

HW #2 Solution

$$Q1. \quad F^{\text{on}} = \sum m(5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15)$$

$$F^{\text{off}} = \sum m(0, 1, 4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad F^{\text{on}} \cup F^{\text{off}} &= \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}b\bar{c}d + a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + a\bar{b}c\bar{d} + ab\bar{c}\bar{d} \\ &\quad + ab\bar{c}\bar{d} + ab\bar{c}d + \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d + \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d} \\ &= \bar{a} [\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + b\bar{c}d + \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{b}\bar{c}d + b\bar{c}\bar{d}] \\ &\quad + a [\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{b}\bar{c}d + b\bar{c}\bar{d} + b\bar{c}d + b\bar{c}\bar{d}] \\ &= \bar{a} [\bar{b} [\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{c}d] + b [\bar{c}d + cd + \bar{c}\bar{d}]] \\ &\quad + a [\bar{b} [\bar{c}\bar{d} + cd] + b [\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{c}d + cd]] \\ &= \bar{a} [\bar{b} [\bar{c}[\bar{d}+d] + c[0]] + b [\bar{c}[d+\bar{d}] + c[d]]] \\ &\quad + a [\bar{b} [\bar{c}[\bar{d}] + c[\bar{d}]] + b [\bar{c}[\bar{d}] + c[\bar{d}+d]]] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow F^{\text{off}} &= \bar{a} [\bar{b} [\bar{c}[0] + c[1]] + b [\bar{c}[0] + c[\bar{d}]]] \\ &\quad + a [\bar{b} [\bar{c}[d] + c[d]] + b [\bar{c}[d] + c[0]]] \\ &= \bar{a}\bar{b}c + \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d} + a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + a\bar{b}cd + ab\bar{c}\bar{d} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Expand procedure:

$F^{\text{off}}$ :

	a	b	c	d
$\bar{a}\bar{b}c$	10	10	01	11
$\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$	10	01	01	10
$a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$	01	10	10	01
$a\bar{b}cd$	01	10	01	01
$ab\bar{c}\bar{d}$	01	01	10	01

we next compute the weights of the on-set:

	a	b	c	d	weights
$\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$	10	01	10	01	13
$\bar{a}b\bar{c}d$	10	01	01	01	14
$a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$	01	10	10	10	14
$a\bar{b}c\bar{d}$	01	10	01	10	15
$a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$	01	01	10	10	17
$ab\bar{c}\bar{d}$	01	01	01	10	18
$abc\bar{d}$	01	01	01	01	17
$abcd$	01	01	01	01	
	25	25	34	43	

We need to expand cubes in ascending order of weight.  
Thus, we expand  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$  first.

#### \* Expand $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$ :

$$\text{Free} = \{2, 3, 6, 7\}$$

Since there is no column with all 0's in  $F^{\text{off}}$ , no column can always be raised.  
Intersecting with the off-set, column 2 can't be raised.

$$\text{Free} = \{3, 6, 7\}, \text{ overexpanded cube} = \bar{a}.$$

We only need to check  $\bar{a}b\bar{c}d$  for being feasibly covered with  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$ .

$$\text{Supercube}(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}d) = \bar{a}bd \quad (\text{feasible})$$

The resulting expanded cube is  $\bar{a}bd$  and  $\text{Free} = \{3, 7\}$ .

Intersecting with the off-set, it can be concluded that none of them can be raised.

The covered minterm  $\bar{a}bcd$  is removed.

#### \* Expand $a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ :

$$\text{Free} = \{1, 4, 6, 8\}$$

Intersecting with the off-set implies that column 8 cannot be raised. Thus,  $\text{Free} = \{1, 4, 6\}$  and overexpanded cube is  $\bar{d}$ .

we need to check the cubes  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ ,  $a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$  for being feasibly covered.

$$\text{Supercube } (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) = \bar{a}\bar{c}\bar{d} \quad (\text{feasible})$$

$$\text{Supercube } (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) = \bar{a}\bar{d} \quad (\text{feasible})$$

$$\text{Supercube } (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}) = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{d} \quad (\text{feasible})$$

The expanded cube  $\bar{a}\bar{d}$  is selected and  $\text{Free} = \{1\}$  which cannot be raised.

The covered minterms  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ ,  $a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$  are removed.

\* Expand  $abcd$ :

$$\text{Free} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$$

Intersecting with the off-set, it is concluded that columns 3 and 5 cannot be raised. Thus,  $\text{Free} = \{1, 7\}$  and overexpanded cube is  $bc$ .

