

# A Generalization of Vectorial Equilibria

Q. H. ANSARI

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh –202002, India

W. OETTLI AND D. SCHLÄGER

Lehrstuhl für Mathematik VII, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

*Abstract:* A generalized form of vectorial equilibria is proposed, and, using an abstract monotonicity condition, an existence result is demonstrated.

*Key Words:* Equilibrium problem, multivalued mapping, pseudomonotone mapping.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a real topological vector space,  $K \subseteq X$  nonempty, and  $f: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then the *scalar equilibrium problem* consists in finding  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in K, \quad f(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K.$$

This problem has many diverse applications, in particular optimization problems and variational inequalities (see [4]).

Now let  $Z$  be another topological vector space. Let  $P \subseteq Z$  be a closed convex cone (not necessarily pointed) with nonempty interior and  $P \neq Z$ . Then  $P$  defines an ordering on  $Z$  by means of

$$z \succeq 0: \iff z \in P, \quad z \succ 0: \iff z \in \text{int } P.$$

We extend this notation to arbitrary subsets  $S \subseteq Z$  by setting

$$S \succeq 0: \iff S \subseteq P, \quad S \succ 0: \iff S \subseteq \text{int } P,$$

$$S \preceq 0: \iff S \subseteq -P, \quad S \prec 0: \iff S \subseteq -\text{int } P.$$

Let  $F: K \times K \rightrightarrows Z$  be a multivalued mapping. The *vectorial equilibrium problem* may be formulated either as

$$\bar{x} \in K, \quad F(\bar{x}, y) \not\subseteq 0 \quad \forall y \in K, \quad (1)$$

or as

$$\bar{x} \in K, \quad F(\bar{x}, y) \supseteq 0 \quad \forall y \in K. \quad (2)$$

Both problems constitute a legitimate extension of the scalar equilibrium problem. For the case of  $F$  being single-valued the vectorial equilibrium problem has been considered in [1], [3], [6], and vector-valued variational inequalities have been considered in [5]. Clearly, it is useful to have a theory for the multivalued case, too. But, in connection with existence problems for (1) and (2), it turns out that the order structure of  $Z$  (i.e., the property of  $P$  being a convex cone) plays only a minor role. Therefore, in what follows, we propose to consider a more general form of vectorial equilibria.

## 2 Generalized Equilibria

Let  $X$  be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  and  $Z$  real topological vector spaces,  $A \subseteq X$  nonempty, convex, compact,  $B \subseteq Y$  nonempty, convex, and  $C \subseteq Z$ . Let  $F: A \times B \rightrightarrows Z$  be a multivalued mapping.

We consider the *generalized equilibrium problem* of finding  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in A, \quad F(\bar{x}, y) \cap C \neq \emptyset \quad \forall y \in B. \quad (3)$$

We consider also the related problem

$$\bar{x} \in A, \quad F(\bar{x}, y) \subseteq C \quad \forall y \in B. \quad (4)$$

With  $C := Z \setminus \text{int } P$  it is obvious that (3) contains (1), and with  $C := P$  it is obvious that (4) contains (2). Using the lower inverse  $F^-(C)$  and the upper inverse  $F^+(C)$  of the multivalued mapping  $F$ , which are defined as (see [2])

$$F^-(C) := \{(x, y) \in A \times B \mid F(x, y) \cap C \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$F^+(C) := \{(x, y) \in A \times B \mid F(x, y) \subseteq C\},$$

both problems (3) and (4) can be written as

$$\bar{x} \in A, \quad (\bar{x}, y) \in F^{-1}(C) \quad \forall y \in B, \quad (5)$$

with  $F^{-1} := F^-$  for (3) and  $F^{-1} := F^+$  for (4).

In order to obtain existence results for problem (5), we introduce another mapping  $G : A \times B \rightrightarrows Z$ , and a set  $D \subseteq Z$ . Again, we write  $G^{-1}$  for  $G^-$  or  $G^+$ . Further, an upper semicontinuous mapping  $T : B \rightrightarrows A$  with nonempty, closed, convex values is given.

*Theorem 1: Let  $F^{-1}(C)$  and  $G^{-1}(D)$  have the following properties:*

- (i)  $(x, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  for all  $y \in B, x \in T(y)$ ;
- (ii)  $\{x \in A | (x, y) \notin G^{-1}(D)\}$  is open in  $A$  for all  $y \in B$ ;
- (iii)  $\{y \in B | (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)\}$  is convex for all  $x \in A$ ;
- (iv)  $F^{-1}(C) \subseteq G^{-1}(D)$ ;
- (v) for every  $x \in A, (x, y) \in G^{-1}(D) \forall y \in B$  implies  $(x, y) \in F^{-1}(C) \forall y \in B$ .

