Formation and circulation of dense water in the Persian/Arabian Gulf

Stephen A. Swift and Amy S. Bower

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543

Abstract. The nature and circulation of water masses in the Persian/Arabian Gulf (the Gulf) is investigated by examination of a historic data base of hydrographic observations. The densest water forms in winter at the northern end of the Gulf rather than along the warmer southern and western coasts. With the exception of small amounts of water directly above the seafloor, most water flowing out of the Gulf mixes across a density front that separates Gulf Deep Water within the Gulf from the Indian Ocean surface water (IOSW). Contrary to previous inferences, the seasonally-variable incursion of IOSW into the Gulf peaks in late spring. This timing may be due to seasonal changes in sea surface slope driven by variations in evaporation rate. In order to explain mooring results published elsewhere that show relatively small seasonal changes in the volume flux through the Strait, we suggest that this flux is driven by the difference between the density of Gulf Deep Water in the interior of the basin and water at comparable depths outside the Gulf. This density difference varies less than 15% during the year. High rates of vertical mixing in the Strait extend about 200 km westward in response to topographic constriction of tidal flows by islands and shoals.

1. Introduction

High-salinity water flows out of the Persian/Arabian Gulf (hereafter called the Gulf) into the Gulf of Oman and spreads at 200-350 m depth within the Gulf of Oman in the northeastern Indian Ocean (IO) (Rochford, 1964; Wyrtki, 1971; Qasim, 1982; Premchand et al., 1986; Bower et al., 2000; Prasad et al., 2001). The injection of Gulf overflow water affects the stability of the IO thermocline and introduces oxygen-rich water at a depth



Figure 1. The Persian/Arabian Gulf (Gulf) is separated from the Gulf of Oman (GO) by the Strait of Hormuz (SH). Qeshm Island (Q) borders the north side of the Strait. Bahrain (B) lies between Qatar and the Saudi Arabian coast. The Tigris-Euphrates-Karun River delta (T-E-K delta) forms the northern end of the Gulf. Transects labeled E, F, and G were collected during the1992 Mt Mitchell cruise in February and May. Transect C was collected during the February 1977 Atlantis II cruise The triangle in the Strait marks the location of the mooring deployed by Johns and Olson (1998). The 60 m and 80 m bathymetric contours are shown.

characterized farther east by extreme oxygen-depletion due to decay of surface layer primary production (Wyrtki, 1973; Olsen et al., 1993). The density and, thus, the depth of Gulf overflow water is determined by its source characteristics as it flows through the Strait of Hormuz and by subsequent mixing processes on the continental shelf and slope off Oman and Iran (Bower et al., 2000).

The nature of the overflow water and dynamics of the exchange with the IO depend on the contrast between processes affecting water properties in the shallow, land-locked Gulf basin and in the deeper, un-confined western Gulf of Oman. The Gulf, with an average depth of ~35 m and maximum depths of 110-160 m in current scoured channels near islands, is connected to the western Gulf of Oman through the Strait of Hormuz (Strait; Figure 1). Tectonic-driven subsidence deepened the seafloor locally in the Strait to 200-300 m and produced a 70-95 m deep trough along the Iranian side of the southern half of the Gulf (Figure 2). The Strait and the basin trough are separated by a broad sill at about 86 m near 55°30'E in the western approaches to the Strait. A southward widening channel leads from the Strait south across a series of sills (water depth of ~110 m) and shallow basins to the shelf edge (Seibold and Ulrich, 1970). Although water is deeper in the channel than in the Gulf, the narrow Strait of Hormuz restricts water exchange and isolates the Gulf from well-mixed water masses in the northern Indian Ocean.

The climate in the Gulf region is arid, resulting in an excess of evaporation over precipitation plus river run-off. Although estimates of fresh water flux are quite variable, the following published values give a sense of the balance: evaporation rate 1.4 m/yr (Privett, 1959), river run-off 0.15-0.46 m/yr (Hartmann et al., 1971; Chao et al., 1992; Reynolds, 1993), and precipitation 0.07-0.1 m/yr (Hartmann et al., 1971; Reynolds, 1993). The relatively high evaporation combined with restricted exchange with the open ocean leads to formation of a saline, dense water mass known as Persian Gulf Water (PGW) and a reverse estuary circulation through the Strait of Hormuz. Within the Strait, flow of PGW out of the Gulf is mostly confined to the southern side of the channel by geostrophy (Emery, 1956; Sonu in Chao et al., 1992), although the opposite was true in late February, 1992 (Reynolds, 1993). Indian Ocean Surface Water (IOSW) normally flows into the Gulf from the open ocean along the northern side of the Strait and continues northward along the Iranian coast (Emery, 1956; Brewer et al., 1979; Hunter, 1983; Reynolds, 1993), but this current appeared banked against the Oman (south) side of the



Figure 2. Bathymetry of Gulf compiled from navigation charts shows the elongate Gulf basin narrowing in the western approach to the Strait of Hormuz. From shallow banks bordering the Arabian side of the Gulf, the seafloor dips northward to an 80-105 m NW-SE trough near Iran. A sill at ~86m occurs near 55°45'E between the main trough and the 100-200 m deep channel through the Strait of Hormuz. Another sill occurs southeast of the Strait at ~110 m near 26°N. Boxes indicate regions in which station data were obtained to form an along-axis vertical section. Stations on the shallow banks off Arabia were excluded from the axial section.

channel in April, 1977 (Sonu in Chao et al., 1992). Based on estimates of evaporation-precipitation and the bulk temperature (T)-salinity (S) properties of the inflow and dense outflow, the annual mean exchange flow through the Strait is 0.1-0.2 Sv (Hartmann et al.,

1971; Ahmad and Sultan, 1991, which agrees fairly well with an estimate of 0.28 Sv based on an ADCP mooring in the Strait (Johns and Olson, 1998).

Direct observations of the circulation within the Persian Gulf are scarce. Analysis of ship drift records indicates northwest flow with speeds greater than 10 cm/s along the Iranian coast from the Strait to the change in trend of the coast near 51.5°E and southwest flow in the regions of the southern Gulf away from Iran (Hunter, 1983; Chao et al, 1992). During the Mt. Mitchell cruises in early 1992, currents measured by vector-averaging current meters at 10 and 30 m depth on one mooring (mooring M2, Reynolds, 1993; Abdelrahman and Ahmad, 1995) agree with the historic ship drift data, but shallow meters on other moorings in the low salinity IOSW current indicated slow (<3 cm/s), southwest flow (mooring M3). Movement of drifter buoys deployed in the northwest current off southern Iran during the same period moved only 1-3 cm/s when the vectors are summed over the same period. Thus, ship drifts, current meters on one mooring, and changes in the salinity field suggest northward flow at 4-5 cm/s for the Iranian half of the Gulf south of 27.5°N, whereas current meters and lagrangian drifters at nearby locations suggest weaker flow to the west or south. The reason for this discrepancy is unclear, but the drift of the buoys, which were drouged at 0-1.3 m, may have been influenced by strong winds blowing from the northwest as well as the northwest-flowing IOSW coastal current.

Modeling suggests that strong, northwest winds in the winter and spring produce southeast-flowing surface currents along both coasts in the northern gulf, confine cyclonic circulation to the southern Gulf, and shift the surface current through the Strait to the south side of the channel (Chao et al., 1992; Lardner et al., 1993). Based on seasonal cycles in solar heating, wind, and evaporation and on cross-sections across the Strait, Chao et al. (1992) suggested that inflow through the Strait peaked at 0.17 Sv in March and decreased to 0.03 Sv in August-September. Reynolds (1993) found that isopycnals below 50 m water depth in a hydrographic section across the Strait in February-March, 1992, dip down to the south but dipped northward in a section in May-June and agreed that the exchange was

seasonally dependent. Johns and Olsen (1998), however, observed relatively constant outflow current speed from an upward-looking ADCP moored in the western channel (location shown in Figure 1). They also observed a dramatic shift in the T-S properties of water flowing through the Strait at most depths in July and a more gradual reversal in properties between November and January. It appears that the picture of circulation in the Gulf and exchange with the Indian Ocean from the modeling and the isolated surveys is not complete.