Since there are no feasibly covered cubes and the overexpanded cube does not intersect any remaining cube in the on-set, we need to find the largest prime implicant covering  $abcd$ .

There are two prime implicants covering  $abcd$  which are  $bcd$  and  $abc$ . We select  $bcd$ .

Thus, the expanded cover is  $\{\bar{a}bd, ad, bcd\}$ .

In comparison with Espresso tool, the same cover is obtained.

(iii) Irredundant procedure:

The expanded cover is  $\{\bar{a}bd, \bar{a}\bar{d}, bcd\}$ .

First, we need to check whether each of these cubes is relatively essential.

- Check if  $\{\bar{a}\bar{d}, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$  covers  $\bar{a}bd$ .

$$\{\bar{a}\bar{d}, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}|\bar{a}bd = \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0\}$$

Since the cofactor is not tautology, this implies that  $\bar{a}bd$  is relatively essential.

- Check if  $\{\bar{a}bd, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$  covers  $a\bar{d}$ .

$$\{\bar{a}bd, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}|a\bar{d} = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Since the cofactor is not tautology, this implies that  $a\bar{d}$  is relatively essential.

- Check if  $\{\bar{a}bd, a\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$  covers  $bcd$ .

$$\{\bar{a}bd, a\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}|bcd = \{\bar{a}, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Since the cofactor is not tautology, this implies that  $bcd$  is relatively essential.

Since all implicants are relatively essential, then the cover is irredundant.

This is consistent with what is produced by Espresso tool.

(iv) Essential prime Implicants:

$$F = \bar{a}bd + a\bar{d} + bcd$$

$$F^{DC} = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d + \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$$

\* Checking  $\bar{a}bd$ :

$$G = \{\bar{a}\bar{d}, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$G \# \bar{a}bd = \{\bar{a}\bar{d}, abcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$H = \text{Consensus}(G \# \bar{a}bd, \bar{a}bd)$$

$$= \{bcd, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\}$$

Then, we check if  $H \cup F^{DC}$  covers  $\bar{a}bd$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \{bcd, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}_{\bar{a}bd}$$

$$= \{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\} \Rightarrow \text{tautology}$$

Since it is tautology, this means that  $\bar{a}bd$  is not an essential prime implicant.

\* Checking  $a\bar{d}$ :

$$G = \{\bar{a}bd, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$G \# a\bar{d} = \{\bar{a}bd, bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$H = \text{Consensus}(G \# a\bar{d}, a\bar{d})$$

$$= \{abc, \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

Then, we check if  $H \cup F^{DC}$  covers  $a\bar{d}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \{abc, \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, b\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}_{a\bar{d}} = \{bc, \bar{b}\bar{c}, b\bar{c}\}$$

Since it is not tautology, this means that  $a\bar{d}$  is an essential prime implicant.

\* Checking bcd:

$$G = \{\bar{a}bd, a\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$G \# bcd = \{\bar{a}b\bar{c}d, a\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \text{Consensus}(G \# bcd, bcd) \\ &= \{\bar{a}bd, abc\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we check if  $H \cup F^{DC}$  covers bcd.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \{\bar{a}bd, abc, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}_{bcd} \\ &= \{1, 1, 0, 0, 0\} \Rightarrow \text{tautology} \end{aligned}$$

Since it is tautology, this means that bcd is not an essential prime implicant.

Thus, only  $a\bar{d}$  is an essential prime implicant.

Thus, the cover F becomes  $\{\bar{a}bd, bcd\}$  and

$F^{DC}$  becomes  $\{a\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$ .

(v) Reduce Procedure:

First, we compute the weight of each implicant in the cover.

	a	b	c	d	weight
$\bar{a}bd$	10	01	11	01	9
$bcd$	11	01	01	01	9
	21	02	12	02	

Since both have the same weight, we will start reducing  $\bar{a}bd$ .

- Reduce  $\bar{a}bd$ :

$$\alpha = \bar{a}bd$$

$$Q = \{F \cup F^D\} - \alpha = \{bcd, \bar{a}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} = 0$$

$$\bar{Q}_\alpha = \bar{c}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \cap \text{supercube}(\bar{Q}_\alpha) = \bar{a}bd \cap \bar{c} = \bar{a}b\bar{c}d$$

- Reduce  $bcd$ :

$$\alpha = bcd$$

$$Q = \{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} = 0$$

$$\bar{Q}_\alpha = 1$$

$$\tilde{\alpha} = bcd \cap 1 = bcd$$

Thus, the reduced cover is  $\{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, bcd\}$ .

