand to determine expected tread life. The data (in thousands of miles) are given below:

| 50 | 54 | 52 | 47 | 61 | 54.5 | 50.5 | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | 55 | 53 | 43 | 56 | 58 | 42 |  |

( cf. Vinning, 1998, 193). The ordered sample observations are given by

| 42 | 43 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 50.5 | 51 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | 54 | 54.5 | 55 | 56 | 58 | 61 |  |

To illustrate the proposed method we make new data sets with the first $n=12, n=13, n=14, n=15$ observations labeling them as Data 1, Data 2, Data 3 and Data 4 respectively. It can be checked that the popular method satisfies equisegmentation property for all the above data sets except Data 3. We show below how the Remainder Method can be applied for Data 3 for sample quartiles so that it satisfies the equisegmentation property.

Here the sample size is $n=14=4(3)+2$ i.e. $m=3, r=2$. By Theorem 2.1, the ranks for quartiles are given by $R_{12}, R_{22}, R_{32}$. Since $u_{12}=1(2+1) / 4=3 / 4,(r, d)=(2,3) \in A$ and $d=3>2$, it follows from (2.1b) that $R_{12}=1(m)+\left\lceil u_{12}\right\rceil=3+1$. Again since $u_{22}=2(2+1) / 4=1+2 / 4$ and $\quad(r, d)=(2,2) \notin A$, it follows from (2.1c) that $R_{22}=2 m+u_{22}=6+1+2 / 4=7+2 / 4$. Also since $u_{32}=3(2+1) / 4=2+1 / 4,(r, d)=(2,1) \in A$ and $d=1<2$, it follows from (2.1a) that $R_{32}=3 m+\left\lfloor u_{32}\right\rfloor=9+2$. Thus by the Remainder Method ranks for quartiles are given by $R_{12}=4, R_{22}=7+2 / 4, R_{32}=11$. Clearly the ranks satisfy equisegmentation property. The positions of quartiles for Data 3 given by $Q_{12}=4$ th obs $=48$.
$Q_{22}=(7+2 / 4)$ th obs $=(1-2 / 4)(7$ th obs $)+(2 / 4)(8$ th obs $)=0.50(51)+0.50(52)=51.5$
$Q_{32}=11$ th obs $=54.5$
are : $x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, x_{(3)}, x_{(4)}^{\Downarrow}, x_{(5)}, x_{(6)}, x_{(7)}, \Downarrow x_{(8)}, x_{(9)}, x_{(10)}, x_{(11)}^{\Downarrow}, x_{(12)}, x_{(13)}, x_{(14)}$
where $x_{(i)}$ 's are the ordered sample observations. The quartiles $Q_{i r}(i=1,2,3)$ for a particular $r$ are the quartiles popularly denoted by $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$. The above rounding of ranks guarantees the desirable equisegmentation property. There are $m=3$ observations in each segment here. The remainder $r$ (= 2 here) is also, as expected, the number of quartiles having integer ranks for any sample of size $n \geq 4$.

### 2.2 The Remainder Method for Hexatiles $(f=6)$

Hexatiles are five numbers that divide ordered sample observations into six segments. The following theorem guarantees that the ranks for hexatiles given by the Remainder Method satisfy the equisegmentation property. The proof of the theorem is omitted as a more general and popular case of deciles ( $f=10$ ) is proved in Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.2 Let $R_{\text {ir }}(1 \leq i \leq 5 ; 0 \leq r \leq 5)$ be the rank of the $i$ th hexatile based on $n$ $n=6 m+r \geq 6$ observations. Then the following ranks of hexatiles will satisfy the equisegmentation property:
$R_{\text {ir }}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { im }+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d \leq 3 \\ i m+\left\lceil u_{i r}\right\rceil \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d>3 \\ i m+u_{\text {ir }} \text { if }(r, d) \notin A\end{array}\right.$
where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $0 \leq r \leq 5, u_{i r}=i(r+1) / 6=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / 6$ and an admissible set $A=\{(r, d):(3,2),(4,1),(4,2),(4,4),(4,5)\}$ with $m=(n-r) / 6 \geq 1$ observations in each segment.

