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#### Abstract

One of the important concepts in physics and mathematics is entropy. The concerning results can be improved by dynamical systems and entropy theory techniques. In this paper the concept of entropy will be extended to the countable partitions and we investigate the ergodic properties of probability dynamical systems. In this respect we introduce the generators of probability dynamical systems. A version of Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem concerning the entropy of a probability dynamical system is given.


## Introduction and preliminaries

We assume the reader is familiar with the definition of measure [5], dynamical system [9] and ergodic theory [8]. In physics, entropy of a system with a finite number of quantum states is defined as follows:

$$
S=-k \sum_{\nu} f_{\nu} \log \left(f_{\nu}\right) ; \quad \sum_{\nu} f_{\nu}=1
$$

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and the sum is over all quantum states.
This formula can be interpreted as a degree of disordering of the system. A system has a unique quantum state with complete ordering and attains zero entropy in this state.

For $N$ different microstates, if $f_{\nu}=\frac{1}{N}$, then

$$
S=-k \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{1}{N}=k \log N, .
$$

As $N$ becomes larger, the disordering of the system rises up.
The information about the system is proportional to this disordering factor. In mathematics, the entropy of a finite partition, $p$, of a probability space $(X, \beta, m)$ is
defined as

$$
H(p)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m\left(A_{i}\right) \log m\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

where $p=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\} \subset \beta$. One can find a nice relation between the mathematical and physical definitions of entropy. In this paper we introduce a definition for the entropy of a countable partition $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and we discuss ergodic theory properties.

## 1 Entropy of a countable partition of ( $X, \beta, m$ )

1.1. Definition. Let $(X, \beta, m)$ be a probability space, a partition of $(X, \beta, m)$ is a disjoint collection of elements of $\beta$ whose union is $X$.

We are interested in countable partitions which we denote by Greek letters, e.g., $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. We use the convention $\log 0=0$.

As in probability theory, we consider a partition $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $(X, \beta, m)$ as listing the possible outcomes of an experiment where the probability of the outcome $A_{i}$ is $m\left(A_{i}\right)$. We associate to this experiment a number $H(\xi)$ which measures the uncertainty removed by performing the experiment mentioned.
1.2. Definition. Let $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a countable partition of a probability space $(X, \beta, m)$. The entropy of $\xi$ is defined as

$$
H(\xi)=-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

1.3. Corollary. $H\left(\xi^{0}\right)=0$ where $\xi^{0}=\{X, \emptyset\}$, and for each countable partition $\eta$ with $\eta \neq \xi^{0} ; H(\eta)>0$.
1.4. Definition. Let $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a countable partition of a probability space $(X, \beta, m)$ and let $C$ be a measurable set in $\beta$. The conditional entropy of $\xi$ given $C$ is defined by

$$
H(\xi \mid C)=-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i} \mid C\right)
$$

where

$$
m\left(A_{i} \mid C\right)=\frac{m\left(A_{i} \cap C\right)}{m(C)}, \quad(m(C) \neq 0)
$$

1.5. Definition. Two members $A, C$ of $\beta$ are called independent if $m(A \cap C)=$ $m(A) m(C)$.

If $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$ and $C$ is a measurable set independent of each $A_{i}$, we have $H(\xi \mid C)=H(\xi)$.
1.6. Convention. $H(\xi \mid \emptyset)=0$.
1.7. Definition. Let $\xi$ and $\eta$ be countable partitions of $(X, \beta, m)$. We say $\eta$ is a refinement of $\xi$, denoted by $\xi<\eta$, if each member of $\xi$ is a finite union of some members of $\eta$.
1.8. Theorem. Let $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\eta=\left\{B_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be two countable partitions of $(X, \beta, m)$. Then
i) $\xi<\eta \Longleftrightarrow H(\xi \mid C) \leq H(\eta \mid C) \quad \forall C \in \beta$;
ii) $\xi<\eta \Longleftrightarrow H(\xi) \leq H(\eta)$.

