# VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITIES FOR MULTIVALUED MAPS

## A. H. SIDDIQI, Q. H. ANSARI AND M. F. KHAN

Department of Mathematics, A.M.U., Aligarh 202 002

(Received 28 January 1998; accepted 14 July 1998)

In this paper, we introduce a more general form of variational-like inequalities for multivalued maps and prove the existence of its solution in the setting of reflexive Banach spaces.

Key Words: Inequalities — Variational like; Multivalued Maps; Banach spaces; Nonempty subsets; Convex mathematical programming

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a reflexive Banach space with its dual  $X^*$  and K and C be nonempty subsets of X and  $X^*$ , respectively. Given two maps  $M: K \times C \to X^*$  and  $\eta: K \times K \to X$ , and a multivalued map  $T: K \to 2^C$ , then we consider the following problem:

Problem 1 — Find  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle M(x_0, u_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \ge 0,$$
 (1.1)

where  $b: K \times K \to IR$  is not necessarily differentiable and satisfies some proper conditions, and  $\langle ... \rangle$  is the pairing between  $X^*$  and X.

If  $b \equiv 0$ , then Problem 1 reduces to the problem of finding  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle M(x_0, u_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle \ge 0.$$
 ... (1.2)

This poblem is the weak formulation of generalized variational-like inequality problem (GVLIP), introduced by Parida and Sen<sup>3</sup> in finite dimensional spaces. They have also shown the relationship betwen (GVLIP) and convex mathematical programming. It has been further studied by Yao<sup>5,6</sup> with applications in complementarity problems.

If we take M(x, u) = u and  $\eta(x, y) = g(y) - g(x)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in K$ , where  $g: K \to K$  then Problem 1 is equivalent to find  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle u_0, g(y) - g(x_0) \rangle + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \ge 0.$$
 (1.3)

Such problem was introduced and studied by Ding and Tarafdar<sup>1</sup> in the setting of locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces.

If M(x, u) = u and b(x, y) = h(y),  $\forall x \in K$  then Problem 1 becomes to the problem of finding  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle u_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + h(y) - h(x_0) \ge 0.$$
 (1.4)

It has been introduced and studied by Siddiqi, Ansari and Ahmad4.

In this paper, we prove the existence of solution of Problem 1, which is more general and unifying one. We also derive the existence theorem for a special case of Problem 1.

We need the following concept and result for the proof of our main result. We denote conv (A),  $\forall A \subset X$ , the convex hull of A.

Definition 1.1 — A map  $T: X \to 2^X$  is called KKM-map, if for every finite subset  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  of X, conv  $(\{x_1, ..., x_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$ .

Lemma 1.1 (KKM-FAN<sup>2</sup>) — Let A be an arbitrary nonempty set in a topological vector space E and  $T: A \to 2^X$  be a KKM-map. If T(x) is closed for all  $x \in A$  and is compact for at least one  $x \in A$  then  $\bigcap_{x \in A} T(x) \neq 0$ .

## 2. EXISTENCE RESULTS

First, we give some definitions which are necessary for the proof of existence theorem for Problem 1.

Definition 2.1 — Let X be a normed space with its dual  $X^*$ , C be a nonempty subset of  $X^*$  and K be a nonempty convex subset of X. Given two maps  $M: K \times C \to X^*$  and  $\eta: K \times K \to X$ , then a multivalued map  $T: K \to 2^C$  is called:

- (i)  $\eta$ -monotone with respect to M if for every pair of points  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in K$  and for all  $u \in T(x)$ ,  $v \in T(y)$  such that  $\langle M(x, u) M(y, v), \eta(x, y) \rangle \ge 0$ ; and
- (ii) V-hemicontinuous with respect to M if  $\forall x, y \in K, \alpha \ge 0$  and  $u_{\alpha} \in T(x + \alpha y)$ , there exists  $u_0 \in T(x)$  such that for any  $z \in K$ ,  $\langle M(x, u_{\alpha}), z \rangle \rightarrow \langle M(x, u_0), z \rangle$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Remark 2.1: If M(x, u) = u and  $\eta(y, x) = y - x$ ,  $\forall x, y \in K$  then above definitions (i) and (ii) reduce to the definitions of monotonicity and V-hemicontinuity of T, respectively.

