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#### Abstract

This work is devoted to the study of the solutions of some semilinear elliptic problems defined in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, our concern being largely the distribution of eigenvalues. We show that the number $N(\lambda)$ of eigenvalues less than $\lambda$ satisfies Weyl-Courant or Wet-Mendel formulas.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\Delta u+q(x) u+f(x, u)=\lambda g(x) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},  \tag{1.1}\\
u \longrightarrow 0 \\
|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator, $\lambda$ is a real parameter, $g, q$ are measurable functions and $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function.

Many authors [2, 11, 12] treated this kind of problems in bounded domains. In this work, we use the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory in order to establish the existence of a sequence of pairs of solutions on the differentiable manifold $M_{\alpha}=\left\{u: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x=\alpha\right\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$, afterwards we determine the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Problem (1.1). We show that the distribution of eigenvalues is given, as in the linear case, by the Weyl-Courant
or Wet-Mendel formulas. To illustrate this result, we deal with the relationship between the eigenvalues of Problem (1.1) and the eigenvalues of the associated linear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Find } u \in V, u \neq 0 ; \lambda \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.2}\\
-\Delta u+q u=\lambda g u, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x=\alpha .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, we generalize the results in this area due to $[1,8,9,11,13]$. It is known (see [4]), under conditions on the mean values of $q$ and $g$, that the counting function $N(\lambda)$ associated with Problem (1.2) is represented by the asymptotic formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N(\lambda) \simeq(2 \pi)^{-n} \omega_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\lambda}^{n}}(\lambda g-q)^{n / 2} d x \\
\text { if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g d x=+\infty,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
N(\lambda) \simeq(2 \pi)^{-n} \omega_{n} \lambda^{n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g^{n / 2} d x \\
\text { if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g d x<+\infty,
\end{array}\right. \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\mathbb{R}_{\lambda}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \lambda g(x)-q(x) \geq 0\right\}$. Considering Problem (1.1) as a nonlinear perturbation of Problem (1.2), we only need to realize the intimate connection with their eigenvalues.

## 2 Notations

For a given measurable function $h$, we denote by $h_{ \pm}=\max ( \pm h, 0)$ the positive and negative part of $h$, i.e., $h=h_{+}-h_{-}$.

We introduce the following functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
w(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

For a given $\tau>0$, we denote $w_{\tau}(x)=w^{\tau}(x)\left(1+\log \sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}\right)^{-1}$ and we set

$$
p_{\tau}(x)=w^{2 \tau}(x) \text { if } n>2 \text { and } p_{\tau}(x)=w_{\tau}^{2}(x) \text { if } n=2 .
$$

Let $L_{p_{\tau}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ provided with the weight $p_{\tau}$, i.e.,

$$
L_{p_{\tau}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} p_{\tau} u^{2} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

with its corresponding inner product $:=(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} p_{\tau} u v d x$.
We define the weighted Sobolev space

$$
V=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ; p_{1}(x)^{1 / 2} u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}
$$

with its corresponding weighted norm $\|u\|_{V}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2}+p_{1} u^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}$.
For $n \geq 2, V$ is a separable Hilbert space. $V \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the imbedding is continuous; $2^{*}$ denotes the Sobolev critical exponent of 2, i.e., $2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}$ if $n>2$ and $\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ is the conjugate exponent of $2^{*}$. If $n=2, V \hookrightarrow L^{\overline{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\overline{2}=\frac{2 r}{r-2}$ and $r>2$ (see [2]).
$V^{*}$ denotes the dual space of $V$, and $V_{ \pm}=\left\{u \in V: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x \gtrless 0\right\}$.

$$
B_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<R\right\}, B_{R}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{R} .
$$

Definition 2.1 If Problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $u \in V(u \neq 0)$ for certain $\lambda$, then $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue, and $u$ is called an eigenfunction of Problem (1.1). The pair $(\lambda, u)$ is called a solution of Problem (1.1).