Proof. i) Suppose $\xi<\eta$, and then for each $B_{j} \in \eta$ there exists $A_{i} \in \xi$ such that $B_{j} \subseteq A_{i}$. This implies that $B_{j} \cap C \subset A_{i} \cap C, \forall C \in \beta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(B_{j} \cap C\right) \leq m\left(A_{i} \cap C\right) & \Longrightarrow \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m\left(B_{j} \cap C\right)}{m(C)} \leq \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m\left(A_{i} \cap C\right)}{m(C)} \\
& \Longrightarrow \log \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m\left(B_{j} \cap C\right)}{m(C)} \leq \log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m\left(A_{i} \cap C\right)}{m(C)} \\
& \Longrightarrow H(\eta \mid C) \geq H(\xi \mid C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if $H(\eta \mid C) \geq H(\xi \mid C)$, we have $\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j} \cap C\right) \leq \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i} \cap C\right)$ and hence for each $j \in \mathbb{N}, B_{j} \subset A_{i}$, for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $\xi<\eta$.
ii) It is clear.
1.9. Definition. Let $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\eta=\left\{B_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be countable partitions of $(X, \beta, m)$. Their joining is the partition

$$
\xi \nabla \eta=\left\{A_{i} \cap B_{j}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

with lexicographic ordering. If $C \in \beta$, then $\xi \nabla C=\left\{A_{i} \cap C: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
1.10. Corollary.
i) $H(\xi \nabla \eta) \geq H(\xi), H(\xi \nabla \eta) \geq H(\eta)$ and if $\xi$ and $\eta$ are independent, then $H(\xi \nabla \eta)=$ $H(\xi)+H(\eta)$;
ii) $H(\xi \nabla C) \geq H(\xi), \quad \forall C \in \beta$;
iii) $H(\xi \nabla C) \geq H(\xi \mid C) \quad \forall C \in \beta$;
iv) $\xi<\eta \Longleftrightarrow H(\eta \nabla C) \geq H(\xi \nabla C), \forall C \in \beta$.

Proof. i) If $\xi$ and $\eta$ are independent, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\xi \nabla \eta) & =-\log \sup _{i, j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i} \cap B_{j}\right) \\
& =-\log \sup _{i, j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right) m\left(B_{j}\right) \\
& =-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right) \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j}\right) \\
& =-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right)+\left(-\log \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =H(\xi)+H(\eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.11. Definition. Let $(X, \beta, m)$ be as above. We define the entropy function of a measurable set $C$ by

$$
H(C)=-\log m(C)
$$

1.12. Corollary. Let $\xi$ be a countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$ and $C, D \in \beta$. Then i) $H(C) \geq 0$;
ii) $H(\xi \nabla C) \geq H(C)$;
iii) $D \subseteq C \Longleftrightarrow H(D) \geq H(C)$;
iv) $D \subseteq C \Longleftrightarrow H(\xi \nabla D) \geq H(\xi \nabla C)$;
iv) $H(\xi \nabla C)=H(\xi \mid C)+H(C)$.
1.13. Definition. If $\xi$ is a countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$, the diameter of $\xi$ is defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{diam} \xi=\sup _{A_{i} \in \xi} m\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

1.14. Definition. Let $\xi=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\eta=\left\{B_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be two countable partitions of $(X, \beta, m)$. The conditional entropy of $\xi$ given $\eta$ is defined as:

$$
H(\xi \mid \eta)=-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i} \nabla \eta\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \eta}=-\log \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(\xi \nabla B_{j}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \eta} .
$$

Since $\xi^{0}=\{X, \emptyset\}$ represents the outcome of the trivial experiment, $H\left(\xi \mid \xi^{0}\right)=H(\xi)$ and $H\left(\xi^{0} \mid \xi\right)=0$ where $\xi \neq \xi^{0}$.
1.15. Proposition. If $\xi, \eta$ and $\zeta$ are countable partitions of a probability space $(X, \beta, m)$, then
i) $H(\xi \mid \eta) \geq 0$;
ii) If $\eta<\zeta$, then $H(\xi \mid \eta) \leq H(\xi \nabla \zeta)$, especially $H(\xi \mid \eta) \leq H(\xi \nabla \eta)$;
iii) If $\xi<\eta$, then $H(\xi \mid \zeta) \leq H(\eta \mid \zeta)$;
iv) If $\xi$ and $\eta \nabla \zeta$ are independent, then