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $(\bar{x}, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  for all  $y \in B$ .*

*Proof:* In view of (v) it suffices to find  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $(\bar{x}, y) \in G^{-1}(D)$  for all  $y \in B$ . If such an  $\bar{x}$  does not exist, then the compact set  $A$  is covered by the sets

$$V(y) := \{x \in A | (x, y) \notin G^{-1}(D)\}, \quad y \in B,$$

which are open by (ii). Let  $V(y_1), \dots, V(y_n)$  be a finite subcover of  $A$ , and let  $\beta_1(\cdot), \dots, \beta_n(\cdot)$  be a continuous partition of unity subordinate to this open cover. The functions  $\beta_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous, nonnegative, add up to unity, and from  $\beta_i(x) > 0$  follows  $x \in V(y_i)$ . Then  $p(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(x)y_i$  defines a continuous function  $p : A \rightarrow B$ . The mapping  $T(p(\cdot)) : A \rightrightarrows A$  is upper semicontinuous with nonempty, closed, convex values, hence has a fixed point  $x^0 \in T(p(x^0))$ . Let  $I := \{i | \beta_i(x^0) > 0\}$ . Then, for all  $i \in I, x^0 \in V(y_i)$ , hence  $(x^0, y_i) \notin G^{-1}(D)$ , hence  $(x^0, y_i) \notin F^{-1}(C)$  by (iv). Then from  $p(x^0) \in \text{conv}\{y_i | i \in I\}$  and (iii) it follows that  $(x^0, p(x^0)) \notin F^{-1}(C)$ . Since  $x^0 \in T(p(x^0))$ , this contradicts (i). □

Note that condition (iv) may be considered as an abstract monotonicity requirement. Let us single out two prototypical cases of Theorem 1. First we consider the case  $G(x, y) := F(x, y), D := C$ . Then conditions (iv) and (v) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied, and we obtain

**Theorem 2:** Let  $F^{-1}(C)$  have the following properties:

- (i)  $(x, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  for all  $y \in B, x \in T(y)$ ;
- (ii)  $\{x \in A \mid (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)\}$  is open in  $A$  for all  $y \in B$ ;
- (iii)  $\{y \in B \mid (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)\}$  is convex for all  $x \in A$ .

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $(\bar{x}, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  for all  $y \in B$ .

Now we turn to the case  $Y := X, B := A, T := id, G(x, y) := F(y, x)$ . Then we obtain

**Theorem 3:** Let for all  $x, y \in A$  the following properties hold:

- (i)  $(y, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$ ;
- (ii)  $\{\xi \in A \mid (x, \xi) \notin F^{-1}(D)\}$  is open in  $A$ ;
- (iii)  $\{\xi \in A \mid (x, \xi) \notin F^{-1}(C)\}$  is convex;
- (iv)  $(x, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  implies  $(y, x) \in F^{-1}(D)$ ;
- (v) for every  $u \in ]x, y[$ , if  $(u, x) \in F^{-1}(D)$  and  $(u, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)$ , then  $(u, \xi) \in F^{-1}(\text{int } D)$  for all  $\xi \in ]x, y[$ ;
- (vi)  $\{\xi \in [x, y] \mid (\xi, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)\}$  is open in  $[x, y]$ ;
- (vii)  $(y, y) \notin F^{-1}(\text{int } D)$ .

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $(\bar{x}, y) \in F^{-1}(C)$  for all  $y \in A$ .

*Proof:* Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1 upon choosing  $G(x, y) := F(y, x)$  and  $T := id$ . It only remains to verify condition (v) of Theorem 1. To this end, let  $x \in A$  with  $(y, x) \in F^{-1}(D)$  for all  $y \in A$ . Assume, for contradiction, that  $(x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)$  for some  $y \in A$ . By (i),  $y \neq x$ . From (vi) there exists  $u \in ]x, y[$  such that  $(u, y) \notin F^{-1}(C)$ . Since  $(u, x) \in F^{-1}(D)$ , we obtain from (v) that  $(u, u) \in F^{-1}(\text{int } D)$ , contradicting (vii).  $\square$

Observe that we may use two different inverses for  $F^{-1}(C)$  on one hand and  $F^{-1}(D), F^{-1}(\text{int } D)$  on the other hand.

### 3 Examples

The structure of Theorems 2 and 3 is perhaps better understood by considering a special case. Let  $P \subseteq Z$  be an ordering cone, as presented in the Introduction. We turn first to Theorem 2. We choose  $C := Z \setminus -\text{int } P$ , and

$F^{-1} := F^-$ . Then

$$(x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C) \iff F(x, y) \prec 0 .$$

Thus we obtain from Theorem 2 the following multivalued extension of [6], Corollary 3.