Let $X$ be a Hilbert space, $F \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $G \in C_{L o c}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We define the manifold

$$
M_{\alpha}=\left\{u \in X: G(u)=\alpha ; G^{\prime}(u) \neq 0\right\}
$$

A functional $F$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $M_{\alpha}$ if and only if from any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset M_{\alpha}$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F\left(u_{n}\right) \text { is bounded, } \\
F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right) G^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0,\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

we may select a convergent subsequence.
The genus of a symmetric, closed, compact set $A$ which does not contain the origin, is given by

$$
\gamma(A)=\inf \left\{m \geq 1 ; \exists \Phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}, \Phi \text { odd and continuous }\right\}
$$

For any $n \geq 1$, we put

$$
K_{n}(\alpha)=\left\{A \subset M_{\alpha}, A \text { symmetric, closed, compact and } \gamma(A) \geq n\right\}
$$

## 3 Hypotheses

We suppose that there exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0 \text { and } \eta \geq \frac{n}{2} \text { such that } c_{1} p_{\eta}(x) \leq|q(x)| \leq c_{2} p_{\eta}(x) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $q \in L^{n / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
There also exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
c>0 \text { and } \theta>\eta \text { such that }|g(x)| \leq c p_{\theta}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \text {. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an odd Carathéodory function, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x,-u)=-f(x, u),  \tag{3.3}\\
|f(x, u)| \leq \sigma(x)+\rho(x)|u|^{\gamma} \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \in \mathbb{R} ; 1<\gamma<1+\frac{4}{n} ;  \tag{3.4}\\
0 \leq \rho(x) \in L^{\gamma_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \text { with } \gamma_{1}=\frac{2^{*}}{2^{*}-(\gamma+1)} ;  \tag{3.5}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho^{2 / \beta}(x) u^{2} d x \leq\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x) u^{2} d x\right| \text { for all } u \in M_{\alpha} ; \quad \beta=\frac{2\left(2^{*}-(\gamma+1)\right)}{2^{*}-2} ;  \tag{3.6}\\
0 \leq \sigma(x) \in L_{p_{1}^{n / 2}}^{n / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ;  \tag{3.7}\\
\left(f(x, y)-q^{-} y\right) y \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{*} . \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to show the existence of solutions of Problem (1.1), we mainly use the generalization of Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory (see [7, p. 212, Theorem 5.5]).

## 4 Existence of eigenvalues

We write Problem (1.1) under its variational formulation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u \in V, u \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text { such that }  \tag{4.1}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+q^{+} u v\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(f(x, u)-q^{-} u\right) v d x=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u v d x \forall v \in V .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Find solutions of Problem (4.1) turns out to finding nontrivial solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\lambda\left(\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right) \forall v \in V . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}$ denote Gâteaux derivatives of the functionals

$$
\phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q^{+} u^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d x \int_{0}^{u}\left(f(x, s)-q^{-} s\right) d s
$$

and $\quad \varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x$ respectively.
The nontrivial solutions (eigenfunctions) of Problem (4.2) under the condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x=\alpha$ correspond to critical points of the functional $\phi$ on the manifold

$$
M_{\alpha}=\left\{u \in V: \varphi(u)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}=\left\{u \in V: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x=\alpha\right\}, \alpha \neq 0 .
$$

Remark 4.1 Next we only consider the manifolds $M_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>0$. The case $\alpha<0$ is studied similarly.

Lemma 4.1 (see [3])
(i) $\phi \in C^{1}(V, \mathbb{R}), \varphi \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}(V, \mathbb{R}), \phi$ and $\varphi$ are even functions.
(ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, K_{n}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$.

In particular, if $X_{n}$ is an n-dimensional subspace of $V$, then $\gamma\left(M_{\alpha} \cap X_{n}\right)=n$.

Lemma 4.2 The functional $\phi$ is bounded from below and satisfies the PalaisSmale condition on $M_{\alpha}$.

