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#### Abstract

Polar Codes, a coding scheme introduced by Arikan, are the first family of codes known to achieve the symmetric capacity of any given binary-input discrete memoryless channel (B-DMC) W by using a low-complexity successive cancellation (SC) decoder. By recursively combining and splitting the independent B-DMCs, some of these polarized channels become error-free or noiseless to achieve the symmetric capacity $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$, while others are completely noise approach $1-\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$. The polarized channels are well-conditioned for channel coding such that, we just need to send the information bits through these noiseless channels at rate 1 and fixed symbols through the noisy channels at rate 0 . Based on the phenomenon of channel polarization, we can construct the polar codes with the block-length N in powers of 2 at a rate higher than the target rate $\mathrm{R} \leq \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$. The probability of block error will be bounded by $P_{e}=O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$ independent of the code rate with the coding and decoding complexity $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NlogN})$ for each.


## I. Introduction

Polar codes, a coding scheme that was first introduced by Arikan in [1], can achieve the Shannon capacity of arbitrary symmetric B-DMC W under low encoding and decoding complexity. The main idea of polar codes is based on the phenomenon of "channel polarization". More precisely, by recursively combining and splitting individual channels, some of these channels become essentially error-free, while others become completely noise. Further, the fraction of the noiseless channels tends to the capacity of the underlying binary symmetric channels.

The element for channel polarization is a B-DMC $\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ with input $\mathrm{X}=\{0,1\}$, output Y and the transition probabilities $P(y \mid x)$. Now we can define the mutual information between the input $X$ and output $Y$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X ; Y) \triangleq \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} P(x) P(y \mid x) \log \frac{P(y \mid x)}{\sum_{x \in X} P(x) W(y \mid x)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Shannon capacity gives the maximum value of mutual information given any input, so: $\mathrm{C}=\max \{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})\}$.

On the other hand, when $\mathrm{X}=\{0,1\}$ are transmitted with equal probability, which means $P(0)=P(1)=\frac{1}{2}$, we get the symmetric capacity of the channel as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(W) \triangleq \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} \frac{1}{2} P(y \mid x) \log \frac{P(y \mid x)}{\sum_{x \in X} \frac{1}{2} P(y \mid x)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetric capacity $I(W)$ is equal to Shannon capacity C under the condition that the B-DMC is symmetric, i.e. the rows of the channel matrix are permutation of a probability set and the columns are the same, such as:

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 6 \\
1 / 6 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

The rows of the channel matrix are permutation of $\{1 / 3,1 / 3$, $1 / 6,1 / 6\}$, and the columns are permutation of $\{1 / 3,1 / 6\}$.

Channel polarization synthesizes a set of N binary-input channels $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ out of N independent copies of the symmetric B-DMC W with the block length N in powers of 2 . As N grows large, the channels seen by individual bits start polarizing, i.e. they either approach a noiseless channel or a pure-noise channel. The channel polarization phenomenon suggests us to use the noiseless channels for transmitting information while fixing the symbols transmitted through the noisy ones to a value known both to sender as well as receiver.

Polar codes are constructed over the binary field GF(2) with the generator matrix $G_{N}$ directly obtained from the process of channel combining. With the input bits $x_{1}^{N}$, we get the codeword $u_{1}^{N}=x_{1}^{N} G_{N}$ as a linear transformation. At the receiver, the SC decoder will do the decoding work with the block error
probability bounded by $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$, and a complexity of O ( $\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}$ ) is achievable both for encoding and decoding with sufficiently large N [1].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 will focus on channel polarization, which includes channel combining and channel splitting. Based on channel polarization, section 3 will discuss on the encoding and decoding of polar codes, and then briefly analyses the performance of the polar codes under successive cancellation (SC) decoding. And finally Section 4 will draw some significant conclusions and discussions.

