Blow up in a nonlinearly damped wave equation

Salim A. Messaoudi Mathematical Sciences Department KFUPM, Dhahran 31261 Saudi Arabia.

Email: messaoud@kfupm.edu.sa

November 26, 2000

Abstract

In this paper we consider the nonlinearly damped semilinear wave equation $u_{tt\ j}$ $\psi u + au_t j u_t j^{m_i\ 2} = bu j u j^{p_i\ 2}$

associated with initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions. We prove that any strong solution, with negative initial energy, blows up in $\bar{p} > m$: This result improves an earlier one in [2].

Keywords: Nonlinear damping, Negative initial energy, Noncontinuation, blow up, ⁻nite time.

AMS Classi⁻cation: 35 L 45

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the following initial boundary value problem

where a; b > 0; p; m > 2; and - is a a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n (n _ 1), with a smooth boundary @-: For b = 0, it is well known that the damping term $au_tju_tj^{m_i}$ 2 assures global existence for arbitrary initial data (see [3], [5]). If a = 0 then the source term bujuj^{p_i 2} causes ⁻nite time blow up of solutions with negative initial energy (see [1], [4], [6], [7]).

The interaction between the damping and the source terms was $\bar{\ }$ rst considered by Levine [6], [7] in the linear damping case (m = 2). He showed that solutions with negative initial energy blow up in $\bar{\ }$ nite time. Recently Georgiev and Todorova [2] extended Levine's result to the nonlinear case (m > 2). In their work, the authors introduced a di®erent method and determined suitable relations between m and p, for which there is global existence or alternatively $\bar{\ }$ nite time blow up. Precisely;

they showed that solutions with negative energy continue to exist globally 'in time' if m $_{\circ}$ p and blow up in $^{-}$ nite time if p > m and the initial energy is su \pm ciently negative.

This result has been lately generalized to an abstract setting and to unbounded domains by Levine and Serrin [8] and Levine, Park, and Serrin [9]. In these papers, the authors showed that no solution with negative energy can be extended on [0, 1) if p > m and proved several noncontinuation theorems. This generalization allowed them also to apply their result to quasilinear situations, of which problem (1.1) is a particular case.

Vitillaro [10] combined the arguments in [2] and [8] to extend these results to situations where the damping is nonlinear and the solution has positive initial energy.

In this work, we prove the same result of [2] without imposing the condition that the initial energy is su±ciently negative. In other words, we show that any solution of (1.1) with negative initial energy - however close to zero is - blows up in <code>-</code>nite time. In addition to ommitting the condition of large 'negative' initial data, our technique of proof is simpler than the ones in [2] and [8]. We <code>-</code>rst state a local result established in [2].

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that m > 2; p > 2; and

$$p \cdot 2 \frac{n_{i}}{n_{i}} \frac{1}{2}; \quad n_{s} 3:$$
 (1.2)

Assume further that

$$(u_0; u_1) 2 H_0^1(-) \times L^2(-)$$
 (1.3)

Then the problem (1.1) has a unique local solution

$$u \ 2 \ C \ [0; \ T); \ H_0^1(-) ; \ u_t \ 2 \ C \ [0; \ T); \ L^2(-) \ \ \ L^m \ (-x \ (0; \ T)); \ (1.4)$$

T is small:

Remark 1.1 The condition on p, in (1.2), is needed to establish the local existence result (see [2]). In fact under this condition, the nonlinearity is Lipschitz from $H^1(-)$ to $L^2(-)$:

2 Main Result.

In this section we show that the solution (1.4) blows up in $\bar{}$ nite time if p > m and E(0) < 0, where

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{-}^{z} [u_t^2 + jr uj^2](x;t) dx_i \frac{b}{p} \sum_{-}^{z} ju(x;t) j^p dx:$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that (1.2) holds. Then there exists a positive constant C > 1 depending on – only such that

$$jjujj_p^s \cdot C jjr ujj_2^2 + jjujj_p^p$$
 (2.2)

for any $u ext{ 2 } H_0^1(-)$ and $ext{ 2 } \cdot \text{ s } \cdot \text{ p}$:

Proof. If $jjujj_p^s \cdot 1$ then $jjujj_p^s \cdot jjujj_p^2 \cdot Cjjrujj_2^2$ by Sobolev embedding theorems. If $jjujj_p^s \cdot 1$ then $jjujj_p^s \cdot jjujj_p^s$: Therefore (2.2) follows.

We set

$$H(t) := i E(t)$$

and use, throughout this paper, C to denote a generic positive constant depending on – only. As a result of (2.1) - (2.3), we have

Corollary 2.2. Let the assumptions of the lemma hold. Then we have

$$jjujj_p^s \cdot C jH(t)j + jju_tjj_2^2 + jjujj_p^p$$
 (2.3)

for any $u ext{ 2 } H_0^1(-)$ and $ext{ 2 } \cdot \text{ s } \cdot \text{ p}$:

Theorem 2.3. Let the conditions of the theorem 1.1 be ful⁻lled. Assume further that p > m and

$$E(0) < 0:$$
 (2.4)

Then the solution (1.4) blows up in ⁻nite time:

Remark 2.1. Note that contrary to [2], no condition on the size of the initial data has been done. The blow up takes place for any initial data satisfying (2.4). Proof.