A seasonally complete data set would help resolve these inconsistencies. Comprehensive surveys of currents and water properties throughout the year have not been obtained in the Gulf. In this paper we examine a hydrographic data base and resolve seasonal trends by averaging the data from many cruises over many years. We identify the source areas and season when dense water is formed in the Gulf and use T-S characteristics to trace movements and transformations of water masses within the Gulf and the exchange with the Indian Ocean. With this approach we are able to base our interpretations on both the fine-scale spatial and temporal resolution of existing synoptic surveys and on bimonthly averages for about two-thirds of the year.

2. Data

Temperature and salinity values as a function of water depth were obtained from a data base maintained by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office (MOODS, Master Oceanographic Observations Data Set). Alessi et al. (1999) describe general features of the data and the quality control procedures applied to edit out aberrant stations. Data from both bottle casts and CTDs at 1758 stations cover most areas of the Gulf west of 57°30'E. Figure 3 shows the distribution of stations as a function of month-pairs. The data include large temporal gaps and a bias towards winter and spring months (Tables 1 and 2). We obtained additional data collected prior to 1964 from Dubach (1964) and data collected on the 1977-1978 R/V Lemuru cruises from (Simmonds and Lamboeuf, 1981). Eid and El-

Gindy (1998) used a similar historical data set to study Gulf circulation but confined their analysis to geostrophic computation of surface currents.

Table 1. Distribution of casts by decade.

	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970s	1980s	1990s
Number of casts	16	96	644	61	0	941

Table 2. Distribution of casts by month.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Number of casts	148	223	287	542	114	64	160	161	26	0	27	6

The data include spatial bias as well (Figure 3). The axial channel through the Strait and its approaches has been poorly sampled. This is somewhat surprising given the obvious importance of this region to understanding the exchange with the Gulf of Oman but probably results from the difficulty of surveying in a major shipping channel and political difficulties. In addition, no stations are located within shallow bays and basins along the Arabian coast, and only a few stations, collected in March 1977 and the 1990s, are located within the topographic bank and channel complex linking the coastline basins to the deeper axis of the Gulf. As a result, very high salinity and density water found closest to the coast (ie. Sudgen, 1963; Chandy et al., 1990) is not represented in our analysis. We suspect that these waters are trapped by topography and only reach the Gulf episodically (see discussion below).

Our data set includes a small number of synoptic surveys. The earliest is a large survey during July-August of 1968 (273 casts). The best documented survey is the *R/V Atlantis II* survey of the Gulf in February of 1977 (Brewer et al, 1978; Brewer and Dyrssen, 1985). The *Mt Mitchell* surveys in winter (late February-early March) and spring (late May-early June) of 1992 included comprehensive CTD sampling that covered many regions of the



Figure 3. Locations of casts by seasons indicates few stations in summer months and almost no stations during the fall-early winter period. For most months there are too few stations in the Strait of Hormuz to adequately document the variability in water masses. With the exception of the February-June period, there are no stations on the shallow banks off the UAE southern coast.

Gulf that were poorly sampled by other expeditions, including the Strait of Hormuz and the shallow southern banks (Reynolds, 1993; Sultan and Elghribi, 1996). Follow-up CTD

surveys were done in April of 1994 and March-April of 1996, but the area investigated by these cruises is not as wide as that covered by the *Mt Mitchell*.

3. Results

3.1 Formation of dense Gulf Bottom Water

Formation of dense water in the Gulf is commonly associated with processes that increase salinity (Emery, 1956; Chao et al., 1992). This viewpoint follows from the understanding of the Gulf as a shallow reverse-estuary system in an arid, subtropical setting. It is reasonable to presume a direct link between the production of high salinity water in particular regions and seasons with the formation of dense water that spills along the bottom through the Strait into the Indian Ocean. While a small portion of the flux out through the Strait is indeed ultra high-salinity (>41 psu) water, we shall argue that most of the dense outflow is water that has been diluted by mixing in several steps before exiting the Gulf.

The densest water in the main Gulf basin forms in winter. Figure 4 shows T-S plots for all data inside the Strait by month-pairs. The highest density water, with σ_θ>29.5, is observed only between January and April. Most of this water has salinity less than 41 psu. We found 1258 samples with σ_θ>29.5 and obtained an average temperature (mean±standard deviation) of 16.9±1.5°C, salinity of 40.6±0.5 psu, and a density of 29.8±0.3 σ_θ units. The densest water is formed from surface water by cooling of warm mixed layer water by up to 13°C and evaporative salinity increases of up to 3 psu (Figure 4). This densest water mixes with relatively fresh, warmer inflow from the Gulf of Oman to form Gulf Deep Water, the most common water mass in the Gulf with modal peaks at about 19°C, 39.75 psu, and 28.25 σ_θ units for most months of the year (Figure 5). Formation of dense water may begin as early as November when air temperatures decrease and wind speed increases, but too little data are available for this period to describe the



Figure 4. Data from all casts in Gulf indicate seasonal changes in T-S relationships. Only data within the Gulf (longitude <56.4°E) are shown. Fall months are omitted because there are too few casts to describe range of T-S characteristics. Mixing with IOSW (triangle) occurs throughout the year. From March to August, solar heating raises temperatures. Surface salinity increases in July-August. Highest densities occur in January-April. Bottom two panels depict schematically the principal processes affecting water masses in general: surface cooling (C) and evaporation (E) in late fall to early spring, surface heating (H) in summer-fall, and mixing (M) between Gulf Deep water and IOSW. Symbols indicate average T-S characteristics: circle is bottom outflow water in Strait (Box 15 in Figure 2), square is modal peak of all water in Gulf (from figure 5), and triangle is IOSW (depth<10 m, box 18 in Figure 2).



Figure 5. Numerical census of observations indicates modal water masses in the Gulf by bi-monthly period. Triangles indicate locations of the principal mode for the entire year. The temperature census shows a sharp peak in most months at 19°C, the temperature of Gulf Deep water forming the bottom water layer in the interior of the Gulf. Higher temperature modes in May-June and July-August indicate surface water lying above the seasonal thermocline. The salinity census shows one principal mode that falls within the 39.5-40.4 psu range with no systematic changes with season. The density census also changes little with season, having a single, broad mode at 27.8-29.4.

breakdown of the seasonal thermocline and the increase in vertical and lateral mixing that accelerates heat and water fluxes at the seasurface in early winter.

Paradoxically, the densest water forms during the months of peak rainfall (Kappus et al., 1978). This results, in part, from the small magnitude of the flux from precipitation and, in part, from the delay of peak river discharge until the period from March to May when the snow pack melts in the Zagros Mountains of Iran and the Taurus Range of eastern Turkey (e.g. Beaumont, 1973). In addition, river discharge from both the Shatt-Al-Arab and the Iranian rivers are diverted into currents flowing southward along both the Arabian and Iranian coasts (Brewer et al., 1978; Lardner et al., 1993; Reynolds, 1993) that reduce their immediate impact on water mass formation in the central portions of the Gulf.