## II. Channel Polarization

Channel Polarization is defined as an operation that converts N independent copies of a given B-DMC W into another set of N channels $\left\{W_{N}^{i}: 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}$, called polarized channel set. The channel set $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ polarize in the sense that, as N goes to infinity in powers of 2 , the channel capacity $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ approach $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$ for the indices $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{S}$, and $1-\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$ for the indices $\mathrm{i} \notin \mathrm{S}$, where $\mathrm{S} \subseteq$ $\{1,2,3 \cdots \cdots \mathrm{~N}\}$ is the information set. Generally, the process of channel polarization can be divided into two parts namely channel combining and channel splitting.

## A. Channel Combining

In channel combining, the N independent copies of B -DMC W are combined into an interim channel $W_{N}: X^{N} \rightarrow Y^{N}$ in a recursive manner with the transition probability:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}\left(y_{1}^{N} \mid x_{1}^{N}\right)=P^{N}\left(y_{1}^{N} \mid x_{1}^{N} G_{N}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{N}$ is the generator matrix, and the general form [2] is:


$$
W_{N}: X^{N} \rightarrow Y^{N} \quad P_{N}\left(y_{1}^{N} \mid x_{1}^{N}\right)=P^{N}\left(y_{1}^{N} \mid x_{1}^{N} G_{N}\right)
$$

Fig. 1 The general form of $W_{N}$

When $\mathrm{n}=0$ then $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}=1$, we define $\mathrm{W}_{1}=\mathrm{W}$.
When $\mathrm{n}=1$ then $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}=2$, we construct $\mathrm{W}_{2}: \mathrm{X}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{2}$ in the following way:

$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{u}_{1} & \mathrm{u}_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x}_{1} & \mathrm{x}_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
Fig. 2 The Channel $W_{2}$
From the construction we can get:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}^{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}\right)
$$

With the generator matrix given by $G_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
When $\mathrm{n}=2$ then $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}=4$, we construct $\mathrm{W}_{4}: \mathrm{X}^{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{4}$ in a recursive way from $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ :


$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{3} & \mathbf{u}_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{1} & \mathrm{x}_{2} & \mathrm{x}_{3} & \mathrm{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fig. 3 The Channel $W_{4}$ based on $W_{2}$

In the above figure, $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ is the permutation operation that maps the indices of one set $\{1,2,3,4\}$ to another set $\{1,3,2,4\}$.

In the same way from the construction, we can get:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{4} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{4}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{4}\right) \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{3}^{4} \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{P}^{4}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{4} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{G}_{4}\right)
$$

With the generator matrix given by $\mathrm{G}_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Then generally for any $\mathrm{n}>0, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be constructed from $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N} / 2}$ in the same way of constructing $\mathrm{W}_{4}$ from $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ :


Fig. 4 Recursive construction of $W_{N}$ from two copies of $W_{N / 2}$

The permutation operation $R_{N}$ just maps the indices of the set $\{1,2,3 \cdots \cdots \mathrm{~N}\}$ to $\{1,3 \cdots \mathrm{~N}-1,2,4 \cdots \mathrm{~N}\}$.

From the recursive process of constructing $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}$ from $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N} / 2}$, we can get the relationship of the generator matrix $G_{N}$ and $G_{N / 2}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}} \otimes \mathrm{G}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{G}_{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the $\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}$ identity matrix and $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product defined as follows:

Kronecker product of two matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}$ and $B_{r \times s}$ is:

$$
A \otimes B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} B & \ldots & a_{1 n} B \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} B & \ldots & a_{n n} B
\end{array}\right]_{m r \times n s}
$$

By some algebraic calculations, we get:

$$
\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N} / 2} \otimes \mathrm{G}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} / 2}\right)
$$

then we can write $G_{N}$ in a simpler way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}} \otimes \mathrm{G}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{G}_{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this form, we can get an alternative realization of the recursive construction of $G_{N}$ :


Fig. 5 An alternative realization of recursive construction for $W_{N}$
Actually, the transform $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N} / 2} \otimes \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are linear, so by just changing the order of them, we can easily get the Fig. 4 of $W_{N}$ from Fig. 3.