We multiply equation (1.1) by u_t and integrate over - to get

$$E^{0}(t) = i \quad a \quad ju_{t}(x;t)j^{m}dx; \qquad (2.5)$$

for almost every t in [0;T) since $E^{\emptyset}(t)$ is absolutely continuous (see [2]); hence $H^{\emptyset}(t)$ $_{\circ}$ 0: So we have

$$0 < H(0) \cdot H(t) \cdot \frac{b}{p} jjujj_p^p; \qquad (2.6)$$

for every t in [0;T), by virtue of (2.4). We then de $^-$ ne

$$L(t) := H^{1_i *}(t) + uu_t(x; t)dx$$
 (2.7)

for "small to be chosen later and

$$0 < ^{\text{@}} \cdot \min \left(\frac{(p_{\dot{1}} 2)}{2p}; \frac{(p_{\dot{1}} m)}{p(m_{\dot{1}} 1)} \right)$$
 (2.8)

By taking a derivative of (2.7) and using equation (1.1) we obtain

$$L^{0}(t) := (1_{i} ^{\otimes})H^{i} ^{\otimes}(t)H^{0}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} z \\ -z \end{bmatrix} [u_{t}^{2}_{i} ^{j} r u j^{2}](x;t)dx$$

$$+ b _{j} u(x;t)j^{p}dx_{i} a^{m}_{j} _{j} u_{t} u(x;t)dx:$$
(2.9)

We then exploit Young's inequality

$$XY \cdot \frac{\pm^{r}}{r}X^{r} + \frac{\pm^{i}}{q}Y^{q}; \quad X;Y; \ 0; \ 8\pm > 0; \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

with r = m and $q = m = (m_i \ 1)$ to estimate the last term in (2.9) as follows

$$\frac{z^{2}}{1} = \int_{-1}^{2} ju_{t}j^{m_{i}} \int_{0}^{1} ju_{j}dx \cdot \frac{\pm^{m}}{m} jju_{j}j^{m}_{m} + \frac{m_{i}}{m} \int_{0}^{1} \pm^{i} \int_{0}^{m=(m_{i}-1)} jju_{t}jj^{m}_{m}$$

which yields, by substitution in (2.9),

$$L^{\emptyset}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx - \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx - \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx - \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx - \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx - \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

$$+ \frac{z}{m} \left[u_{t}^{2} + jr u_{j}^{2} \right] (x; t) dx$$

Of course (2.10) remains valid even if \pm is time dependant since the integral is taken over the x variable. Therefore by taking \pm so that \pm^{i} $^{m=(m_i-1)} = kH^{i}$ $^{(*)}$ (t), for large k to be speci $^{-}$ ed later, and substituting in (2.10) we arrive at

$$L^{0}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{z}}{(1_{i}^{\otimes})_{i}} \frac{\mathbf{m}_{i}^{\otimes} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{k}^{i} \mathbf{H}^{i} \mathbf{k}^{\otimes} (t) \mathbf{H}^{0}(t) + \mathbf{k}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{2} (x; t) dx \qquad (2.11)$$

$$+ \mathbf{v}_{t}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{z}_{t}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{2} (x; t) dx + \mathbf{v}_{t}^{2} \mathbf{h}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{2} (x; t) dx + \mathbf{v}_{t}^{2} \mathbf{h}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{u}_{t}^{2} (x; t) dx + \mathbf{v}_{t}^{2} \mathbf{h}^{(\frac{p}{2} + 1)} \mathbf{h}^{(\frac{p}{2}$$

By exploiting (2.6) and the inequality $jjujj_m^m \cdot C jjujj_p^m$, we obtain

$$H^{\circledast(m_i \ 1)}(t)jjujj_m^m \cdot \frac{\frac{b}{p}}{p} Cjjujj_p^{m+\circledast p(m_i \ 1)};$$

hence (2.11) yields

$$L^{\emptyset}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1_{i} \otimes \frac{m_{i}}{m} - \frac{1}{m} \otimes \frac$$

We then use corollary 2.2 and (2.8), for $s = m + {}^{\circledR}p(m_i \ 1) \cdot p$; to deduce from (2.12)

$$L^{\emptyset}(t) \stackrel{\cdot}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}} (1_{i} \stackrel{\circledast}{\overset{\cdot}{\cdot}})_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{m} \stackrel{1}{\overset{\cdot}{\cdot}} H^{i} \stackrel{\circledast}{\overset{\cdot}{\cdot}} (t) H^{\emptyset}(t) + "(\frac{p}{2} + 1) u_{t}^{2}(x;t) dx \qquad (2.13)$$