Proof. For fixed $\alpha>0$ and for all $u \in M_{\alpha}$, we obtain from (3.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q^{+} u^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d x \int_{0}^{u}\left(f(x, s)-q^{-} s\right) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q^{+} u^{2}\right) d x \\
& \geq c\|u\|_{V}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u^{2} d x \leq c^{\prime}\|u\|_{V}^{2}$ by virtue of the hypothesis (3.2), then $\phi(u) \geq c^{\prime \prime} \alpha$. So, the funtional $\phi$ is bounded below on $M_{\alpha}$.

In order to verify the Palais-Smale condition, we define the following operators

$$
J, G, F: V \longrightarrow V^{*}
$$

by

$$
\begin{align*}
(J u, v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+q^{+} u v\right) d x \\
(G u, v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g u v d x,  \tag{4.3}\\
(F u, v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(f(x, u)-q^{-} u\right) v d x . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left(\phi^{\prime}(u), v\right)=(B u, v)$, where $B=J+F$ and $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right)=(G u, v)$.
We denote by $\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ the derivative of $\phi$ on $M_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u) & =\phi^{\prime}(u)-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\phi^{\prime}(u), u\right) \varphi^{\prime}(u) \\
& =B u-\frac{1}{\alpha}(B u, u) G u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.3 The operators $G, F$ defined by (4.3) and (4.4) respectively are compact.

Proof. Since the function $g$ satisfies the hypothesis (3.2), the operator of multiplication $G$ associated with $g$ is compact.

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a weakly convergent sequence to $u_{0}$ in $V$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\
\|v\|_{V}=1}}\left(F u_{n}-F u_{0}, v\right) & \leq \sup _{\substack{v \in V \\
\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(x, u_{0}\right)\right||v| d x \\
& +\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\
\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x \\
& +\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\
\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(x, u_{0}\right)\right||v| d x \\
& +\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\
\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 in [5], the quantity

$$
+\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x+\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{\substack{B_{R} \\ v \in V \\\|v\|_{V}=1}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(x, u_{0}\right)\right||v| d x
$$

converges to zero when $n$ tends to infinity.
On the other hand, for any $v \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} q^{-} \mid u_{n} & -u_{0}| | v \mid d x \leq\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left(q^{-}|v|\right)^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}|v|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left(q^{-}\right)^{n / 2} d x\right)^{2 / n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $V$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x & \leq\left\|u_{n}-u_{0}\right\|_{V}\|v\|_{V}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left(q^{-}\right)^{n / 2} d x\right)^{2 / n} \\
& \leq c\|v\|_{V}\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left(q^{-}\right)^{n / 2} d x\right)^{2 / n}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x \leq c\left(\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left(q^{-}\right)^{n / 2} d x\right)^{2 / n}$.
Therefore, using hypothesis (3.1), $\sup _{\substack{v \in V \\\|v\|_{V}=1}} \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} q^{-}\left|u_{n}-u_{0}\right||v| d x$ tends to zero
when $R$ tends to infinity.

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset M_{\alpha}$ be such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \text { is bounded },  \tag{4.5}\\
\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } V^{*} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ has a convergent subsequence.
Indeed, since $\phi$ is coercive, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $V$ and then $G u_{n}$ converges. The numerical sequence

$$
\left(B u_{n}, u_{n}\right)=\left(J u_{n}, u_{n}\right)+\left(F u_{n}, u_{n}\right)
$$

is bounded and thus admits a convergent subsequence.
On the other hand, from (4.5) we get

$$
\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=B u_{n}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(B u_{n}, u_{n}\right) G u_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

then $B u_{n}$ converges. Therefore, we derive from the compactness of $F$ that $F u_{n}$ converges, hence $J u_{n}$ also converges. At last, since the form ( $\left.J u, u\right)$ is coercive, then $J$ has a bounded inverse, which means that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges.