Example 2.2 Consider Data 4 with sample size $n=15=6(2)+3$ i.e. $m=2, r=3$. The ranks for the hexatiles are given by $R_{13}, R_{23}, R_{33}, R_{43}, R_{53}$. Since $u_{13}=1(3+1) / 6=4 / 6$,
$(r, d)=(3,4) \notin A$, it follows from (2.2c) that $R_{13}=1(m)+u_{13}=2+4 / 6$. Again, since $u_{23}=2(3+1) / 6=1+2 / 6$ and $(r, d)=(3,2) \in A$ and $d<3$, it follows from (2.2a) that $R_{23}=2 m+\left\lfloor u_{23}\right\rfloor=2(2)+1=5$. Also since $u_{33}=3(3+1) / 6=2+0 / 6, \quad(r, d)=(3,0) \notin A$, it follows from (2.2c) that $R_{33}=3 m+u_{33}=3(2)+2=8$. Thus by the Remainder Method ranks for hexatiles are given by $R_{13}=2+4 / 6, R_{23}=5, R_{33}=8, R_{43}=10+4 / 6, R_{53}=13$. Clearly the ranks satisfy equisegmentation property. The positions of hexatiles for Data 4 given by
$Q_{13}=(2 / 6)(43)+(4 / 6)(47) \approx 45.67, Q_{23}=50, \mathrm{Q}_{33}=52$,
$Q_{43}=(2 / 6)(54)+(4 / 6)(54.5) \approx 54.33, Q_{53}=56$
are: $x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \Downarrow_{x_{(3)}, x_{(4)}, x_{(5)}, x_{(6)}, x_{(7)}, x_{(8)}, x_{(9)}, x_{(10)}, \Downarrow x_{(11)}, x_{(12)}, x_{(13)}^{\Downarrow}, x_{(14)}, x_{(15)}}$
where $x_{(i)}$ 's are the ordered sample observations. The above rounding of ranks guarantees the desirable equisegmentation property. There are $m=2$ observations in each segment here. The remainder $r$ (which is 3 here) is also, as expected, the number of hexatiles having integer ranks for any sample of size $n \geq 6$.

### 2.3 The Remainder Method for Octatiles ( $f=8$ )

Octatiles are seven numbers that divide ordered sample observations into eight segments. The following theorem guarantees that the ranks for octatiles given by the Remainder Method satisfy equisegmentation property.

Theorem 2.3 Let $R_{\text {ir }}(1 \leq i \leq 7 ; ~ 0 \leq r \leq 7)$ be the rank of the $i$ th octatile based on $n=8 m+r \geq 8$ observations. Then the following ranks for octatiles satisfy the equisegmentation property:
$R_{\text {ir }}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { im }+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d \leq 4 \\ \text { im }+\left\lceil u_{i r}\right\rceil \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d>4 \\ \text { im }+u_{\text {ir }} \text { if }(r, d) \notin A\end{array}\right.$
where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq 7$ and $0 \leq r \leq 7, u_{i r}=i(r+1) / 8=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / 8$ and an admissible set
$A=\{(r, d):(2,1),(2,2),(4,1),(4,2),(4,3),(4,4),(5,2),(5,6),(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,5),(6,6),(6,7)\}$ wit h $m=(n-r) / 8 \geq 1$ observations in each segment.

### 2.4 The Remainder Method for Deciles ( $f=10$ )

Deciles are nine numbers that divide ordered sample observations into ten segments. The following theorem guarantees that the ranks for deciles given by the Remainder Method satisfy the equisegmentation property.

Theorem 2.4 Let $R_{i r}(1 \leq i \leq 9 ; 0 \leq r \leq 9)$ be the rank of the $i$ th decile based on $n=10 m+r \geq 10$ observations. Then the following ranks for deciles satisfy equisegmentation property:

$$
R_{\text {ir }}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { im }+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d \leq 5  \tag{2.4a}\\
i m+\left\lceil u_{i r}\right\rceil \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d>5 \\
i m+u_{i r} \text { if }(r, d) \notin A
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq 9,0 \leq r \leq 9, u_{\text {ir }}=i(r+1) / 10=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / 10$ and an admissible set
$A=\{(r, d):(2,1),(2,2),(3,2),(5,2),(5,4),(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(6,4)(6,8),(6,9)$,

$$
(7,2),(7,4),(7,8),(8,1),(8,2),(8,3),(8,4),(8,6),(8,7),(8,8),(8,9)\}
$$

with $m=(n-r) / 10 \geq 1$ observations in each segment.