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.1 - Assume that

- 1° K is a nonempty, closed bounded convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X;
- 2° C is a nonempty subset of X°;
- 3°  $M: K \times C \rightarrow X^*$  is continuous and affine in the first argument;
- $4^{\circ}$   $\eta: K \times K \to X$  is continuous and affine in both the argument such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$
- 5°  $T: K \to 2^C$  is  $\eta$ -monotone and V-hemicontinuous with respect to M such that T(x) is compact,  $\forall x \in K$ ;

If M(x, u) = u and b(x, y) = h(y),  $\forall x \in K$  then Problem 1 becomes to the problem of finding  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle u_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + h(y) - h(x_0) \ge 0.$$
 (1.4)

It has been introduced and studied by Siddiqi, Ansari and Ahmad4.

In this paper, we prove the existence of solution of Problem 1, which is more general and unifying one. We also derive the existence theorem for a special case of Problem 1.

We need the following concept and result for the proof of our main result. We denote conv (A),  $\forall A \subset X$ , the convex hull of A.

Definition 1.1 — A map  $T: X \to 2^X$  is called KKM-map, if for every finite subset  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  of X, conv  $(\{x_1, ..., x_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$ .

Lemma 1.1 (KKM-FAN<sup>2</sup>) — Let A be an arbitrary nonempty set in a topological vector space E and  $T: A \to 2^X$  be a KKM-map. If T(x) is closed for all  $x \in A$  and is compact for at least one  $x \in A$  then  $\bigcap_{x \in A} T(x) \neq 0$ .

## 2. EXISTENCE RESULTS

First, we give some definitions which are necessary for the proof of existence theorem for Problem 1.

Definition 2.1 — Let X be a normed space with its dual  $X^*$ , C be a nonempty subset of  $X^*$  and K be a nonempty convex subset of X. Given two maps  $M: K \times C \to X^*$  and  $\eta: K \times K \to X$ , then a multivalued map  $T: K \to 2^C$  is called:

- (i)  $\eta$ -monotone with respect to M if for every pair of points  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in K$  and for all  $u \in T(x)$ ,  $v \in T(y)$  such that  $\langle M(x, u) M(y, v), \eta(x, y) \rangle \ge 0$ ; and
- (ii) V-hemicontinuous with respect to M if  $\forall x, y \in K, \alpha \ge 0$  and  $u_{\alpha} \in T(x + \alpha y)$ , there exists  $u_0 \in T(x)$  such that for any  $z \in K$ ,  $\langle M(x, u_{\alpha}), z \rangle \rightarrow \langle M(x, u_0), z \rangle$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Remark 2.1: If M(x, u) = u and  $\eta(y, x) = y - x$ ,  $\forall x, y \in K$  then above definitions (i) and (ii) reduce to the definitions of monotonicity and V-hemicontinuity of T, respectively.

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.1 - Assume that

- 1° K is a nonempty, closed bounded convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X;
- 2° C is a nonempty subset of X\*;
- 3°  $M: K \times C \rightarrow X^*$  is continuous and affine in the first argument;
- $\eta: K \times K \to X$  is continuous and affine in both the argument such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$
- 5°  $T: K \to 2^C$  is  $\eta$ -monotone and V-hemicontinuous with respect to M such that T(x) is compact,  $\forall x \in K$ ;

6° b: K×K → IR is continuous and convex in the second argument;

7° the set  $\{x \in K : \exists v \in T(y) \text{ such that } \langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \ge 0, \forall y \in K \}$ , is convex.

Then there exists a solution of Problem 1.

PROOF: For each  $y \in K$ , we define

$$F_1(y) = \{x \in K : \exists u \in T(x) \text{ such that } \langle M(x, u), \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \ge 0\}.$$

Then  $F_1$  is a KKM-map. Indeed, let  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \subset K$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0 \ \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} = 1 \text{ and } \overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_{1}(x_{i}). \text{ Then for any } \overline{u} \in T(\overline{x}), \text{ we have }$$

$$\langle M(\overline{x},\overline{u}),\eta(x_i,\overline{x})\rangle + b(\overline{x},x_i) - b(\overline{x},\overline{x}) < 0, \ \forall i=1,\ 2,\ ...,\ n.$$

or 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \langle M(\overline{x}, \overline{u}), \eta(x_{i}, \overline{x}) \rangle_{+} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} b(\overline{x}, x_{i}) - b(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) < 0.$$

Since  $\eta(\cdot,\cdot)$  is affine and  $b(\cdot,\cdot)$  is convex in the second argument, we have

$$\langle M(\overline{x}, \overline{u}), \eta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}, \overline{x} \right) \rangle + b \left( \overline{x}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \right) - b(\overline{x}, \overline{x})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \langle M(\overline{x}, \overline{u}), \eta(x_{i}, \overline{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} b(\overline{x}, x_{i})(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) < 0.$$

This implies that  $(M(\overline{x}, \overline{u}), \eta(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) + b(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) - b(\overline{x}, \overline{x}) < 0$ . But, since  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$ , we have

$$\langle M(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \eta(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we reach to a contradiction. Hence,  $F_1$  is a KKM-map.