Theorem 4.1 For arbitrary $\alpha>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$
C_{n}(\alpha)=\inf _{K \in K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K} 2 \phi(u) .
$$

Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_{n}(\alpha) \in M_{\alpha}$ and $\lambda_{n}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
C_{n}(\alpha)=2 \phi\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{n}(\alpha)=\frac{\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right), u_{n}(\alpha)\right)}{\alpha}
$$

and $\left(u_{n}(\alpha), \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right)$ is a solution of Problem (1.1).
Proof. The result is derived immediately from [7, p. 209, Theorem 5.3] and from Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

Remark 4.2 In view of Remark 4.1, Problem (1.1) also has a sequence of solutions $\left(u_{n}(\alpha), \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right)$ for any $\alpha<0$. For $\alpha>0, u_{n}(\alpha) \in V_{+}$and $\lambda_{n}(\alpha)>0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; similarly for any $\alpha<0, u_{n}(\alpha) \in V_{-}$and $\lambda_{n}(\alpha)<0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 4.1 For arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right| \rightarrow+\infty \text { when } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Proof. We have from the definition of $\phi$ that

$$
2 \phi(u)=(J u, u)+2(F u, u) .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(F u, u)| \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x, u)||u| d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} q^{-}|u|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sigma|u| d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\gamma+1} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} q^{-}|u|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sigma^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}} \\
& +\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho^{\gamma_{1}} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(\gamma+1) / 2^{*}} \\
& +\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(q^{-}\right)^{n / 2} d x\right)^{2 / n}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
|(F u, u)| \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{V}+C_{2}\|u\|_{V}^{\gamma+1}+C_{3}\|u\|_{V}^{2}
$$

because the imbedding is continuous from $V$ into $L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
On the other hand, we have $(J u, u) \leq C_{4}\|u\|_{V}^{2}$, consequently,

$$
2 \phi(u) \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{V}+C_{2}\|u\|_{V}^{\gamma+1}+C_{5}\|u\|_{V}^{2} .
$$

Let $u_{n}(\alpha)$ be an eigenfunction of Problem (1.1), then

$$
C_{n}(\alpha)=2 \phi\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right) \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V}+C_{2}\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V}^{\gamma+1}+C_{5}\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V}^{2}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain $C_{n}(\alpha) \rightarrow+\infty$, and then $\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$. Since for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$

$$
\alpha \lambda_{n}(\alpha)=\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right), u_{n}(\alpha)\right) \geq c\left\|u_{n}(\alpha)\right\|_{V}^{2} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

then $\left|\lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. The proof is thus complete.

## 5 Asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues

### 5.1 The case of a positive potential

We suppose in this part that the potential $q$ is positive. We denote by $\lambda_{n}^{0}$ the eigenvalues of Problem (1.2). In order to establish a relation between $\lambda_{n}(\alpha)$ and $\lambda_{n}^{0}$, we need an appropriate formulation of Min-Max principle which characterize $\lambda_{n}^{0}$ (see [4]).

Lemma 5.1 (see [3]) For any $\alpha>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}=\inf _{K \in K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K}(J u, u) .
$$

Proposition 5.1 Suppose that the hypotheses (3.1) throughout (3.8) are satisfied. Then, for any $\alpha>0$ we have

$$
\lambda_{n}(\alpha)=\lambda_{n}^{0}+\circ\left(\left(\lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { with } 0<\varepsilon<1
$$