$$
H(\xi \nabla \eta \mid \zeta)=H(\xi)+H(\eta \mid \zeta)
$$

Proof. iii) Since $\xi<\eta$, for each $B_{j} \in \eta$, there exists $A_{i} \in \xi$ such that $B_{j} \subseteq A_{i}$. Then $B_{j} \cap C_{k} \subseteq A_{i} \cap C_{k}, \forall C_{k} \in \zeta$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\left(B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right) \leq m\left(A_{i} \cap C_{k}\right) \quad \forall C_{k} \in \zeta \\
& \frac{\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right)}{\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(C_{k}\right)} \leq \frac{\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i} \cap C_{k}\right)}{\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(C_{k}\right)} \quad \forall C_{k} \in \zeta \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad & H(\xi \mid \zeta) \leq H(\eta \mid \zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove (iv):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(\xi \nabla \eta \mid \zeta)=-\log \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(\xi \nabla \eta \nabla C_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \zeta} \\
&=-\log \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sup _{i, j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i} \cap B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \zeta} \\
&=-\log \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right) \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left(B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \zeta} \\
&=-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right) \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}^{\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right)} \\
& \operatorname{diam} \zeta \\
&=-\log \sup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(A_{i}\right)-\log \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} m\left(B_{j} \cap C_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \zeta} \\
&=H(\xi)+H(\eta \mid \zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Entropy of a measure-preserving transformation

2.1. Definition. Suppose $\left(X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}\right)$ are probability spaces. A transformation $T: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ is measure-preserving if i) $T^{-1} \beta_{2} \subset \beta_{1}$;
ii) $m_{1}\left(T^{-1} B_{2}\right)=m_{2}\left(B_{2}\right), \quad \forall B_{2} \in \beta_{2}$.
2.2. Definition. Suppose $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is a measure-preserving transformation of the probability space $(X, \beta, m)$. If $\xi$ is a countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$, we define the entropy of $T$ with respect to $\xi$ as

$$
h(T, \xi)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)
$$

where $T^{-i} \xi=\left\{T^{-i} A_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, for $\xi=\left\{A_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, a countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$.

To see that $h(T, \xi)$ always exists, let $a_{n}=H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \xi\right) \geq 0$. Then

$$
a_{n+p}=H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n+p-1} T^{-i} \xi\right) \leq H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)+H\left(\nabla_{i=n}^{n+p-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=a_{n}+a_{p}
$$

So $a_{n+p} \leq a_{n}+a_{p}, \forall n, p$. Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n}$ exists.
2.3. Theorem. Let $\xi, \eta$ and $\zeta$ be countable partitions of the probability space $(X, \beta, m)$. Let $T$ be a measure preserving transformation of $(X, \beta, m)$. Then the
following properties hold:
i) $h(T, \xi) \leq h(\xi)$;
ii) $\xi<\eta \Longrightarrow h(T, \xi) \leq h(T, \eta)$;
iii) $h\left(T, T^{-1} \xi\right)=h(T, \xi)$;
iv) $h\left(T, \nabla_{i=0}^{r-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=h(T, \xi), \forall r \geq 1$.

Proof. See [1].
2.4. Theorem. Let $T$ be a measure-preserving transformation of the probability space $(X, \beta, m)$. Then
i) For $k>0, h\left(T^{k}\right)=k h(T)$;
ii) If $T$ is invertible, then $h\left(T^{k}\right)=|k| h(T), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-k j}\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n k} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=k h(T, \xi)$.
Then

$$
h\left(T^{k}, \nabla_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=k h(T, \xi) .
$$

We have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-k}\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{k}{n k} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=k h(T, \xi) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
k h(T) & =k \sup _{\xi \text { countable }} h(T, \xi)=\sup _{\xi} h\left(T^{-k}, \nabla_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right) \\
& =\sup _{\eta \text { countable }} h\left(T^{k}, \eta\right)=h\left(T^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $h\left(T^{k}, \xi\right) \leq h\left(T^{k}, \nabla_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \xi\right)=k h(T, \xi)$, and so $h\left(T^{k}\right) \leq k h(T)$.
ii) We show that $h\left(T^{-1}, \xi\right)=h(T, \xi)$ for each countable partition $\xi$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(T^{-1}, \xi\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} \xi\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(T^{-(n-1)}\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} \xi\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} \xi\right) \\
& =h(T, \xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Entropy and generators of probability dynamical systems

3.1. Definition. A probability dynamical system is a complex $(X, \beta, m, T)$ where $(X, \beta, m)$ is a probability space and $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is a measure-preserving transformation.