$$+ "(\frac{p}{2}_{i} 1) \stackrel{z}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}} jr uj^{2}(x;t) dx + "pH(t)_{i} C_{1}k^{1_{i}} \stackrel{n}{\overset{n}{\cdot}} H(t) + jju_{t}jj_{2}^{2} + jjujj_{p}^{p} ;$$
 where $C_{1} = a^{\frac{3}{p}} \stackrel{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} \stackrel{(m_{i} 1)}{\overset{\circ}{\cdot}} C = m$: By noting that

$$H(t) = \frac{b}{p} j j u j j_p^p i \frac{1}{2} j j u_t j j_2^2 i \frac{1}{2} j j r u j j_2^2$$

and writing $p = (p + 2)=2 + (p_i 2)=2$, (2.13) yields

$$L^{0}(t) = (1_{i} \otimes)_{i} \frac{m_{i} 1}{m} k^{2} H^{i} \otimes (t) H^{0}(t) + \frac{p_{i} 2}{4} jjr ujj_{2}^{2}$$
 (2.14)

"
$$(\frac{p+2}{2}_{i} C_{1}k^{1_{i}}^{n})H(t) + (\frac{p_{i}}{2p}b_{i} C_{1}k^{1_{i}}^{n})jjujj_{p}^{p} + (\frac{p+6}{4}_{i} C_{1}k^{1_{i}}^{n})jju_{t}jj_{2}^{2}$$

At this point, we choose k large enough so that the coe \pm cients of H(t); $jju_tjj_2^2$; and $jjujj_p^p$ in (2.14) are strictly positive; hence we get

$$L^{\emptyset}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{i} - 1}{m} \mathbf{k}^{*} H^{i} \mathbf{k}^{*} H^{\emptyset}(t) + \mathbf{m}^{\bullet} H(t) + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{t} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_{2}^{2} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{j} \mathbf{j}_{p}^{p}; \qquad (2.15)$$

where $^\circ$ > 0 is the minimum of these coe±cients. Once k is $^-$ xed (hence $^\circ$), we pick "small enough so that $(1_i)^*$ "k(m; 1)=m, 0 and

$$L(0) = H^{1_i} (0) + u_0 u_1(x) dx > 0$$
:

Therefore (2.15) takes the form

Consequently we have

$$L(t) L(0) > 0;$$
 8 t 0:

Next we would like to show that

$$L^{0}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} L^{1=(1_{i} \otimes 0)}(t); 8t = 0;$$
 (2.17)

where $_i$ is a positive constant depending on "° and C (the constant of lemma 2.1). Once (2.17) is established, we obtain in a standard way the $_{}^{-}$ nite time blow up of L(t); hence of u (see [1] for instance).

To prove (2.17), we rst estime

$$\frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{j}} \quad uu_{t}(\mathbf{x}; t) d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{j} \mathbf{j}_{2} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{t} \mathbf{j}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{j} \mathbf{j}_{p} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{t} \mathbf{j}_{2}$$

which implies

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{z} & \\ j & uu_t(x;t) dxj^{1=(1_i \ \circledast)} \cdot & Cjjujj_p^{1=(1_i \ \circledast)} jju_t jj_2^{1=(1_i \ \circledast)} : \end{array}$$

Again Young's inequality gives us

for $1=^1+1=\mu=1$: We take $\mu=2(1_i^{-} @)$; to get $^1=(1_i^{-} @)=2=(1_i^{-} 2 @) \cdot p$ by (2.8). Therefore (2.18) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Z} & \\ \textbf{j} & uu_t(x;t) dx \textbf{j}^{1=(1_i \text{ }^\circledast)} \cdot & C \\ & \textbf{j} \textbf{j} \textbf{u} \textbf{j} \textbf{j}_p^s + \textbf{j} \textbf{j} \textbf{u}_t \textbf{j} \textbf{j}_2^s \end{array};$$

Finally by noting that

and combining it with (2.16) and (2.19), the inequality (2.17) is established. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgement The author would like to express his sincere thanks to KFUPM for its support.

References

- 1. Ball J., Remarks on blow up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolutions equations, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 28 (1977), 473 486.
- 2. Georgiev, V. and G. Todorova, Existence of solutions of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms, J. Di[®]. Eqns. 109 (1994), 295 308.
- 3. Haraux, A. and E. Zuazua, Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 150 (1988), 191 206.
- 4. Kalantarov V. K. and O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, the occurrence of collapse for quasilinear equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type, J. Soviet Math. 10 (1978), 53 -70.
- 5. Kopackova M., Remarks on bounded solutions of a semilinear dissipative hyperbolic equation, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 30 (1989), 713 719.
- 6. Levine, H. A., Instability and nonexistene of global solutions of nonlinear wave equation of the form $Pu_{tt} = Au + F(u)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 192 (1974), 1 21.
- 7. Levine H. A , Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5 (1974), 138 146.
- Levine H. A and J. Serrin, A global nonexistence theorem for quasilinear evolution equation with dissipation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 137 (1997), 341 - 361.
- 9. Levine, H. A and S. Ro Park, Global existence and global nonexistence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinearly damped wave equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 228 (1998), 181 205.
- 10. Vitilaro E, Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.149 (1999), 155 182.