## B. Channel splitting

In the first phase, we combined N independent copies of B-DMC $W$ into the channel $W_{N}: X^{N} \rightarrow Y^{N}$. In this phase we will split $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}: \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}$ into the polarized channel set $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{N}\right\}$ with the transition probabilities defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}^{i}\left(y_{1}^{N}, x_{1}^{i-1} \mid x_{i}\right) \triangleq \sum_{x_{1}^{I-1}} \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} P_{N}\left(y_{1}^{N} \mid x_{1}^{N}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

After splitting, the single channel $W_{N}^{i}$ in the set has input $x^{i}$ and output $\left(y_{1}^{N}, x_{1}^{i-1}\right)$ with the form: $W_{N}^{i}: X \rightarrow Y^{N} \times X^{i-1}$.

First we consider the situation of $\mathrm{N}=2$ with $\mathrm{W}_{2}: \mathrm{X}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{2}$. The mutual information can be obtained from the definition (1):

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}^{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{~W})
$$

Then we split it into two parts:

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)
$$

We interpret the first part $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}\right)$ as the mutual information of the channel between $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}^{2}$, with $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ considered as noise, which we denote as $W_{2}^{1}$. The second part $I\left(x_{2} ; y_{1}^{2}, x_{1}\right)$ can be denoted as the mutual information of the channel between $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{x}_{1}$, which we denote as $\mathrm{W}_{2}^{2}$ [3]. The transition probabilities of the splitted channels are given as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{P}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{x}_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{x}_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{P}_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{x}_{1} \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} \mid \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By this, we split the channel $W_{2}$ into the channel set $\left\{W_{2}^{1}, W_{2}^{2}\right\}$. As $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is considered as noise, the $\mathrm{W}_{2}^{1}$ is set to be the error-free channel while $\mathrm{W}_{2}^{2}$ is noisy.

When we consider the situation of $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}$, we can do the
splitting with the probability transition given by (6).

## III. Polar Codes

The channel polarization phenomenon suggests that we can use the noiseless channels whose $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is near the symmetric capacity $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{W})$ to transmit the information and fix the symbols transmitted in the noise channels whose $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is near 0 .

## A. Polar Coding

The polar codes are constructed by the generator matrix:

$$
u_{1}^{N}=x_{1}^{N} G_{N}
$$

By selecting the information set $S \subseteq\{1,2,3 \cdots \cdots N\}$, we can write it in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{N}=x_{S} G_{N}(S) \oplus x_{S^{c}} G_{N}\left(S^{c}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $G_{N}(S)$ denotes the submatrix of $G_{N}$ formed by the rows with the indices in $S$.

Example, for $N=4, S=\{2,4\}, x_{S^{c}}=(1,0)$, then:

$$
\mathrm{u}_{1}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \oplus(1,0)\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

By denoting $\mathrm{K}=|\mathrm{S}|$, the polar codes can be identified by ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S}$, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) with code rate $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{N}$.

Since $K$ and $N$ are fixed, the next step is to determine $S$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{c}$. Usually, the indices of S are chosen as a K -element subset of $\{1,2$, $3 \cdots \cdots \mathrm{~N}\}$ such that:

$$
I\left(W_{N}^{i}\right) \geq I\left(W_{N}^{j}\right), \text { for all } i \in S \text { and } j \in S^{c}
$$

In this way, polar codes are channel-specific design such that different channel W will give rise to different polar codes. Here $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{c}$, which is transmitted in the pure-noise channel and referred to as frozen bits, can be chosen at will. Actually, any choice for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{S}^{c}}$ will give rise to the same performance.

## B. Successive Cancellation Decoding

Considering the following channel model:


The input bits $x_{1}^{N}$ are encoded into the polar codeword $u_{1}^{N}$, then transmitted in the channel $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{N}}$. The output $\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$ are first received and then decoded into $\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$. The task of decoder is to make an estimation $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$ of $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$ from $\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$.