Remark. The probability dynamical system is a discrete-time system with $T^{i}$ : $X \longrightarrow X, i=1,2,3, \ldots$, considered as $T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T, i$-times. Consequently $T^{0}=i d$ and $T^{i+j}=T^{i} \circ T^{j}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.
3.2. Definition. Let $(X, \beta, m, T)$ be a probability dynamical system. The entropy of the probability dynamical system $(X, \beta, m, T)$ is defined as

$$
h(T)=\sup _{\xi} h(T, \xi)
$$

where the supremum is taken over all countable partitions of $(X, \beta, m, T)$.
Let $\left(X_{i}, \beta_{i}, m_{i}, T_{i}\right), i=1,2$ be a probability dynamical system.
The probability dynamical system $\left(X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ is said to be a homomorphic image of the probability dynamical system $\left(X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ if there exists a measurepreserving transformation $f: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ such that $f \circ T_{1}=T_{2} \circ f$.
( $X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}, T_{1}$ ) and ( $X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}, T_{2}$ ) are called isomorphic if
i) $\left(X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $\left(X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ under a transformation $f$.
ii) $f$ is invertible and ( $X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}, T_{1}$ ) is a homomorphic image of $\left(X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ under the transformation $f^{-1}$.
3.3. Theorem. If $\left(X_{i}, \beta_{i}, m_{i}, T_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are isomorphic dynamical systems, then $h\left(T_{1}\right)=h\left(T_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Since ( $X_{i}, \beta_{i}, m_{i}, T_{i}$ ) are isomorphic, there exists an invertible measure preserving transformation $f: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ such that $f \circ T_{1}=T_{2} \circ f$.

Let $\eta$ be any countable partition of $\left(X_{2}, \beta_{2}, m_{2}, T_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(T, \eta) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T_{2}^{-i} \eta\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(f^{-1}\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T_{2}^{-i} \eta\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-1}\left(T_{2}^{-i} \eta\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T_{1}^{-i}\left(f^{-1} \eta\right)\right) \\
& =h\left(T_{1}, f^{-1} \eta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $h\left(T_{2}\right)=\sup _{\eta} h\left(T_{2}, \eta\right)=\sup _{\eta} h\left(T_{1}, f^{-1} \eta\right) \leq \sup _{\xi} h\left(T_{1}, \xi\right)=h\left(T_{1}\right)$ where $\xi$ is any countable partition of $\left(X_{1}, \beta_{1}, m_{1}, T_{1}\right)$.

Similarly $h\left(T_{1}\right) \leq h\left(T_{2}\right)$ and hence $h\left(T_{1}\right)=h\left(T_{2}\right)$.
3.4. Definition. Let $(X, \beta, m)$ be a probability space and $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be an invertible measure-preserving transformation. A countable partition $\xi$ of $(X, \beta, m)$
is said to be a generator of the probability dynamical system $(X, \beta, m, T)$ if there exists an integer $r>0$ such that

$$
\eta<\nabla_{i=0}^{r} T^{-i} \xi
$$

for each countable partition $\eta$ of $(X, \beta, m)$.
3.5. Theorem. If $\xi$ is a generator of the probability dynamical system $(X, \beta, m, T)$, then

$$
h(T, \eta) \leq h(T, \xi)
$$

for each countable partition $\eta$ of $(X, \beta, m)$.
Proof. Let $\eta$ be any countable partition of $(X, \beta, m)$. Since $\xi$ is a generator, $\eta<\nabla_{i=0}^{r} T^{-i} \xi$.

From Theorem 2.3, $h(T, \eta) \leq h\left(T, \nabla_{i=0}^{r} T^{-i} \xi\right)=h(T, \xi)$.
Now we can deduce the following version of Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem.
3.6. Theorem. If $\xi$ is a generator of the probability dynamical system $(X, \beta, m, T)$, then

$$
h(T)=h(T, \xi) .
$$

Proof. Obvious.
3.7. Theorem. Consider the transformation $T: X \longrightarrow X ; T=i d$. Then $h(T)=0$.

Proof. Since $T=i d, T^{-1} \xi=\left\{T^{-1} A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\left\{A_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\xi$ for each countable partition $\xi$ of $(X, \beta, m)$. Hence $T^{-i} \xi=\xi, i=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(T, \eta) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \xi\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\nabla_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\xi) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for each countable partition $\xi$ of $(X, \beta, m)$. It follows that

$$
h(T)=\sup _{\xi \text { countable }} h(T, \xi)=0 .
$$

3.9. Corollary. If $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is a measure-preserving transformation on the probability space $(X, \beta, m)$ with $T^{k}=i d$ for some $k \neq 0$, then $h(T)=0$.

Proof. Since $T^{k}=i d, h\left(T^{k}\right)=0$, and $h(T)=\frac{1}{|k|} h\left(T^{k}\right)=0$.
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