*Corollary 1: Let  $F : A \times B \rightrightarrows Z$  have the following properties:*

- (i)  $F(x, y) \not\prec 0$  for all  $y \in B, x \in T(y)$ ;
- (ii)  $\{x \in A | F(x, y) \prec 0\}$  is open in  $A$  for all  $y \in B$ ;
- (iii)  $\{y \in B | F(x, y) \prec 0\}$  is convex for all  $x \in A$ .

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $F(\bar{x}, y) \not\prec 0$  for all  $y \in B$ .

Now we turn to Theorem 3. We choose  $C := Z \setminus \text{int } P, D := Z \setminus \text{int } P$ , and  $F^{-1} := F^-$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(C) &\iff F(x, y) \prec 0 , \\ (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(D) &\iff F(x, y) \succ 0 , \\ (x, y) \notin F^{-1}(\text{int } D) &\iff F(x, y) \succeq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

and  $F(y, y) \succeq 0$  implies  $F(y, y) \not\prec 0$ , provided  $F(y, y) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we obtain from Theorem 3 the following multivalued extension of [6], Corollary 1.

*Corollary 2: Let  $F : A \times A \rightrightarrows Z$  be such that, for all  $x, y \in A$ , the following properties hold:*

- (i)  $\emptyset \neq F(y, y) \succeq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\{\xi \in A | F(x, \xi) \succ 0\}$  is open in  $A$ ;
- (iii)  $\{\xi \in A | F(x, \xi) \prec 0\}$  is convex;
- (iv)  $F(x, y) \not\prec 0$  implies  $F(y, x) \not\prec 0$ ;
- (v) for every  $u \in ]x, y[$ , if  $F(u, x) \not\prec 0$  and  $F(u, y) \prec 0$ , then  $F(u, \xi) \not\prec 0$  for all  $\xi \in ]x, y[$ ;
- (vi)  $\{\xi \in [x, y] | F(\xi, y) \prec 0\}$  is open in  $[x, y]$ .

Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $F(\bar{x}, y) \not\prec 0$  for all  $y \in A$ .

Concerning the assumptions of Corollary 2 we observe the following: (ii) is satisfied, if the multivalued mapping  $F(x, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous (i.e., the

upper inverse of every open set is open [2]). (vi) is satisfied, if the mapping  $F(\cdot, y)$  is upper semicontinuous along line segments in  $A$ . (iii) is satisfied, if for every  $u \in A$  the mapping  $t(\cdot) := F(u, \cdot)$  has the property that

$$(\alpha) \quad t(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \subseteq \lambda t(x) + (1 - \lambda)t(y) - P \quad \forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1] .$$

(v) is satisfied, if for every  $u \in A$  the mapping  $t(\cdot) := F(u, \cdot)$  has the property that

$$(\beta) \quad \begin{cases} t(x) \neq \emptyset & \forall x \in A, \\ t(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) + P \supseteq \lambda t(x) + (1 - \lambda)t(y) & \forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1] . \end{cases}$$

To verify that  $(\beta)$  implies (v), let  $a \in t(x)$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , and  $b \in t(y)$ ,  $b \prec 0$ . Let  $\xi := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Setting  $c := \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b$  it follows from  $(1 - \lambda)b \prec 0$  and  $\lambda a \neq 0$  that  $c \neq 0$ . From  $(\beta)$  there exists  $d \in t(\xi)$  such that  $d \preceq c$ , hence  $d \neq 0$ . Thus  $t(\xi) \neq \emptyset$ , and (v) is true. Both  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  reduce to  $P$ -convexity of  $t$ , if  $t$  is single-valued.

A mapping  $F$  which satisfies condition (iv) of Corollary 2 was termed *pseudomonotone* in [3]. On the other hand, condition (v) of Theorem 1, adapted to the setting of Corollary 2, requires for each  $x \in A$  that

$$F(y, x) \neq 0 \quad \forall y \in A \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y) \neq 0 \quad \forall y \in A .$$

We propose to call this property *maximal pseudomonotone*.

### References

- [1] Ansari QH (1996) Vector equilibrium problems and vector variational inequalities. Preprint, Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh
- [2] Berge C (1966) Espaces topologiques – Fonctions multivoques. Dunod, Paris
- [3] Bianchi M, Hadjisavvas N, Schaible S (1997) Vector equilibrium problems with generalized monotone bifunctions. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 92, 3, forthcoming
- [4] Blum E, Oettli W (1994) From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems. The Mathematics Student 63: 123–145
- [5] Chen GY (1992) Existence of solutions for a vector variational inequality: An extension of the Hartmann-Stampacchia theorem. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 74: 445–456
- [6] Oettli W A remark on vector-valued equilibria and generalized monotonicity. Acta Mathematica Vietnamica, to appear