Proof. For any $u \in M_{\alpha}, 2 \phi(u)=(J u, u)+2(F u, u)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
|(F u, u)| & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sigma|u|+\rho|u|^{\gamma+1}\right) d x  \tag{5.1}\\
& \leq c\left\{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sigma^{\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 /\left(2^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\gamma+1} d x\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\gamma+1} d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\beta}|u|^{\gamma+1-\beta} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho^{2 / \beta}|u|^{2} d x\right)^{\beta / 2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{\frac{2(\gamma+1-\beta)}{2-\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $\beta=\frac{2\left(2^{*}-(\gamma+1)\right)}{2^{*}-2}$, then $\frac{2(\gamma+1-\beta)}{2-\beta}=2^{*}$ ).
Hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\gamma+1} d x \leq c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho^{2 / \beta}|u|^{2} d x\right)^{\beta / 2}\|u\|_{V}^{\gamma+1-\beta}
$$

Taking into account the hypothesis (3.6), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho|u|^{\gamma+1} d x \leq c \alpha^{\beta / 2}\|u\|_{V}^{\gamma+1-\beta} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.2) in (5.1) on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$
2 \phi(u) \leq(J u, u)+c_{1}\|u\|_{V}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\|u\|_{V}^{\gamma+1-\beta}
$$

The operator $J$ being coercive, we obtain

$$
2 \phi(u) \leq(J u, u)+c_{1}^{\prime}(J u, u)^{1 / 2}+c_{2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\beta / 2}(J u, u)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}} .
$$

Let $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing and continuous function. It is easy to check that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\inf _{K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K} h((J u, u))=h\left(\inf _{K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K}(J u, u)\right) .
$$

Put $h(t)=t+c_{1} t^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2} t^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}(\alpha) & =\inf _{K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K} 2 \phi(u) \\
& \leq \inf _{K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K} h((J u, u))=h\left(\inf _{K_{n}(\alpha)} \sup _{u \in K}(J u, u)\right)=h\left(\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
C_{n}(\alpha) \leq \alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}+c_{1}\left(\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\left(\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \phi(u) \geq(J u, u) \text { and then } C_{n}(\alpha) \geq \alpha \lambda_{n}^{0} \\
\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right| \leq c_{1}\left(\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\left(\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from the hypothesis (3.4) that $\gamma+1-\beta<2$. So, from (5.3), we get

$$
C_{n}(\alpha) \sim \alpha \lambda_{n}^{0} \text { for } n \text { sufficiently large. }
$$

Furthermore, let $u_{n}(\alpha)$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_{n}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right| & =\left|2 \phi\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right)-\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right), u_{n}(\alpha)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d x \int_{0}^{u_{n}(\alpha)} f(x, s) d s-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(x, u_{n}(\alpha)\right) u_{n}(\alpha) d x\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar argument and using the hypothesis (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right| & \leq c_{1}\left(J u_{n}(\alpha), u_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\left(J u_{n}(\alpha), u_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}} \\
& \leq c_{1}\left(2 \phi\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\left(2 \phi\left(u_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}} .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right| \leq c_{1}\left(C_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \alpha^{\beta / 2}\left(C_{n}(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, according to (5.3) and (5.4), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{n}(\alpha)-\lambda_{n}^{0}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}(\alpha)\right|+\left|C_{n}(\alpha)-\alpha \lambda_{n}^{0}\right|\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\left(\lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\lambda_{n}^{0}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1-\beta}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\lambda_{n}(\alpha) \sim \lambda_{n}^{0}$ for $n$ sufficiently large. The proof is thus complete.
From Proposition 5.1 we derive the following
Theorem 5.1 Assume that the hypotheses of Proposition 5.1 are fulfilled. Then, $N(\lambda)$ is given by the asymptotic formulas (1.3) and (1.4).

### 5.2 The case of a not necessarily positive potential

In the case of a potential with nonconstant sign, the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+q u=\lambda g u \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists K>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q u^{2}+K g u^{2}\right) d x>0, \forall u \in V, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most a finite number of negative eigenvalues and an infinite sequence of positive eigenvalues (see [4]). The counting function $N(\lambda)$ of Problem (1.1) is given by Theorem 5.1. To prove this statement, it suffices to compare the eigenvalues of Problem (1.1) with the eigenvalues of Problem (5.5) under the condition (5.6).
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