Most of the dense water forms above shallow seafloor at the northwest end of the Gulf with lesser amounts forming along the lagoons and shallow banks off the southwest and southern coastlines. Figure 6a shows the location of all the casts which had at least one sample with density greater than 29.5. A few samples are found along the Arabian coasts, but the majority are found in the northern third of the Gulf off the Tigris-Euphrates-Karun delta. Only one station collected a high-density sample in the western approach to the Strait of Hormuz. Axial cross-sections obtained by averaging data in bins (Figure 7) clearly show that the densest isopycnals in the Gulf crop out at the surface in the northern end of the Gulf from at least January to April, when northwest winds bring interior continental air to cool the surface of the Gulf. Air temperature over the Gulf is coldest in the north and increases southward by heat exchange with the sea surface (Brower et al., 1992). As a result, heat loss from the sea surface is greatest in the north, and the densest water forms there. In winter, wind speeds are higher and humidity is lower (Perrone, 1979; Brower et al., 1992), contributing to higher evaporation rates than in summer. Although the coast is open and circulation is largely unrestricted, evaporation rates are high enough to increase salinity to 40-41 psu (Figures 4 and 7).



Figure 6. Most stations with at-least one sample having density >29.5 occur in the northern end of the Gulf, and most were collected in the January-April period. High salinity stations (S>41psu) are equally distributed between the northern Gulf and the banks along the south coast. Almost no stations in the axial trough or the western approach to the Strait have high density or salinity.



Figure 7a. Section for January-February along deep axial basin from Gulf of Oman (right, box 18) to Tigris-Euphrates-Karun River delta off Iraq (left, box 1) shows most of the high-density water at the head of the Gulf. Dipping front between modified IOSW (above) and Gulf Deep Water (below) is clear in salinity and density sections. Data from all years in data set were averaged in 2 m depth bins. Figure 2 shows location of boxes. Local density inversions are likely an artifact of binning irregularly sampled data.



Figure 7b. Axial section for March-April shows cold, saline water still crops out at the sea surface of the T-E-K delta. Warm, low salinity IOSW at the sea surface has moved farther up the Gulf.



Figure 7c. Axial section for May-June shows that the development of the seasonal thermocline has cut cold, saline Gulf Deep Water off from sea surface. Salinity data indicate that modified IOSW at the sea surface reaches closest to the Tigris-Euphrates-Karun River delta during these months.



Figure 7d. Axial section in July-August shows that the southeast end of the front separating modified IOSW from Gulf Deep Water has moved up the basin to at least box 12. Warm, low-salinity surface water has been stirred downwards in the region to the west of the Strait (boxes 12-15). Solar heating has warmed the complete water column lowering overall density compared to previous months.

Dense water also forms along the Bahrain-Qatar shelf and behind the shallow banks off UAE along the south coast. Fewer numbers of high-density samples occur in the south than in the north (Figure 6a). Part of the reason may be sampling bias; there are fewer samples in the south (Figure 3). Warmer temperature is also part of the reason. High-density water is found along the south coast only between January and March, and winter weather is warmer here than at the north end of the Gulf. Tables in Brower et al. (1992) indicate that daily mean air temperatures in January range from 15-23°C and average 19°C in Dubai, UAE, whereas the range in air temperature is 6-17°C and the average 13°C in Kuwait. As a result, those water samples with high-density (σ_θ>29.5) south of 27°N latitude (chosen arbitrarily) have higher average temperatures (18.7±1.6°C) than do high-density samples in the north (16.8±1.4°C). The contribution of winter cooling to dense water formation is not as great along the south coast as in the northern Gulf.

As compensation for higher temperatures, high-density water in the south is more saline than high-density water in the north. On average, samples with high density (σ_θ>29.5) south of 27°N latitude have higher salinity (41.6±0.9 psu) than do high-density samples in the north (40.5±0.3 psu). High-salinity values, in general, are more common along the south coast. Figure 6b shows that samples with salinity values in excess of 41.0 psu are as common in the south as in the northern half of the Gulf, despite there being fewer samples overall in the south. We found little spatial variability in the salinity and density of high salinity samples. Samples south of 27°N with salinity greater than 41 psu have an average temperature of 22.6±2.6°C, salinity of 41.6±0.5 psu, and density of 29.0±1.0 σ_θ units, whereas high-salinity water samples for the whole basin have a temperature of 19.1±1.7°C, salinity of 41.5±0.6 psu, and a density of 30.0±0.6σ_θ units. Extremely high salinity values (>50 psu) have been observed in shallow bays west of Qatar (Sugden, 1963; Chandy et al., 1990) and probably are common in lagoons elsewhere along the south coast. Such high-salinity values do not appear in our data indicating considerable dilution before reaching the shallow southern banks and the main Gulf basin where our samples were taken (Figure 3).

High salinity water formed along the coast appears to be trapped there by the same topographic and oceanographic restrictions that allow salinity to increase to high levels.

3.2 Density front isolates the Gulf

A density front separates cool, saline deep water in the Gulf from warmer, fresher surface water in the Gulf of Oman. The nature and dynamics of the front reflect and, to some extent, control exchange processes in and out of the Gulf. We describe the front here and later use changes in location and the characteristics of water masses across the front to interpret the nature of the exchange processes and their seasonal pattern.

In the Strait of Hormuz the front appears to be a near-vertical feature oriented north-south across the Strait. Long density sections down the Gulf axis, collected during February by Brewer and Dyrsson (1985) and Reynolds (1993), indicate that isopycnals in the front intercept the seafloor in the region of the Strait. Stations in these sections are too far apart to image the nature of the front in the Strait itself, but isopycnals there appear to be near-vertical. This interpretation is supported by better data collected in December, 1993. Matsuyama et al. (1994, 1998) show the most detailed section along the axis of the channel through the Strait to be published. They describe a vertical front located just west of the tip of the Musandam Peninsula and just south of the eastern tip of Qeshm Island. The σ_t=25.0 and σ_t=25.5 isopycnals clearly crop out at the sea surface. Water with σ_t>26.0 appears at the seafloor inside the Strait (to the west) but not outside the Strait. In the cross-axis direction, other data indicate little variability. Isopycnals in a north-south section collected at 56.2°E during February, 1992, put the base of the IOSW at 40-50 m, show little change in depth across the Strait, and appear to intercept both sides of the channel (Transect C in Reynolds, 1993). Summarizing the limited data available, density surfaces in the Strait during winter appear to be planar features that are vertical at the bend in the Strait north of the tip of the Musandam Peninsula but dip towards the west within the Gulf. Sections taken across the channel axis in spring show more variability in depth to isopycnals than in winter

(Chao et al., 1992; Reynolds, 1993). Unfortunately, axial sections with stations as closely spaced as that of Matsuyama et al. (1994) have not been collected in the spring, and no data from the Strait with such high density and quality is yet available for summer months.

Within the southern half of the Gulf west of the Strait, vertical sections down the axis of the basin collected during February show isopycnals sloping from the seafloor upwards to the west in a fan pattern (Brewer et al., 1978; Chao et al., 1992; Reynolds, 1993). As a result, isopycnals are spaced farther apart at the sea surface than at the seafloor. Isopycnals crop out at the sea surface in the interior of the basin, so maps of surface density and salinity show a gradient that marks the surface location of the front (Brewer and Dyrsson, 1985, Reynolds, 1993). The gradient separates modified IOSW to the northeast along the Iranian coast from cooler, more saline Gulf water to the southwest. The southern end of the front can traced back to the UAE coast near 55°E where a section (Transect B) by Brewer et al (1978) shows the cluster of isopycnals marking the front separating from the southern wall of the channel and rising to the sea surface. The northern end of the front is less well-defined by data but probably does not extend north of 27°N.

The front changes with season. In the spring, modified IOSW moves farther up the Iranian coastline and closer to the UAE coast (Chao et al., 1992; Reynolds, 1993). As a result, isohalines cropping out at the sea surface spread northward up the Iranian coast and farther south closer to the UAE coast. In July, the front merges with a basin-wide seasonal thermocline and has no sea surface expression.

