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# **Q1.** Compare macros and procedures in terms of parameter passing, types of parameters, invocation mechanism, memory space, execution time and assembly time.

1. **Parameter passing**: Parameter passing in a macro invocation is similar to that in a procedure call of a high-level language. The arguments are listed as part of a macro call. Parameter passing in a procedure call often involves the stack. The number of stack operations in preparation for a procedure call grows in direct proportion to the number of parameters passed. This, in addition to the call/ret overhead, increases the overhead and affects the performance. Macros avoid this overhead by text substitution but increase the space requirement.
2. **Types of parameters**: Since a macro is a text substitution mechanism, a variety of parameter types can be passed. For example, the opcode of an instruction could be passed as a parameter. Procedures do not have such flexibility in parameter passing.
3. **Invocation mechanism**: Macro invocation is done at assembly time by text substitution. However, procedure invocation is done at run time by transferring control to the procedure. This leads to the following tradeoff. Macros tend to increase the length of the executable code due to macro expansions. This leads to increased assembly time.

In summary, the tradeoffs are that using macros results in faster execution of the code. However, macros result in increased memory space due to macro expansions. Procedures save space, as only one copy of the procedure is kept. However, procedure invocation overhead (to pass parameters via the stack and for call/ret) increases the execution time. Note that macro invocation causes assembly-time overhead but not run-time overhead.

# **Q2.** Give an example where it is better to use macros than procedures.

Macros are useful in defining macro-instructions that extend the instruction set of a processor. Macros are also useful when text substitution is the only way available. For example, suppose that we want to preserve the content of registers ECX, EDX, ESI and EDI across procedure calls.

We can conveniently do this by the following two macros:

save\_regs MACRO restore\_regs MACRO

 PUSH ECX POP EDI

 PUSH EDX POP ESI

 PUSH ESI POP EDX

 PUSH EDI POP ECX

 ENDM ENDM

It is not possible to write a procedure to do the same.

# **Q3.** Given the following macros and macro invocations, determine the assembly code generated by the assembler:

1. GET\_BIG FIRST, SECOND

|  |
| --- |
| GET\_BIG MACRO WORD1, WORD2 |
|  LOCAL EXIT |
|  MOV EAX, WORD1 |
|  CMP EAX, WORD2 |
|  JG EXIT |
|  MOV EAX, WORD2 |
|  EXIT: |
|  ENDM |

MOV EAX, FIRST

CMP EAX, SECOND

JG ??0000

MOV EAX, SECOND

??0000:

1. A LABEL DWORD

 BLOCK 5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  BLOCK MACRO N  |  |
|  K=1 |  |
|  REPT N |  |
|  DWORD K |  |
|  K=2\*K+1 |  |
|  ENDM |  |
|  ENDM |  |

A LABEL DWORD

 DWORD 1

DWORD 3

DWORD 7

DWORD 15

DWORD 31

1. SAVE\_REGS < EAX, EBX, ECX>

|  |
| --- |
| SAVE\_REGS MACRO REGS  |
|  IRP D, <REGS>  |
|  PUSH D  |
|  ENDM  |
|  ENDM  |

PUSH EAX

PUSH EBX

PUSH ECX