

1 Regularity of weak solutions

Definition. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . We say that Ω is of class C^m , if for each $x \in \Gamma$, there exists a neighborhood U of x in \mathbb{R}^N and a bijective application $H : Q \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H &\in C^m(\bar{Q}), & H^{-1} &\in C^m(\bar{U}), \\ H(Q_+) &= U \cap \Omega, & H(Q_0) &= U \cap \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Ω is said to be of class C^∞ , if it is of class C^m , $\forall m \geq 1$.

Here are some regularity results.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\int (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + u\phi) = \int f\phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (1)$$

then

$$u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{H^2} \leq c\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. For $h \in \mathbb{R}^N, h \neq 0$, we set

$$D_h u = \frac{1}{|h|}(\tau_h u - u),$$

that is

$$D_h u(x) = \frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{|h|}$$

Let $\phi = D_{-h}(D_h u)$. It is clear that $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and use it for (1) to obtain

$$\int |\nabla(D_h u)|^2 + \int |D_h u|^2 = \int f(D_{-h}(D_h u))$$

which implies

$$\|D_h u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|D_{-h}(D_h u)\|_{L^2} \quad (2)$$

In the other hand, we have

$$\|D_{-h}(D_h u)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla(D_h u)\|_{L^2} \quad (3)$$

since

$$\|D_{-h} v\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \forall v \in H^1 \text{ and } \omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Combining (2) and (3) we easily get

$$\|D_h u\|_{H^1} \leq \|f\|_{L_2}$$

In particular, we have

$$\|D_h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\|_{L_2} \leq \|f\|_{L_2}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

So,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Hence $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

More regularity

Corollary 1. If $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and u satisfies (1) then $u \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$; so $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Since $u \in H^1$ (in fact $u \in H^2$) then we have

$$\int \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right) + \int u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = \int f \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

By integrating we obtain

$$\int \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \cdot \nabla \phi = \int \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \phi = \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which implies that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in H^2$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$; hence $u \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

By repeating the same procedure we have the following:

Corollary 2. If $f \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and u satisfies (1). Then $u \in H^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

1.1 Case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$.

Reminder. $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N), \quad x_N \geq 0\}$

Definition. We say that h/Γ if $h \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \{0\}$ i.e. $h = (h_1, \dots, h_{N-1}, 0)$.

Lemma. Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and h/Γ then $D_h u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proposition. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfies,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} u \phi = \int_{\Omega} f \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad (4)$$

Then $u \in H^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let h/Γ and use $\phi = D_{-h}(Du)$ in (4). Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(D_h u)|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |D_h u|^2 = \int_{\Omega} f D_{-h}(D_h u)$$

So

$$\|D_h u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|D_{-h}(D_h u)\|_{L^2}. \quad (5)$$

We then use the fact that

$$\|D_h v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \forall h/\Gamma \quad (6)$$

to obtain from (5)

$$\|D_h u\|_{H^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall h/\Gamma. \quad (7)$$

Exercise. Establish (6).

Let $1 \leq j \leq N$, $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ and take $h = |h|e_k$. So, for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\omega} D_h \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi = - \int_{\Omega} u D_{-h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (8)$$

Exercise. Show (8).

Combining (7) and (8) we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u D_{-h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right) \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1.$$

As $h \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \int u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}. \quad (9)$$

Next, we show that

$$\left| \int u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_N^2} \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

To do this, we use (4). So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_N^2} \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left| \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i^2} \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (f-u) \phi \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\left| \int u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq N. \quad (10)$$

Consequently, $u \in H^2(\Omega)$.

Remark. In fact, (10) shows that there exist $g_{jk} \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \int g_{jk} \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

By using Hahn-Banach theorem, the desired result is established

More regularity

Lemma 2. Let $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfy (4). Then

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \phi = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Let $h = |h|e_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$; then $D_h u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is invariant under tangential translation.

From (6), we deduce that

$$\|D_h u\|_{H^1} \leq \|u\|_{H^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}. \quad (12)$$

So there exists a sequence $h_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$D_{h_n} u \rightharpoonup g_j \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega).$$

By using

$$\int_{\Omega} (D_{h_n} u) \phi = - \int_{\Omega} u D_{-h} \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

and letting $h_n \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at

$$\int g_j \phi = - \int u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = g_j \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

To obtain (11), it suffices to use $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}$ in (4) instead of $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Exercise. Verify (12)

Corollary. Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfies (4) and $f \in H^m(\Omega)$. Then $u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. From (11) and proposition 2, we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Consequently, $u \in H^3(\Omega)$.

By repeating the same procedure, se easily prove the corollary by induction.

1.2 General case

Theorem. Suppose that Ω is open and of class C^2 , with Γ bounded. let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{\Omega} u \phi = \int_{\Omega} f \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (13)$$

Then $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$, where C is a constant depending on Ω only.

Moreover, if Ω is of class C^{m+2} and $f \in H^m(\Omega)$. Then

$$u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega), \quad \text{and} \|u\|_{m+2} \leq C\|f\|_m.$$

In particular, if $m > \frac{N}{2}$ then $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$.

Finally, if Ω is of class C^∞ and $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ then $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. Involves the partition of unity, investigation of regularity in the interior of Ω and near Γ .