Two lines of evidence suggest that the front represents a region of mixing between water masses and not a boundary between flows moving in different directions. Axial density sections collected in winter clearly show that isopycnals intercepting the sea surface dip down towards the east into the Strait and pinchout at the seafloor (Brewer et al., 1978; Matsuyama et al., 1994; Reynolds, 1993). In north-south sections across the western approach to the Strait, the density gradient at the base of the modified IOSW is near the sea floor (Reynolds, 1993). If near-bottom outflow were constrained to the region beneath the

front, the velocity of the outflow would have to increase many fold eastward to conserve volume. Current meter records in the Strait are dominated by tidal fluctuations with no evidence that amplitudes increase near the sea floor (Matsuyama et al., 1994; Johns and Zantopp, 1999), so it is likely that little Gulf water flows unmodified beneath the IOSW. The front is a manifestation of the water mass transformation that occurs as the deep Gulf water passes through the Strait and mixes with the overlying inflow water. This inference is supported by the observation of Johns and Olson (1998) that outflow in the western approach to the Strait remained relatively constant from the seafloor up to ~40 m depth year-round, whereas the temperature and salinity profile at the mooring changed significantly with seasons. If the front were a flow boundary, measured outflow should have changed when the density profile changed. We will argue later that exchange through the Strait is driven by evaporative water losses at the sea surface in the Gulf and the density imbalance between Gulf bottom water and water outside the Gulf.

The depth and location of the front influence the movement of dense water off the shallow banks bordering the southern Gulf coast. Leakage of salty, dense water off the banks appears to occur only during special oceanographic conditions and may be limited spatially and temporally. Transects across the Gulf in 1977, 1992, 1994, and 1996 indicate that the mixing front between modified IOSW and deeper Gulf water in the axis of the basin is often nearly flat during late winter (Figure 8a). To the south of the basin axis, the front intercepts the seafloor near the 40-50 m isobaths and then bends upward, cropping out at the sea surface. Under these conditions the dense water on the banks can not flow into the basin and lateral mixing rates are slow. Under different conditions, the front slopes upward from the basin axis to crop out at the sea surface above the banks without intercepting the seafloor. With the density front in a shallow position, salty water can flow off the banks. Transect C, collected oblique to bathymetry - but perpendicular to



Figure 8a. Section G across the Gulf obtained in February, 1992, off the UAE (location in Figure 1) by the Mt Mitchell (Reynolds, 1993) shows warm, low-salinity modified IOSW at the sea surface in the main axis of the basin. The front separating this water from denser Gulf deep water below bends upward above the sloping seafloor bounding the south side of the basin. This front blocks relatively dense, cold, high-salinity water formed on the banks off UAE from flowing into the basin and mixing with Gulf deep water.



Figure 8b. North-south section F across the Gulf obtained in February, 1992, 40-50 km east of section G (location in Figure 1) by the Mt Mitchell shows the front dipping at a more shallow angle than in section G. This section closely resembles that collected by the Atlantis II in February, 1977 (Brewer et al., 1978; Chao et al., 1992). Modified IOSW mixes onto the shallow bank at the sea surface and dense, saline water on the bank appears to flow down-slope to mix with Gulf Deep Water below the front. More exchange between shallow bank and deeper basin water may be occurring in this section than in section G to the west. Section D (not shown) to the east, however, shows that cold, saline, dense water is confined to the crest of the bank – similar to section G, so exchange at this time is limited to a 80-100 km section of the UAE coast that is crossed by section F.



Figure 8c. North-south section F across the Gulf obtained by the Mt Mitchell in late May, 1992, at the same location as the section in Figure 8b shows the front dipping at a more shallow angle than in section G. Color scales differ from those used in 8a and 8b. High-salinity water formed on the bank in winter is now perched on the seafloor slope and mixes laterally with water in the dipping front. Dense Gulf Deep Water is isolated from exchange with the shelf at this time.

the front - in February 1977 (Brewer and Dysson, 1978; Chao et al., 1992), shows salty, dense water flowing along isopycnals into the basin. The T-S plot for this section indicates lateral mixing between the dense bottom water and modified IOSW above the front. This condition also occurred along transect F (~54°15'E) of the Mt. Mitchell survey in February of 1992 (Figure 8b). In contrast, Mt. Mitchell transects to the east and west along the UAE margin show dense shelf water trapped on the bank (Figure 8a). It seems likely then that seepages of dense water off the bank in winter (January-March) are localized to regions less than 100 km wide. The data indicate that conditions for basinward flow and mixing are more frequent in spring. Isopycnals parallel the seafloor in all four north-south transects across the UAE margin in April, 1994. At this time, though, temperatures on the bank are much higher (22.5-25°C), and density is somewhat lower (0.5-1 unit). By the time of the Mt Mitchell transects in late May, 1992, most of the water on the banks is warm, modified IOSW, and salty water formed in winter and early spring has slipped into a pool on the seafloor above the 30-50 m isobaths (Figure 8c). The density of water in this pool is too low to mix downslope with Gulf deep water lying above the seafloor in the axis. This salty water appears to evolve along at leat two pathways. A small portion mixes laterally into the basin beneath the seasonal thermocline and may be the source of 5-10 m thick high salinity layers observed at 20-30 m depth in small regions (40-80 km across) during the summer. A larger portion appears to be transported eastward along isobaths, appearing as a salty tongue of water at depths <45 m along the south wall of the Strait in summer (Johns and Zantopp, 1999) and as shallow, narrow veins of high T-S water in the Gulf of Oman (Bower et al., 2000).

3.3 Spreading of IOSW

Relatively fresh surface water from the Oman-Iran shelf flows into the Gulf (Table 3) to replace water lost to evaporation. As mentioned earlier, input from river discharge and

precipitation is much lower than estimates of evaporative flux (Hartmann et al., 1971; Reynolds, 1993). For a long time oceanographers inferred that the surface water of the Gulf, in general, is saltier in winter than in summer. Schott (1908) attributed the difference to changing river fluxes, whereas Emery (1956) attributed the difference to a change in evaporation rate. Assuming that the evaporation rate increases when the air temperature dropped below that of the sea surface, Emery argued the evaporation rate for the Gulf would be higher in winter and would produce higher sea surface salinity. Chao et al. (1992) claimed that the prevailing northwest wind is stronger in winter than in summer and suggest that the summer influx of IOSW is due to relaxation of surface wind stress. Our data suggest revisions in these interpretations are warranted. We use bi-monthly maps and sections to show that influx of IOSW during some years may peak in late spring rather than summer and to argue that the transport is driven by an evaporative lowering of sea surface height in the Gulf.

Table 3. Average characteristics for IOSW (sample depth less than 10 m) by month pairs for the Strait of Hormuz (boxes 15 and 16, see Figure 2 for location) and the Oman-Iran shelf outside the Strait (box 17).