Proof. By writing out the ranks for deciles by (1.1) with $f=10$, it is easy to observe that no rounding is needed for $r=0,1,4,9$ i.e. the ranks are given by 2.4 (c). For other cases of $r=2,5,6,7,8$, some ranks need to be rounded so that the deciles satisfy equisegmentation property. Since proofs are similar in all cases of $r=2,5,6,7,8$, we prove the theorem for a special case say $r=6$. Let $n=10(m)+6$ so that $r=6$. Then by Theorem 2.4, the ranks for deciles are given by
$R_{16}=1(m)+1(6+1) / 10=m+7 / 10$, since $d=7,(r, d)=(6,7) \notin A$
$R_{26}=2 m+\lfloor 2(6+1) / 10\rfloor=2 m+\lfloor 1+4 / 10\rfloor=2 m+1$, since $d=4<5,(r, d)=(6,4) \in A$
$R_{36}=3 m+\lfloor 3(6+1) / 10\rfloor=3 m+\lfloor 2+1 / 10\rfloor=3 m+2$, since $d=1<5, \quad(r, d)=(6,1) \in A$
$R_{46}=4 m+\lceil 4(6+1) / 10\rceil=4 m+\lceil 2+8 / 10\rceil=4 m+3$, since $d=8>5,(r, d)=(6,8) \in A$
$R_{56}=5 m+5(6+1) / 10=5 m+3+5 / 10$, since $d=5 \leq 5,(r, d)=(6,5) \notin A$
$R_{66}=6 m+\lfloor 6(6+1) / 10\rfloor=6 m+4$, since $d=2<5,(r, d)=(6,2) \in A$
$R_{76}=7 m+\lceil 7(6+1) / 10\rceil=7 m+5$, since $d=9>5,(r, d)=(6,9) \in A$
$R_{86}=8 m+8(6+1) / 10=8 m+5+6 / 10$, since $d=6,(r, d)=(6,6) \notin A$
$R_{96}=9 m+\lfloor 9(6+1) / 10\rfloor=9 m+6$, since $d=3<6,(r, d)=(6,3) \in A$.
Then it is easy to check from the above that
(i) $\left\lceil R_{16}\right\rceil-1=\lceil m+7 / 10\rceil-1=(m+1)-1=m$
(ii) $\left\lceil R_{26}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{16}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 2 m+1\rceil-\lfloor m+7 / 10\rfloor-1=(2 m+1)-(m)-1=m$,

$$
\left\lceil R_{36}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{26}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 3 m+2\rceil-\lfloor 2 m+1\rfloor-1=3 m+2-(2 m+1)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{46}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{36}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 4 m+3\rceil-\lfloor 3 m+2\rfloor-1=4 m+3-(3 m+2)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{56}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{46}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 5 m+3+5 / 10\rceil-\lfloor 4 m+3\rfloor-1=5 m+4-(4 m+3)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{66}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{56}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 6 m+4\rceil-\lfloor 5 m+3+5 / 10\rfloor-1=6 m+4-(5 m+3)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{76}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{66}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 7 m+5\rceil-\lfloor 6 m+4\rfloor-1=7 m+5-(6 m+4)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{86}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{76}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 8 m+5+6 / 10\rceil-\lfloor 7 m+5\rfloor-1=8 m+6-(7 m+5)-1=m
$$

$$
\left\lceil R_{96}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor R_{86}\right\rfloor-1=\lceil 9 m+6\rceil-\lfloor 8 m+5+6 / 10\rfloor-1=9 m+6-(8 m+5)-1=m
$$

(iii ) $10 m+6-\left\lfloor R_{96}\right\rfloor=10 m+6-\lfloor 9 m+6\rfloor=10 m+6-(9 m+6)=m$.

Thus it is proved that ranks of deciles given by the Remainder Method satisfy the equisegmentation property.

The remainder $r$ (which is 6 here) is also, as expected, the number of deciles having integer ranks for any sample of size $n \geq 10$.

## 3. The Remainder Method for Percentiles ( $f=100$ )

Percentiles are ninety-nine numbers that divide ordered sample observations into one hundred segments. The following theorem guarantees that the ranks for percentiles given by the Remainder Method satisfy the equisegmentation property.

Theorem 3.1 Let $R_{i r}(1 \leq i \leq 99 ; 0 \leq r \leq 99)$ be the rank of the $i^{\text {th }}$ percentile based on $n=100 m+r \geq 100$ observations. Then the following ranks for percentiles satisfy equisegmentation property:
$R_{i r}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { im }+\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d \leq 50 \\ i m+\left\lceil u_{i r}\right\rceil \text { if }(r, d) \in A, \text { and } d>50 \\ i m+u_{i r} \text { if }(r, d) \notin A\end{array}\right.$
where $i$ and $r$ are integers with $1 \leq i \leq 99,0 \leq r \leq 99, u_{i r}=i(r+1) / 100=\left\lfloor u_{i r}\right\rfloor+d / 100$ and an admissible set $\mathrm{A}=\{(r, d)\}$ in Table 1 (See Appendix) with $m=(n-r) / 100 \geq 1$ observations in each segment.