Since the frozen bits $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{S}^{c}}$ are chosen beforehand and contains no information, we can set $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{c}}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{c}}}$, and use the ML rule to make the decision:

$$
\hat{x}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
x_{i} & \text { if } i \in S  \tag{8}\\
d_{i}\left(y_{1}^{N}, x_{1}^{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \notin S
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}-1} \mid 0\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{i-1} \mid 1\right)} \geq 1  \tag{9}\\ 1 & \text { if } \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}-1} \mid 0\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}-1} \mid 1\right)} \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

This algorithm is called successive cancellation decoding which is based on the channel splitting. Just as its name implies, we need the output $y_{1}^{N}$ and the previous estimation value $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}-1}$ to make the current estimation value of $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

We denote $P_{e}$ as the probability of block error for a ( $N, K, S, x_{s} c$ ) polar code. Assuming that each data $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is sent with the probability of $\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{K}}}$, the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$ can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{K}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}}} \sum_{\hat{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}} \neq \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mid \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is very difficult to calculate explicitly, but we can get an estimation of it as $P_{e}=O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$.

Another important parameter for performance is the complexity. From the process of encoding and decoding, we can simply figure out that the complexity for encoding and SC decoding are both $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N})$ as functions of block-length N .

## IV. Conclusion and Discussion

By combining and splitting the N independent copies of B-DMCs, we can get a set of polarized channels, some of which are
noiseless and others are pure-noise. By sending the information bits only through these noiseless channels while fixing the symbols transmitted through the pure-noise ones, the Shannon capacity of the symmetric B-DMC can be achieved.

Polar codes, based on the phenomenon of channel polarization, are capacity-achieving for any symmetric B-DMC with low encoding and decoding complexity $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NlogN})$. By selecting the set $S$ of information-bit indices and the frozen bits freely, we can make encoding with the generator matrix $G_{N}$, which can be drawn directly from the process of channel combining. But what we must pay attention to is that the polar coding is channel-specific design and different B-DMC W will give rise to different polar codes. Meanwhile, the bit one-by-one decoding algorithm called successive cancellation is drawn from the process of channel splitting. Although the explicit form of block-error probability $P_{e}$ is difficult to get, it is easy to make an estimation as $P_{e}=O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$.

Usually the block length $N$ is in powers of 2, but when $N$ goes to a power of any other number such as l , then $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{n}}$. In this case we can still use the Arikan's rule to make polar codes with the polarizing matrix G , which is a $\mathrm{l} \times \mathrm{l}$ matrix and has the same form with the G mentioned above. What is more is when the
block-length $N$ equals to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}$, then the polar codes can still be constructed from the generating matrix of the form $\otimes_{i} G_{i}$, where each $G_{i}$ is a polarizing matrix of size $l_{i} \times l_{i}$ [4]. The encoding and decoding complexities are also given by $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NlogN})$. Further, although originally introduced for channel coding, polar codes are equally useful for source coding applications, such as lossless and lossy compression. In addition to that, it is also optimal for multi-terminal problems, such as Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker problem [5].

## V. Simulation

We did a simulation of generating matrix $G_{N}$ with Matlab. By inputting the exponent n of the block length $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}$, we can get the generating matrix $G_{N}$ by the function of "Generating", which will call the function of "Kronecker" to get the Kronecker product of tow matrix.

## Function "Generating":

```
function G=Generating(n)
% This function will generate the generating maatrix with the parameter n
A=[11 0;11 1];
for k=0:1:n-1
    if (k==0)
    G=A;
    else
        B=G;
        G=kronecker (A,B);
        m=power (2, k+1);
        C=zeros(m,m);
        for i=1:1:m/2
        end
        for i=m/2+1:1:m
        C(1:m,i)=G(1:m,2*(i-m/2));
        end
    end
end
```

Function "Kronecker":
function $K=K$ ronecker ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ )

```
\([\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{A})\);
[m, n]=size(B);
\(\mathrm{K}=z e r o s\left(\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{n}\right)\);
for \(i=0: 1: a-1\)
    for \(j=0: 1: b-1\)
        \(k(i * m+1:(i+1) * m, j * n+1:(j+1) * n)=A(i+1, j+1) . * B ;\)
    end
end
```
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