	Temperature (°C)		Salinity (psu)		Density (σ_θ)		Number
	Mean	Stnd Dev	Mean	Stnd Dev	Mean	Stnd Dev	of samples
Strait of Hormuz							
JanFeb	22.7	1.2	36.8	0.2	25.4	0.5	113
MarApr	23.5	0.8	36.7	0.1	25.1	0.2	37
MayJun	27.6	1.1	36.8	0.2	23.9	0.3	43
JulAug	32.0	0.7	37.2	0.3	22.7	0.4	85
Oman-Iran shelf southeast of Strait							
JanFeb	21.9	0.7	36.6	0.1	25.5	0.2	27
MarApr	26.6	1.2	36.8	0.1	24.2	0.3	72
MayJun	29.6	1.0	36.9	0.2	23.3	0.3	31
JulAug	32.1	0.5	37.1	0.1	22.6	0.2	4

Our data suggest that the presence of low-salinity surface water increases from January to June and then decreases in July and August. Maps of surface salinity (Figure 9) show that salinity less than 37 psu spreads westward into the Gulf from January-February into March-April. In May-June most contours spread 20-40 km further northwestward into the Gulf. Low salt water remains within the Iranian half of the Gulf despite a wide-spread, well-developed thermocline at this time. By July-August, low salinity water (<38.5 psu) has retracted almost 100 km southeastward along the coast and covers an area smaller than it does in January-February. Along-axis sections (Figure 7) show a similar pattern. Water with salinity less than 38.5 spreads northwestward at the sea surface from box 11 during January-February to box 9 in March-April - about 110 km. Relatively low salinity water moves further northwestward to box 6 in May-June, another 190 km. In July-August, however, most of the water with salinity less than 38.5 has retracted south of box 11, a distribution similar to that in winter. The summer retraction is apparent in T-S plots for the month-pairs, as well. In Figure 4 temporal trends in surface salinities are difficult to resolve between January and June with most values falling in the 37-38 psu range. In July-August, however, minimum salinities clearly have shifted to values greater than 38 psu. These results appear to contradict the traditional interpretation that the surface water of the Gulf in summer is less salty than in winter.

High surface salinity values in our July-August data may not represent the only condition possible during the summer in the Gulf. The July-August data came from cruises in only 1968 and 1993. In both years the southwest-northeast salinity contrast across the Gulf in July-August is lower than during any other month-pair, and salinity values less than 38 psu are found only as far west as 55°E. Salinities in 1968 appear more abnormally high than salinities in 1993. On the other hand, the surface salinity map from Emery's August 1948 survey shows a pattern more closely resembling our map of May-June than our map of July-August. Unpublished salinity data not in the MOODS data set also



Figure 9. Surface salinity maps (top four panels) show that modified IOSW progressively moves farther up the Gulf from January to June. These data suggest that surface water with salinity less than 38 psu then retreats ~100 km eastward towards the Strait in July. Since the seasonal thermocline develops in May-June (Figures 5 and 7), this retreat is unrelated to summer warming. Salinity distribution of Gulf Deep Water at 50 m depth (lower four panels) shows comparatively little seasonal change.

suggest that low salinities are present along the Iranian coast in July during other years. Apparently, the sea surface salinity distribution in July and August may vary dramatically from year to year.

Despite high variability in salinity during July-August, our data set clearly shows that less saline water reaches farther into the Gulf during the spring than in winter and summer. We can identify no simple explanation for the changes in surface water salinity other than a change in the rate of inflow of IOSW. In coastal Iran, rainfall peaks in mid-winter (Kappus et al., 1978), but river runoff from the Zagros Mountain peaks during March-May when most of the snow melts (Beaumont, 1973). The section of Iranian coastline along which low salinities are observed, however, does not have significant river discharge due to low annual precipitation in the mountain watersheds (Beaumont, 1973), so the salinity change is unlikely to arise from changes in fresh water runoff. In surface water samples (0-10 m depth) southeast of the Tigris-Euphrates-Karun coastline, we find no salinity values lower than that of IOSW on the Iran-Oman shelf at any time of the year, indicating that the discharge from other Iranian rivers has little affect on water properties beyond the coastal zone. Monthly average and peak wind speed remains nearly constant from December through June with strengths actually peaking in June at some stations (Perrone, 1979; Brower et al., 1992; Chao et al., 1992). Wind speed drops during the July-October period. Since the prevailing surface winds blow from the northwest against inflow, the increase in low salinity surface water in the Gulf during the spring can not result from a decrease in surface wind stress, and the apparent recession of IOSW from the Gulf in July does not correlate with an increase in wind stress. We conclude that broader extension of low salinity values at the sea surface up the Iranian side of the Gulf indicates greater transport of IOSW through the Strait in late winter and spring.

At least two mechanisms based on conservation of volume could explain the observed changes in IOSW transport. Sultan et al. (1995) argue that the annual change in sealevel

recorded by tide gauges in the Gulf (26 cm higher in summer) can be accounted for by changes in atmospheric pressure and surface water density (thermal effect, principally). Thus, changes in the volume of the Gulf from other sources must remain minor, and fluxes through the Strait on a seasonal basis must balance. The changes in surface transport could be compensated by a seasonal change in outflow. The limited data available for deep outflow, however, suggest otherwise. Johns and Olson (1998) found a "relatively steady deep outflow" that varied little on a seasonal time scale. Even if this interpretation is in error by 10-20% due to changes in flow outside the channel axis, the magnitude of the changes in flux allowed are insufficient to account for the seasonal changes in volume of IOSW within the Gulf that we observe. There is a timing problem as well. The flux of IOSW appears to peak in late spring, whereas outflow of dense water would be expected to peak in winter when Gulf Deep water crops out at the sea surface in the northern third of the Gulf (Figure 5) and the densest water (σ_θ>29.5) is most common (Figure 6).

Alternatively, the surface influx could be driven by loss of water volume through evaporation. In this hypothesis, seasonal changes in water loss through the sea surface are compensated by changes in the flux of IOSW through the Strait. This is difficult to confirm because seasonal changes in evaporation rates in the Gulf are uncertain. Privett (1959) computed evaporation rate from shipboard meteorological observations, and found a peak during October-January and a minimum in May (Table 4). This pattern is consistent with Emery's suggestion that the rate depends on the relative temperature of air and seawater. Ahmad and Sultan (1991) obtained a different seasonal pattern. They computed surface heat fluxes from meteorological observations at Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, and coastal sea surface temperature at stations farther up the coast. Their expression for latent heat flux is just the product of a constant and the evaporation rate. Their estimate of evaporation peaks between June and August - essentially the opposite result of Privett. The timing of this peak is within two months of the late spring intrusion of IOSW. This timing difference could be due to bias introduced by either the use of data from a single station to represent

the whole Gulf or the use of on-shore meteorological data to represent conditions just above the seasurface. Peaks in wind speed and minimums in relative humidity occur in May and June for many coastal monitoring stations (Perrone, 1979; Brower et al., 1992), consistent with higher evaporation in these months. Clearly, a new survey of evaporation rate and its seasonal and spatial variability needs to be done to resolve uncertainties in published interpretations.

In lieu of new evaporation rate estimates, we can make an initial assessment of whether our argument is reasonable by comparing the volume of water lost by the seasonal anomalies in the published evaporation rates to an estimate of the seasonal volume change in IOSW. The latter estimate properly requires a volume census which is beyond the scope of this study, but a preliminary comparison can be done based on the change in surface area of isohalines at the sea surface. To obtain a maximum value for the water loss, we ignore the difference in timing between Privett's numbers and those of Ahmed and Sultan and compute the thickness of the water layer lost through evaporation by the portion of the monthly rate of evaporation that exceeds the smallest rate for the year. Table 4 presents this computation. Privett computed evaporation rate in gm/(cm^2*day) using $E = 0.00587*(e_o-e_a)w$, where e_o is the saturated vapor pressure corresponding to the sea surface temperature, e_a is the atmospheric vapor pressure, and w is the wind speed. We convert this to an annual thickness of 0.72 m by assuming a density of 1 gm/cm^3, multiplying the day rates for each month by 30 days, and summing the 12 months. Ahmad and Sultan computed latent heat flux using $Q_e = 2.4\ (e_o-e_a)w$, so we converted their tabled heat flux values to evaporation rate by multiplying by the ratio of the two coefficients: $E/Q_e = 0.00587/2.4 = 0.0024$. The predicted thickness is 71 cm, nearly identical to that of Privett. We scaled this thickness (T_e) using the surface area of the Gulf from Emery (1956) and the change in surface area of the 38 psu isohaline between the January-February and July-August maps in Figure 9:

$$T_e * \text{Total Area} = T_{IOSW} * \text{Area}_{IOSW}$$

$$0.72 * 239{,}000\ km^2 = T_{IOSW} * 33{,}000\ km^2$$

$$T_{IOSW} = 5\ m$$

Table 4. We compute an estimate of the annual thickness of water evaporated from the Gulf based on rates provided in Privett (1959) and Ahmed and Sultan (1991).