(vi) Expand Procedure on reduced cover:

$$F = \bar{a}b\bar{c}d + bcd$$

we compute the weight of each cube.

	a	b	c	d	weight
$\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$	10	01	10	01	7
$bcd$	11	01	01	01	8
	21	02	11	02	

Thus, we expand  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$  first.

\* Expand  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$ :

$$\text{Free} = \{2, 3, 6, 7\}$$

Column 2 cannot be raised and hence  $\text{Free} = \{3, 6, 7\}$   
and the overexpanded cube is  $\bar{a}$ .

None of the cubes is feasibly covered and none are covered by the overexpanded cube. Thus, the cube is expanded to find the largest prime implicant covering the cube. Thus, the cube is expanded to  $\bar{a}\bar{c}$ .

\* Expand bcd:

$$\text{Free} = \{3, 5, 7\}$$

Since none of the columns can be raised, the cube cannot be expanded.

Thus, the expanded cover is  $\{\bar{a}\bar{c}, bcd\}$ .

This is consistent with the results produced by Espresso.

$$Q2. F = \bar{a}\bar{c} + AD + \bar{c}D + \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D} + ABC + BC\bar{D}$$

(i) Relatively essential set of cubes  $E'$ :

- Checking  $\bar{a}\bar{c}$

$$\alpha = \bar{a}\bar{c}$$

$$F - \alpha = \{AD, \bar{c}D, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{\bar{a}\bar{c}} = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Since it is not tautology,  $\bar{a}\bar{c} \in E'$ .

- Checking  $AD$

$$\alpha = AD$$

$$F - \alpha = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, \bar{D}, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{AD} = \{0, \bar{C}, 0, BC, 0\}$$

Since it is not tautology,  $AD \notin E^r$ .

- Checking  $\bar{D}$

$$\alpha = \bar{D}$$

$$F - \alpha = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, AD, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{\bar{D}} = \{\bar{A}, A, 0, 0, 0\}$$

Since it is tautology,  $\bar{D} \in E^r$ .

- Checking  $\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}$

$$\alpha = \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}$$

$$F - \alpha = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, AD, \bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}} = \{\bar{C}, 0, 0, 0, C\}$$

Since it is tautology,  $\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D} \in E^r$ .

- Checking  $ABC$

$$\alpha = ABC$$

$$F - \alpha = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, AD, \bar{D}, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, BC\bar{D}\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{ABC} = \{0, D, 0, 0, \bar{D}\}$$

Since it is tautology,  $ABC \notin E^r$ .

- Checking  $BC\bar{D}$

$$\alpha = BC\bar{D}$$

$$F - \alpha = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, AD, \bar{D}, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC\}$$

$$\{F - \alpha\}_{BC\bar{D}} = \{0, 0, 0, \bar{A}, A\}$$

Since it is tautology,  $BC\bar{D} \in E^r$ .

Thus,  $E^r = \{AD, \bar{A}\bar{C}\}$ .

(ii) Totally redundant,  $R^t$ , and partially redundant  $R^p$ :

$$F - E^r = \{\bar{C}D, \bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}, E^r = \{\bar{A}\bar{C}, AD\}$$

- Checking  $\bar{C}D$

$$E_{\bar{C}D}^r = \{\bar{A}, A\}$$

Since it is tautology,  $\bar{C}D \in R^t$

- Checking  $\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}$

$$E_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}}^r = \{\bar{C}, 0\}$$

Since it is not tautology,  $\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D} \in R^p$

- Checking  $ABC$

$$E_{ABC}^r = \{0, D\}$$

Since it is not tautology,  $ABC \in R^p$

- Checking  $BC\bar{D}$

$$E_{BC\bar{D}}^r = \{0, 0\}$$

Since it is not tautology,  $BC\bar{D} \in R^p$

Thus,  $R^t = \{\bar{C}D\}$  and  $R^p = \{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}, ABC, BC\bar{D}\}$ .

(iii) we formulate a covering problem

	$\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}$	$ABC$	$BC\bar{D}$
$\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{D}$	1	0	1
$ABC$	0	1	1
$BC\bar{D}$	1	1	1

The cube  $BC\bar{D}$  is selected and hence the irredundant cover is  $F = \bar{A}\bar{C} + AD + BC\bar{D}$ .

(iv) This is consistent with what is produced by Espresso.