Define a multivalued map  $F_2: K \to 2^K$  as, for each  $y \in K$ ,

$$F_2(y) = \{x \in K : \exists v \in T(y) \text{ such that } \langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \ge 0\}.$$

Then  $F_1(y) \subset F_2(y)$ ,  $\forall y \in K$ :

Let  $x \in F_1(y)$  then  $\exists u \in T(x)$  such that

$$\left\langle \, M(x,\,u),\, \eta(y,\,x) \, \right\rangle + b(x,\,y) - b(x,\,x) \geq 0.$$

For all  $v \in T(y)$ , we have

$$\left\langle \, M(y,\, v) - M(x,\, u),\, \eta(y,\, x) \, \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \, M(y,\, v),\, \eta(y,\, x) \, \right\rangle + b(x,\, y) - b(x,\, x).$$

Since T is  $\eta$ -monotone with respect to M, we have

$$\langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \ge 0.$$

So,  $x \in F_2(y)$ . Therefore,  $F_1(y) \subset F_2(y)$ ,  $\forall y \in K$  and hence  $F_2(y)$  is also a KKM-map.

 $F_2(y)$ ,  $\forall y \in K$  is closed: Let  $\{x_n\}$  be sequence in  $F_2(y)$  such that  $x_n \to x_0$ . Then  $x_0 \in K$ . Since  $x_n \in F_2(y)$   $\forall n$ ,  $\exists v_n \in T(y)$  such that

$$\left\langle \; M(y,\, v_n),\, \eta(y,\, x_n) \; \right\rangle + b(x_n,\, y) - b(x_n,\, x_n) \geq \bar{0}.$$

Since T(y) is compact, without loss of generality, we assume that there exists  $v_0 \in T(y)$  such that  $v_n \to v_0$ . Since  $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are continuous, we have

$$\left\langle \, M(y,\, v_n),\, \eta(y,\, x_n) \, \right\rangle + b(x_n,\, y) - b(x_n,\, x_n) \rightarrow \left\langle \, M(y,\, v_0),\, \eta(y,\, x_0) + b(x_0,\, y) - b(x_0,\, x_0). \right.$$

Therefore,  $(M(y, v_0), \eta(y, x_0)) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \ge 0$ . So,  $x_0 \in F_2(y)$  and hence  $F_2(y)$  is closed.

By assumption  $T^0$ ,  $F_2(y)$  is convex. Now we equip X with weak topology. Then K, as a closed convex subset in the reflexive Banach space X, is weakly compact. Since  $F_2(y)$  is a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space then  $F_2(y)$  is weakly closed.  $F_2(y) \subset K$  and weak closedness of  $F_2(y)$ , we have  $F_2(y)$  is weakly compact. Then by Lemma 1.1, we have  $F_2(y) \neq 0$ .

Let 
$$x \in \bigcap_{y \in K} F_2(y)$$
. Then for any  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists v_y \in T(y)$  such that  $\langle M(y, v_y), \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \ge 0$ .

By convexity of K, for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $v_{\alpha} \in T(\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x)$  such that

$$\left\langle \, M(\alpha y+(1-\alpha)x,\, v_y),\, \eta(\alpha y+(1-\alpha)x,\, x) \, \right\rangle + b(x,\, \alpha y+(1-\alpha)x) - b(x,\, x) \geq 0.$$

Since  $M(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$  are affine in the first argument and  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is convex in the second argument, we have

$$\alpha^{2} \langle M(y, v_{\alpha}), \eta(y, x) \rangle + \alpha(1 - \alpha) \langle M(y, \alpha), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \alpha(1 - \alpha) \langle M(x, v_{\alpha}), \eta(y, x) \rangle$$
$$+ (1 - \alpha)^{2} \langle M(x, v_{\alpha}), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \alpha b(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) b(x, x) - b(x, x) \ge 0.$$

Since  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$ , we have

$$\alpha^2 \left< M(y,v_\alpha),\, \eta(y,x) \right. \right> + \alpha(1-\alpha) \left< M(x,v_\alpha),\, \eta(y,x) \right. \right> + \alpha b(x,y) - \alpha b(x,x) \ge 0.$$

Dividing by  $\alpha$ , we get

$$\alpha \left\langle M(y,v_{\alpha}), \, \eta(y,x) \right\rangle + (1-\alpha) \left\langle M(x,v_{\alpha}), \, \eta(y,x) \right\rangle + b(x,y) - b(x,x) \geq 0.$$

Taking  $\alpha \to 0^+$  and by V-hemicontinuity of Twith respect to M, there exists  $v_0 \in T(x)$  such

$$\langle M(x_0, u_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \ge 0.$$

Theorem 2.2 - Assume that

- 1° K is a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X;
- 2° C is a nonempty subset of X\*;
- 3° M: K×C→X\* is continuous and affine in the first argument;
- 4°  $\eta: K \times K \to X$  is continuous and affine in both the argument such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$ ;
- 5°  $T: K \to 2^C$  is  $\eta$ -monotone and V-hemicontinuous with respect to M such that T(x) is compact,  $\forall x \in K$ ;
- 6° h: K → IR is convex and lower semicontinuous proper functional.