Month	E^1	$E_{anomaly}{}^2$	$T_e{}^3$	$Q_e{}^4$	$Q_{anomaly}{}^5$	$E_{anomaly}{}^6$	$T_e{}^3$
J	0.56	0.35	10.5	110	25	0.06	1.8
F	0.40	0.19	5.7	85	0	0.0	0.0
M	0.28	0.07	2.1	87	2	0.005	0.15
A	0.25	0.04	1.2	132	47	0.11	3.3
M	0.21	0.0	0.0	179	94	0.22	6.6
J	0.28	0.07	2.1	287	202	0.48	14.1
J	0.35	0.14	4.2	299	214	0.51	15.3
A	0.36	0.15	4.5	285	200	0.48	14.4
S	0.50	0.29	8.7	178	93	0.22	6.6
O	0.55	0.34	10.2	160	75	0.18	5.4
N	0.59	0.38	11.4	113	28	0.07	2.1
D	0.59	0.38	11.4	100	15	0.04	1.2
		Thickness for year:	72 cm				71 cm

Notes: [1] Evaporation rate ($gm/(cm^2*day)$) from Figure 3 in Privett (1959).

[2] Evaporation rate anomaly found by subtracting 0.21, the smallest monthly rate.

[3] Thickness (cm) of water evaporated = ($E_{anomaly}$ * 30 days)/1 gm/cm^3.

[4] Latent heat flux from Table 1 in Ahmed and Sultan (1991).

[5] Heat flux anomaly found by subtracting 85, the smallest monthly rate.

[6] Evaporation rate anomaly = $Q_{anomaly} * (E/Q_e) = Q_{anomaly} * (0.0024)$

This thickness is probably too small but is within an order of magnitude of a thickness for the IOSW layer in spring that might be reasonably be picked based on cross-sections presented in Reynolds (1993). Although this result does not confirm our hypothesis that changes in evaporative flux are the most likely mechanism to explain the seasonal change in sea surface salinity in the Gulf, it is close enough to encourage further investigations that will test our suggestion.

3.4 Deep water outflow

As discussed briefly above, Johns and Olson (1998) found relatively little seasonal change in the current speed and thickness of the outflow along the channel axis through the Strait of Hormuz. Speeds averaged 20-30 cm/s from about 45 m depth to the bottom boundary layer (Johns and Zantopp, 1999). While flow elsewhere in the Strait may vary seasonally, it seems reasonable to assume that the total flux also remains relatively constant. This apparent lack of change contrasts with the large percentage changes known to occur in air and sea surface temperature, wind speed, and humidity that would be expected to produce a excess of dense, saline water in either winter or summer depending on the data used to compute evaporation rate. Our data suggests that neither the salinity nor the density of water below 30-35 m depth in parts of the Gulf changes significantly from January to August and that outflow is driven by a density contrast between this deep water and water at comparable depths outside the Strait that varies less than 10-15%.

Salinity of water below the surface layer in parts of the Gulf changes little during the year. Figure 7 shows that the cross-section area of salinity values of 39.5-41 psu remains nearly the same from January to August in the northwest half of the Gulf (boxes 1-8, longitude west of 53°E). Salinity in boxes 9-13 (eg. longitude 53°E to 55.5°E) decreases from 40-41 psu in January-February to 39.5-40.5 psu in March-June and to 39-40 psu in July-August. Density of deep water, however, changes significantly only from May-June to July-August, and this change is due to a warming of ~2°C of deep water, in the Gulf west of

54.5°E (boxes 1-11), and a warming of ~4°C in the Strait and its western approaches (boxes 12-16; longitude 54.5°E-57°E). The maps of salinity at 50 m depth (Figure 9) indicate only minor changes during the year.

Seasonal changes in the contrast in density between the interior of the Gulf and the Gulf of Oman are too small to produce changes in outflow observable at the Johns and Olson mooring. Figure 10 summarizes the seasonal trends along the Gulf axis at 50 m. Temporal and spatial patterns for deeper depth intervals are similar. In Figure 10 the along-axis salinity distribution remains nearly constant during the year. The temperature distribution remains constant from January to June but warms in July-August. This temperature increase is greatest close to the Strait (boxes 12-16), and, as a result, the density distribution flattens. There is, however, only a small change in the density difference between the central Gulf and the Gulf of Oman through the year. Density at 50 m remains σ_θ=~25 in the Gulf of Oman (boxes 17-18), whereas the density at the other end of the Gulf remains σ_θ=29-29.5 from January to June and decreases to σ_θ=28.5-29 in July-August. This decrease amounts to a change of only 11-12.5%. A change of comparable magnitude in discharge out of the Gulf may not be resolved in the Johns and Olson mooring data because it could be accommodated by small changes in the thickness of the outflow or by changes in speed or cross-sectional area outside the channel axis. Thus, deep flow out of the Gulf is likely driven by the density difference between deep water at the head of the gulf and near-bottom water on the Oman-Iranian shelf

3.5 Vertical mixing in the western approach to the Strait of Hormuz

Unusually warm water temperatures throughout the water column characterize the western approach to the Strait of Hormuz in summer (July-August). In the axial cross-sections through the western approach to the Strait (boxes 12-15, longitude 54.5°-56.5°E, Figure 2 shows box locations), warmer temperatures and lower densities reach closer to the seafloor in July-August than in May-June (Figure 7). Warmer than normal temperatures also



Figure 10. Axial sections at 50 m water depth (see Figure 2 for location of boxes) show little change from January to June. In July-August, deep water throughout Gulf warms and its density decreases. In the western approach to the Strait (boxes 12-15), this warming is much more dramatic and decreases the slope of the axial gradient in density. We attribute warming in this region to higher year-round rates of vertical mixing and a warming of sea surface water.

appear in plots of properties at 50 m depth (Figure 10). Between June and July, the temperature of water at 50 m depth within the Strait and just to the west (boxes 12-16) changes from 0.5-2.5°C less than Gulf of Oman water (box 18) to 1.5-3.0°C warmer than Gulf of Oman water. Although salinity in boxes 12-16 appears to change little between June and July in Figure 10, density clearly decreases. Plots of property versus depth for the



Figure 11. Casts made during the transition from spring to summer in boxes 8-9 (lines in top six panels) northwest of the high vertical mixing rate region are compared to casts in boxes 13-14 (lines in lower six panels) inside the western approach to the Strait and to all casts in the Gulf (gray dots). In both regions sea surface temperature and salinity increase between May-June and July-August reflecting solar warming and retreat of IOSW. Casts in boxes 8-9 differ little from mean Gulf profiles in both seasons, showing distinct surface mixed layers and steep seasonal thermoclines. In contrast, the warm surface temperature and low surface salinity in boxes 13-14 are mixed much deeper reaching to the seafloor in July-August. As a result, the density increase with depth is uniform without the characteristic summer layering elsewhere in the Gulf.

western approach (bottom two panels in Figure 11) show similar temporal changes in temperature and density at most depths and a decrease in salinity at water depths below 50 m.

The unusual conditions are spatially restricted to the region extending from box 16 at the tip of the Musandam Peninsula west to about box 12 bounded on the west by 54.5°E longitude. To the east of this region, little change occurs at 50 m depth in either temperature or salinity between June and July on the continental shelf between Oman-Iran (box 17) or in the Gulf of Oman (box 18; Figure 10). To the west of this region, water temperature at 50 m depth increases between June and July in box 11 (Figure 10), but salinity and density do not change significantly. Profiles of properties in boxes 8 and 9 show few similarities with profiles in the western approach (Figure 11). The western edge defined by water properties appears to occur near three islands aligned north-south along 54.5°E (Figure 1).