Example 3.1 Consider Data 5 with sample size $n=270=100(2)+70$ i.e. $m=2, r=70$. Using the ranks $R_{50,70}, R_{51,70}, R_{52,70}, R_{53,70}, R_{54,70}, R_{55,70}$ for the percentiles we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{50,70}=50(70+1) / 100=35+50 / 100,(r, d)=(70,50) \notin A \Rightarrow R_{50,70}=50(2)+u_{50,70}=135+50 / 100 \\
& u_{51,70}=51(70+1) / 100=36+21 / 100,(r, d)=(70,21) \in A \Rightarrow R_{51,70}=51(2)+\left\lfloor u_{51,70}\right\rfloor=138 \\
& u_{52,70}=52(70+1) / 100=36+92 / 100,(r, d)=(70,92) \in A \Rightarrow R_{52,70}=52(2)+\left\lceil u_{52,70}\right\rceil=141
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{53,70}=53(70+1) / 100=37+63 / 100,(r, d)=(70,63) \notin A \Rightarrow R_{53,70}=53(2)+u_{53,70}=143+63 / 100 \\
& u_{54,70}=54(70+1) / 100=38+34 / 100,(r, d)=(70,34) \in A \Rightarrow R_{54,70}=54(2)+\left\lfloor u_{54,70}\right\rfloor=146 \\
& u_{55,70}=55(70+1) / 100=39+5 / 100,(r, d)=(70,5) \in A \Rightarrow R_{55,70}=55(2)+\left\lfloor u_{55,70}\right\rfloor=149 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly the ranks satisfy equisegmentation property. The positions of percentiles for Data 5 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{50,70}=(50 / 100)(769)+(50 / 100)(773)=771, \\
& Q_{51,70}=780, \quad Q_{52,70}=790 \\
& Q_{53,70}=(37 / 100)(797)+(63 / 100)(801)=799.5, \\
& Q_{54,70}=808, \quad Q_{55,70}=818 \\
& \ldots, x_{(134)}, x_{(135)}, \Downarrow x_{(136)}, x_{(137)}, x_{(138)}, x_{(139)}, x_{(140)}, x_{(141)}, x_{(142)}, \\
& \quad \Downarrow \\
& \quad x_{(143)}, \Downarrow x_{(144)}, x_{(145)}, x_{(146)}, x_{(147)}, x_{(148)}, x_{(149)}, x_{(150)}, x_{(151)}, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{(i)}$ 's are the ordered sample observations. The above rounding of ranks guarantees the desirable equisegmentation property. There are $m=2$ observations in each segment here. The remainder $r$ (which is 70 here) is also, as expected, the number of percentiles having integer ranks for any sample of size $n \geq 100$.
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## Appendix

| $r$ | $d$ | $r$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1,2 | 31 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 7$ |
| 5 | 2, 4 | 32 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 32$ |
| 6 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 6$ | 33 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16$ |
| 7 | 4 | 34 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$ |
| 8 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 8$ | 35 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8$ |
| 10 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 10$ | 36 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 36$ |
| 11 | 4, 8 | 37 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 18$ |
| 12 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 12$ | 38 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 38$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$ | 39 | 20 |
| 14 | 5,10 | 40 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 40$ |
| 15 | 4, 8, 12 | 41 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 20$ |
| 16 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 16$ | 42 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 42$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8$ | 43 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10$ |
| 18 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 18$ | 44 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8$ |
| 20 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 20$ | 45 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 22$ |
| 21 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10$ | 46 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 46$ |
| 22 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 22$ | 47 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5$ | 48 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 48$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12$ | 50 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,99$ |
| 26 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 26$ | 51 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$ | 52 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,97 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 28 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 28$ | 53 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,48 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |
| 29 | 10, 20 | 54 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,95$ |
| 30 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 30$ | 55 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,96$ |