Then there exist  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\left<\,M(x_0,\,u_0),\,\eta(y,\,x_0)\,\right> + h(y) - h(x_0) \ge 0.$$

PROOF: Take b(x, y) = h(y),  $\forall x \in K$  in Theorem 2.1, then the proof follows by the proof of Theorem 2.1, if we prove that the set

$$A = \{x \in K : \exists v \in T(y) \text{ such that } \langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x) \rangle + h(y) - h(x) \ge 0, \ \forall \ y \in K\}$$

is convex.

Indeed, let  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . Then for all  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists v \in T(y)$  such that

$$\langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x_1) \rangle + h(y) - h(x_1) \ge 0$$
 ... (2.1)

and

$$\langle M(y, v), \eta(y, x_2) \rangle + h(y) - h(x_2) \ge 0.$$
 (2.2)

Multiplying (2.1) and (2.2) by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively and then adding, we get

$$. \alpha \left< M(y,v), \, \eta(y,x_1) \right> + \beta \left< M(y,v), \, \eta(y,x_2) \right> + \alpha h(y) + \beta h(y) - \alpha h(x_1) - h(x_2) \geq 0.$$

Since  $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is affine and h is convex, we have

$$\left\langle \, M(y,\, v),\, \eta(y,\, \alpha\!\alpha_1+\beta\!\alpha_2) \,\right\rangle + h(y) - h(\alpha\!\alpha_1-\beta\!\alpha_2) \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \in A$  and hence A is convex.

Corollary 2.1 - Assume that

- 1° K is a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X;
- 2° C is a nonempty subset of X;

- 3°  $M: K \times C \rightarrow X^*$  is continuous and affine in the first argument;
- $4^{\circ}$   $\eta: K \times K \to X$  is continuous and affine in both the argument such that  $\eta(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in K$ ;
- 5°  $T: K \to 2^C$  is n-monotone and V-hemicontinuous with respect to M such that T(x) is compact,  $\forall x \in K$ .

Then there exist  $x_0 \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\langle M(x_0, u_0), \eta(y, x_2) \rangle + \ge 0.$$

## REFERENCES

- 1. X. P. Ding and E. Tarafdar, Appl. Math. Lett., 7 (1994), 5-11.
- 2. K. Fan, Math. Ann. 142 (1961), 305-10.
- 3. J. Parida and A. Sen, J. math. Anal. Appl. 124 (1987), 73-81.
- 4. A. H. Siddiqi, Q. H. Ansari and R. Ahmad, Indian J. pure appl. Math. 26(12) (1995), 1135-141.
- 5. J. C. Yao, J. math. Anal. Appl. 158 (1991), 124-38.
- 6. J. C. Yao, Comp. Math. Appl. 25 (1992), 73-79

POLYNOMIALS HAVING ZEROS IN CLOSED EXTERIOR OR INTERIOR OF A CIRCLE 159

$$|p'(z_0) + mn \beta z_0^{n-1}| \le \frac{n}{1+k^{\mu}} [M(p, 1) + m |\beta|], \qquad \dots (3.2)$$

where

$$|p'(z_0)| = M(p', 1).$$

Now choosing the argument of  $\beta$  suitably in (3.2) and finally letting  $|\beta| \to \frac{1}{L^n}$ . Theorem 2 follows.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. A. Aziz, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 35 (1987), 247-56.
- 2. A. Aziz and M. Dawood, J. Approx. Theory. 54 (1988), 306-13.
- 3. T. C. Chan and M. A. Malik, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 92 (3) (1983), 191-93
- 4. P. D. Lax, Bull. Am. math. Soc. 50 (1944), 509-13.
- 5. M. A. Malik, J. London math. Soc. (2)1 (1969), 57-60.
- 6. G. Polya and G. Szegő, Problems and Theorems in Analysis vol. 1, Berlin, 1972.
- 7. T. J. Rivlin, Amer. math. Mon. 67 (1960), 251-53.
- 8. A. C. Schaeffer, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), 565-79.