Other investigators have found collaborative results. Emery's (1956, his Figure 5) plot of August temperature shows high temperatures extending to 45-55 m deeper water depths in this region than the central Gulf. Johns and Olson (1998) found that the vertical temperature profile observed at their mooring in the channel west of the Strait becomes much warmer in summer. These results suggest that our observations are not an artifact of the averaging done in our analysis.

The appearance of unusually warm temperatures throughout the water column in the western approach to the Strait coincides with rapid warming of the sea surface. Warming of the sea surface affects the Gulf as a whole throughout the spring and summer. Figure 11 shows a warming at the sea surface between May-June and July-August of at least 3°C in axial boxes 13 and 14 and at least 4°C in boxes 8 and 9 in the central Gulf. Sea surface temperature increases of 3-6°C occur throughout the Gulf between month-pairs during the spring (Figure 11). By July-August the sea surface in the Gulf has a nearly uniform temperature of 30-32°C, which is identical to that of the sea surface in the Gulf of Oman



Figure 12. Axial sections at the sea surface (0 m water depth; see Figure 2 for location of boxes) show dramatic warming, especially in the late spring and summer. In July-August sea surface temperature in the Gulf becomes nearly uniform with little difference across the Strait (boxes 15-16). In contrast, salinity changes little from January to June. In July-August, surface salinity decreases at the head of the Gulf (boxes 1-4) but increases elsewhere as low salinity IOSW retreats to the Strait. Throughout the year, density decreases towards the Strait reflecting the gradients in salinity and temperature. The gradient decreases only slightly in the summer. In winter, high density water (σ_θ>29.5) reaches the sea surface at the head of the Gulf.

(Figure 12). Since the warming appears so uniform across the Gulf throughout the spring and summer and coincides with the development of a steep seasonal thermocline (Reynolds, 1993), we attribute the temperature increase to solar heating rather than to influx of warm surface water from the Gulf of Oman.

We suggest that warm water temperatures observed at 50 m depth in the western approaches to the Strait (Figure 10) are due to higher rates of vertical mixing there than elsewhere. As the surface water warms during the spring and summer, the higher temperatures are mixed downwards to near-bottom depths. The difference in temperature between the surface and 50 m depth is 5-6°C in both the May-June and July-August periods indicating that the mixing rate does not change significantly with time. Thus, the spike in temperatures at 50 m depth during July-August in Figure 10 is due to spatial variation in vertical mixing rather than temporal variation. Large, irregular vertical variations in temperature and salinity as well as density inversions are more common in the western approach than elsewhere (Figure 11). Although direct measurements of vertical mixing have yet to be made, vertical mixing appears to be more effective in the western approach to the Strait of Hormuz than in the central Gulf to the north and higher than the rate on the Oman-Iran continental shelf and Gulf of Oman outside the Strait.

The alternative hypothesis that there is a temporal change in vertical mixing suffers for lack of a feasible explanation. There are no changes in meteorological forcing, of which we are aware, that would cause vertical mixing of warm surface water to deeper depths to increase at the beginning of July. Although there is change in prevailing wind direction in the Strait itself between June and July, coincident with the strengthening of the summer monsoon, mean wind speed drops between the two months (Brower et al, 1992). The incidence of storms in the Gulf decreases at the beginning of July (Perrone, 1979). New data in the future may reveal a source of forcing, but spatial variability in mixing appears to explain more observations at the present time.

Another alternative hypothesis is that the warm temperatures at 50 m depth indicate the advection into the Strait of warm, salty water formed on the shallow banks to the south off the UAE. Unfortunately, there are no hydrographic transects across the southern edge of the Strait onto the UAE shelf in July-August (Figure 3), so direct data to confirm this interpretation is lacking. Indirectly, warm temperatures are consistent with this notion, but

the maps of salinity at 50 m depth (Figure 9), however, indicate the salinity of most of the water at 50 m depth does not change significantly between May-June and July-August. Moreover, vertical profiles of salinity below 20 m depth (Figure 11) indicate that the salinity of water in the western approach is lower than salinity elsewhere in the basin rather than higher. Introduction of a new summer water mass from off the coasts flanking the Strait is unlikely because the higher temperatures we find are typically accompanied by normal or lower salinity, whereas high salinities, as well as high temperatures, are common in bays and on shallow banks of the Gulf in summer (Sugden, 1963; Chandy et al., 1990). New warm water from the coast should produce a characteristic salinity signal that we don't observe. High rates of vertical mixing accompanied by surface heating is a more likely explanation.

We suggest that the reason for high rates of vertical mixing in the western approach to the Strait is interaction of tidally-forced flow with seafloor topography. Whereas the Iran-Oman shelf to the east of the Strait is open and largely free of seafloor shallower than 50 m, the western approach to the Strait includes numerous islands, shoals, and irregular changes in channel depth. The northern edge of the approach is forced southward at least 18 km off the western tip of Qeshm Island by a broad, shallow flat formed by river-supplied sediment (Figure 1). Islands in the approach are perched on submarine pedestals 11-15 km across in a north-south direction that further constrict currents (Figures 1 and 2). Tidal flows near these islands are likely to be as accelerated by the topographic narrowing of the channel as they are around the tip of the Musandam Peninsula. We suggest that these accelerations are accompanied by formation of eddies and higher rates of vertical mixing that reach to the seafloor. Presumably, seafloor depths that reach 50-80 m deeper in the channels split to the north and south of some of these islands indicate scouring of sediment by turbulent boundary layer currents. The only significant occurrence of gravel in the Gulf occurs in these channels and those that bend around the tip of the Musandam Peninsula (Emery, 1956; Hartmann et al., 1971), indicating that scour driven by turbulent eddies is most

effective there. West of the islands along 54.5°E, fewer topographic constrictions occur, so vertical mixing rates may decrease.

4. Conclusions

(1) The densest water forms during winter in shallow water at the northern end of the Gulf. The densest isopycnals crop out there from January to April.

(2) High salinity water forms along the western and southern Arabian coastlines, but the lowest densities are not reached because winter temperatures are milder than those in the north and considerable dilution occurs before high salinity water formed in shallow bays reaches the main Gulf basin.

(3) A prominent density front separates modified IOSW at the surface from Gulf Deep Water. The front intercepts the seafloor in the Strait and the sea surface in the interior of the basin. Evidence suggests that there is little shear associated with the front, and that Gulf Deep Water exiting the Gulf is modified by mixing across the front.

(4) The flow of modified IOSW into the Gulf, forming a low-salinity surface layer, peaks in May-June. Seasonal changes in the flux of IOSW may be driven by changes in sea surface slope caused by varying rates of evaporation.

(5) We attribute the lack of significant seasonal variability in the flux through the Strait reported by Johns and Olson (1998) to relatively small seasonal changes in the density contrast between Gulf Deep Water and water at comparable depths on the Oman-Iran continental shelf outside the Strait.

(6) Compared to the Gulf as a whole and the continental shelf outside the Gulf, the rate of vertical mixing is highest in the Strait of Hormuz channel around the Musandam Peninsula and in the 200 km long western approach to the Strait. This distinction appears to be unrelated to meteorological forcing. We attribute the high rate to numerous topographic features that constrict the channel, accelerating tidal flows and generating large eddies capable of scouring the seafloor.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Carol Alessi and Heather Hunt Furey for organizing, editing, and maintaining the historical hydrographic data base used here. We appreciate the discussions and reports shared with us by W.E. Johns, RSMAS, Univ. Miami. E.J. Simmonds at the Marine Laboratory Aberdeen kindly provided a copy of the R/V Lemuru data. We thank P. Wessel and W. Smith for Generic Mapping Tools, used to prepare all figures. This work was supported by the U.S. Office of Naval Research under grant number N00014-00-1-0688. Woods Hole Oceanographic contribution 10625.