Table 1a: set $\mathrm{A}=\{(r, d)\}$ for Percentile $(f=100)$

| $r$ | d | $r$ | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,93 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 78 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,71 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 57 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,46 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ | 79 | 20,40,80 |
| 58 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,91 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 80 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,69 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 59 | 20, 40 | 81 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,34 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |
| 60 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,89 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 82 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,67 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 61 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,44 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ | 83 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ |
| 62 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,87 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 84 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,13 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19$ |
| 63 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,22 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ | 85 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,32 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |
| 64 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19$ | 86 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,63 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 65 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,42 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ | 87 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ |
| 66 | $1 \leq d \leq 49,83 \leq d \leq 99$ | 88 | $1 \leq d \leq 49,61 \leq d \leq 99$ |
| 67 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,21 \leq k \leq 24$ | 89 | $\mathrm{d}=10 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9, \mathrm{~d} \neq 50$ |
| 68 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,81 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 90 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,59 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 69 | $\mathrm{d}=10 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9, \mathrm{~d} \neq 50$ | 91 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ |
| 70 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,79 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 92 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,57 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 71 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ | 93 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,28 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |
| 72 | $1 \leq d \leq 49,77 \leq d \leq 99$ | 94 | $\mathrm{d}=5 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,11 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19$ |
| 73 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,38 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ | 95 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ |
| 74 | 25, 75 | 96 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,53 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 75 | $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,19 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24$ | 97 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,26 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |
| 76 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,73 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ | 98 | $1 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 49,51 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 99$ |
| 77 | $\mathrm{d}=2 \mathrm{k}, 1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,36 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 49$ |  |  |

Table 1b: set $\mathrm{A}=\{(r, d)\}$ for Percentile $(f=100)$

| 650 | 762 | 797 | 468 | 1193 | 787 | 738 | 1098 | 475 | 360 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 720 | 412 | 1112 | 1210 | 556 | 689 | 1189 | 1011 | 591 | 503 |
| 902 | 566 | 1000 | 958 | 384 | 885 | 388 | 811 | 780 | 1235 |
| 979 | 941 | 916 | 1214 | 1077 | 1060 | 339 | 976 | 346 | 363 |
| 1039 | 906 | 755 | 1133 | 587 | 311 | 444 | 808 | 1105 | 923 |
| 395 | 731 | 1238 | 846 | 1158 | 1007 | 1179 | 1018 | 636 | 871 |
| 419 | 962 | 472 | 878 | 972 | 370 | 741 | 794 | 570 | 325 |
| 685 | 615 | 818 | 1200 | 934 | 955 | 1035 | 454 | 668 | 909 |
| 608 | 633 | 605 | 1046 | 920 | 748 | 598 | 398 | 314 | 1186 |
| 510 | 1063 | 776 | 542 | 374 | 307 | 790 | 997 | 507 | 500 |
| 1130 | 769 | 804 | 381 | 1074 | 300 | 318 | 356 | 517 | 1109 |
| 353 | 612 | 493 | 993 | 986 | 675 | 892 | 724 | 521 | 433 |
| 647 | 752 | 304 | 836 | 377 | 1168 | 349 | 843 | 601 | 409 |
| 745 | 1154 | 496 | 1207 | 1091 | 328 | 734 | 482 | 437 | 930 |
| 965 | 416 | 573 | 489 | 1025 | 1172 | 1123 | 860 | 1053 | 538 |
| 650 | 762 | 797 | 468 | 1193 | 787 | 738 | 1098 | 475 | 360 |
| 720 | 412 | 1112 | 1210 | 556 | 689 | 1189 | 1011 | 591 | 503 |
| 902 | 566 | 1000 | 958 | 384 | 885 | 388 | 811 | 780 | 1235 |
| 979 | 941 | 916 | 1214 | 1077 | 1060 | 339 | 976 | 346 | 363 |
| 1039 | 906 | 755 | 1133 | 587 | 311 | 444 | 808 | 1105 | 923 |
| 395 | 731 | 1238 | 846 | 1158 | 1007 | 1179 | 1018 | 636 | 871 |


| 419 | 962 | 472 | 878 | 972 | 370 | 741 | 794 | 570 | 325 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 685 | 615 | 818 | 1200 | 934 | 955 | 1035 | 454 | 668 | 909 |
| 608 | 633 | 605 | 1046 | 920 | 748 | 598 | 398 | 314 | 1186 |
| 510 | 1063 | 776 | 542 | 374 | 307 | 790 | 997 | 507 | 500 |
| 1130 | 769 | 804 | 381 | 1074 | 300 | 318 | 356 | 517 | 1109 |
| 353 | 612 | 493 | 993 | 986 | 675 | 892 | 724 | 521 | 433 |