References

Abdelrahman, S.M., and F. Ahmad, A note on the residual currents in the Arabian Gulf, *Cont. Shelf Res., 15*, 1015-1022, 1995.

Ahmad, A., and S.A.R. Sultan, Annual mean surface heat fluxes in the Arabian Gulf and the net heat transport through the Strait of Hormuz, *Atmosphere-Ocean, 29*, 54-61, 1991.

Alessi, S.A., H.D. Hunt, and A.S. Bower, Hydrographic data from the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office: Persian Gulf, southern Red Sea, and Arabian Sea 1923-1996, *Woods Hole Oceanographic Inst., Tech. Rept. WHOI-99-02*, 70 pp, 1999.

Beaumont, P., River regimes in Iran, *Durham Univ. Dept. of Geography Occasional Publications*, 29 pp., 1973.

Bower, A.S., H.D. Hunt, and J.F. Price, Character and dynamics of the Red Sea and Persian gulf outflows, *J. Geophys. Res., 105*, 6387-6414, 2000.

Brewer, P.G., and D. Dyrssen, Chemical oceanography of the Persian Gulf, in J. Crease, *Essays on oceanography; a tribute to John Swallow*, edited by W.J. Gould, and P.M. Saunders, *Progress in Oceanography, 14*, 41-55, 1984.

Brewer, P.G., Fleer, A.P., Shafer, D.K., Smith, C.L., Chemical oceanographic data from the Persian Gulf and Gulf of Oman, *WHOI Technical Report WHOI-78-37*, 105 pp., 1978

Brower, W.A., Jr., R.G. Baldwin, and P.L. Franks, *U.S. Navy regional climatic study of the Persian Gulf and the northern Arabian Sea*, National Climatic Data Center, Ashville, N.C., 272 pp., 1992.

Chandy, J.V., and S.L. Coles, and A.I. Abozed, Seasonal cycles of temperature, salinity and water masses of the western Arabian Gulf, *Oceanogica Acta, 13*, 273-281, 1990.

Chao, S-Y., Kao, T.W., and K.R. Al-Hajri, A numerical investigation of circulation in the Arabian Gulf, *J. Geophys. Res*., 97, 11,219-11,236, 1992.

Dubach, H.W., A summary of temperature-salinity characteristics of the Persian Gulf, National Oceanographic Data Center, General Series, Publ. G-4, 223 pp, 1964.

Eid, F.M., and A.A. El-Gindy, The seasonal changes of the circulation pattern in the Arabian Gulf deduced from the field of mass, *Arab Gulf J. Scient. Res., 16*, 45-63, 1998.

Emery, K.O., Sediments and water of the Persian Gulf, *Bull. Amer. Assoc. Petrol. Geol., 40*, 2354-2383, 1956.

Hartmann, M., Lange, H., Seibold, E. and Walger, E., Oberflächensedimente in Persichen Golf and Golf von Oman. I. Geologisch-hydrologischer Rahmen und erste sedimentologische Ergebnisse, *"Meteor" Forschungsergebnisse, C, 4*, 1-76, 1971.

Hunter, J.R., Aspects of the dynamics of the residual circulation of the Arabian Gulf, in *Coastal Oceanography*, edited by H.G. Gade, A. Edwards, and H. Svendsen, Plenum Press, 31-42, 1983.

Johns, W.E., and D.B. Olson, Observations of seasonal exchange through the Strait of Hormuz, *Oceanography, 11*, 58, 1998.

Johns, W.E., and R.J. Zantopp, Data report for the Strait of Hormuz Experiment, December 1996-March 1998, *RSMAS, University of Miami Technical Report 99-001*, 1999.

Kappus, U., J.M. Bleek, S.H. Blair, Rainfall frequencies for the Persian Gulf coast of Iran, *Hydrological Sci. Bull., 23*, 119-129, 1978.

Lardner, R.W., A.H. Al-Rabeh, N. Gunay, M. Hossain, R.M. Reynolds, and W.J. Lehr, Computation of the residual flow in the Gulf using the Mt Mitchell data and the KFUPM/RI hydrodynamical models, *Mar. Pollution Bull., 27*, 61-70, 1993.

Matsuyama, M., T. Senjyu, T. Ishimaru, Y. Kitade, Y. Koike, A. Kitazawa, T. Miyazaki, and H. Hamada, Density front in the Strait of Hormuz, *J. Tokyo Univ. Fisheries, 81*, 85-92, 1994.

Matsuyama, M., Y. Kitade, T. Senjyu, Y. Koike, T. Ishimaru, Vertical structure of a current and density front in the Strait of Hormuz, in *Offshore Environment of the ROPME Sea Area after the war-related oil spill*, edited by A. Otsuki, M.Y. Abdulraheem, and R.M. Reynolds, Terra Sci. Publ. Co., Tokyo, 23-34, 1998.

Olson, D.B., G.L. Hitchcock, R.A. Fine, and B.A. Warren, Maintenance of the low-oxygen layer in the central Arabian Sea, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 40, 673-685, 1993.

Perrone, T.J., Winter shamal in the Persian Gulf, *Naval Env. Prediction Res. Facility, Tech. Rept. 79-06*, Monterey, 180 pp, 1979.

Prasad, T.G., M. Ikeda, and S.P. Kumar, Seasonal spreading of the Persian Gulf Water mass in the Arabian Sea, *J. Geophys. Res., 106*, 17,059-17,071, 2001.

Premchand, K., J.S. Sastry, and C.S. Murty, Watermass structure in the western Indian Ocean - Part II: the spreading and transformation of the Persian Gulf Water, *Mausam*, *37*, 179-186, 1986.

Privett, D.W., Monthly charts of evaporation from the North Indian Ocean, including the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf, *Q. J. R. Meterol. Soc., 85*, 424-428, 1959.

Qasim, S.Z., Oceanography of the northern Arabian Sea. *Deep-Sea Res., 29*, 1041-1068, 1982.

Reynolds, R.M., Physical oceanography of the Gulf, Strait of Hormuz, and the Gulf of Oman - Results from the Mt Mitchell expedition, *Mar. Pollution Bull., 27*, 35-59, 1993.

Rochford, D.J., Salinity maxima in the upper 1000 metres of the north Indian Ocean, *Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res., 15*, 1-24, 1964.

Schott, G., Der salzgehalt des Persischen Golfes und der angrenzenden Gewasser, *Annalen der Hydrographie und maritimen Meteorologie, 36*, 296-299, 1908.

Seibold, E., and J. Ulrich, Zur Bodengestalt des nordwestlichen Golfs von Oman, *"Meteor" Forsch. Ergebnisse, Reihe C, 3*, 1-14, 1970.

Simmonds, E.J., and M. Lamboeuf, Environmental conditions in the Gulf and Gulf of Oman (November 1976-January 1979), Environmental conditions in the Gulf and Gulf of Oman and their influence on the propagation of sound, *FAO/UNDP Reg. Fisheries Survey and Dev. Proj.*, Rome, Italy, 62 pp., 1981.

Sugden, W., The hydrology of the Persian Gulf and its significance in respect to evaporite deposition, *Amer. J. Sci., 261*, 741-755, 1963.

Sultan, S.A.R., F. Ahmad, N.M. Elghribi, and A.M. Al-Subhi, An analysis of Arabian Gulf monthly mean sea level, *Continental Shelf Res., 15*, 1471-1482, 1995.

Wyrtki, K., Physical oceanography of the Indian Ocean. in *The biology of the Indian Ocean*, edited by B. Zeitzschel, New York, Springer-Verlag, 18-36, 1973.