

# Lectures on some variational problems

Salim Messaoudi

Mathmatics department  
KFUPM, Dhahran 31261  
Saudi Arabia

E-mail: [messaoud@kfupm.edu.sa](mailto:messaoud@kfupm.edu.sa)

# 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some classical results which serve us later on.

**Theorem** (Fixed point) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $S : X \longrightarrow X$  be an application satisfying

$$d(Sv_1, Sv_2) \leq kd(v_1, v_2), \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in X, \quad 0 < k < 1.$$

Then  $S$  possesses a unique fixed point  $u$  such that

$$Su = u.$$

**Theorem** (Riesz Representation) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $H'$  be its dual. Then for any  $\phi \in H'$  there exists a unique  $f$  in  $H$  which satisfies

$$\langle \phi, v \rangle = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H.$$

## 2 Variational Problems

### 2.1 Hilbert Space Case

**Definition 2.1.** A bilinear form  $a : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is said to be continuous (or bounded) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , for which we have

$$|a(u, v)| \leq C \|u\| \|v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in H$$

**Definition 2.2.** A bilinear form  $a : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is said to be coercive (elliptic) if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$ , for which we have

$$|a(u, u)| \geq \alpha \|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in H$$

**Definition 2.3.** A bilinear form  $a : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is said to be symmetric if

$$a(u, v) = a(v, u)$$

**Remark 2.1.** We will cross another definition for coercivity on Banach spaces.

**Theorem 2.1. [2], [3]: (Stampacchia):** Let  $K$  be a convex closed and nonempty subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $a : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and coercive bilinear form. Then for any  $\varphi$  in  $H'$ , there exists a unique  $u \in K$  such that

$$a(u, v - u) \geq \langle \varphi, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (.1)$$

Moreover, if  $a$  is symmetric then  $u$  is characterized by

$$u \in K$$

$$\frac{1}{2} a(u, u) - \langle \varphi, u \rangle = \min_{v \in K} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - \langle \varphi, v \rangle \right\}.$$

**Proof.** The Riesz representation theorem yields the existence of a unique element  $f \in H$  such that

$$\langle \varphi, v \rangle = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H.$$

In the other hand, for a fixed  $u \in H$ , the map  $v \rightarrow a(u, v)$  is a continuous linear form over  $H$ . So, by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique element denoted by  $Au \in H$  such that

$$a(u, v) = (Au, v), \quad \forall v \in H.$$

It is easy to verify that  $A : H \rightarrow H$  is linear and

$$\|Au\| \leq C\|u\| \quad \text{and} \quad (Au, u) \geq \alpha\|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in H.$$

Now, we would like to show that there exists  $u \in K$  such that

$$(Au, v - u) \geq (f, v - u), \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (.2)$$

Let  $\delta > 0$  be a constant, to be determined later. The inequality (2) is equivalent to

$$(\delta f - \delta Au + u - u, v - u) \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (.3)$$

This implies that

$$u = P_K(\delta f - \delta Au + u).$$

Now, we define the map  $S : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$Sv = P_K(\delta f - \delta Av + v).$$

From the properties of the projection operator we have

$$\|Sv_1 - Sv_2\| \leq \|(v_1 - v_2) - \delta(Av_1 - Av_2)\|$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sv_1 - Sv_2\|^2 &\leq \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 + \delta^2 \|Av_1 - Av_2\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\delta(Av_1 - Av_2, v_1 - v_2) \\ &\leq \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 [1 - 2\delta\alpha + \delta^2 C^2]. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\delta$  so that  $0 < \delta < \frac{2\alpha}{C^2}$  we arrive at  $k = 1 - 2\delta\alpha + \delta^2 C^2 < 1$ . Hence,  $S$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in K$ . It is clear that  $u$  is the solution of (3), hence to (2) or (1). Next, we suppose that  $a$  is symmetric. So the bilinear form  $a$  defines a new inner product on  $H$  with a norm  $[a(u, u)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  equivalent to the norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .  $H$  is then a Hilbert space for this new inner product. Applying the Riesz representation theorem we obtain  $g \in H$  such that

$$\langle \varphi, v \rangle = a(g, v), \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (.4)$$

So (1) is equivalent to

$$a(g - u, v - u) \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in K. \quad (.5)$$

This shows that  $g = P_K u$ . This projection is with respect to the new inner product. Therefore  $u$  is the solution for the problem

$$\min_{v \in K} [a(g - v, g - v)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

or

$$\min_{v \in K} a(g - v, g - v)$$

that is

$$\min_{v \in K} a(v, v) - 2a(g, v)$$

or

$$\min_{v \in K} \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - \langle \varphi, v \rangle \quad (.6)$$

**Corollary 2.2. [2], [3]: (Lax-Milgram)**

Let  $a : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and coercive bilinear form. Then, for  $\varphi \in H'$  there exists  $u \in H$  unique such that

$$a(u, v) = \langle \varphi, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (.7)$$

Moreover, if  $a$  is symmetric then  $u$  satisfies

$$u \in H, \quad \frac{1}{2} a(u, u) - \langle \varphi, u \rangle = \min_{v \in H} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - \langle \varphi, v \rangle \right\}.$$

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique  $u$  in  $H$ , for which

$$a(u, w - u) \geq \langle \varphi, w - u \rangle, \quad \forall w \in H.$$

Take  $w = v + u, \forall v \in H$ . So we have

$$a(u, v) \leq \langle \varphi, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in H \quad (.8)$$

Take  $w = -v + u, \forall v \in H$ . Thus we obtain

$$a(u, -v) \leq \langle \varphi, -v \rangle,$$

that is

$$a(u, v) \geq \langle \varphi, v \rangle \quad (.9)$$

A combination of (8) and (9) yields (7).

The other part of the corollary follows directly from the previous theorem

**Application 2.1** Given  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega) = \text{dual of } H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

We seek a function  $u$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma = \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let  $v$  be in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and define the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx$$

and the linear form  $Fv = \langle f, v \rangle$

**Theorem 2.3. (Existence and uniqueness)**

Let  $a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, n$  such that, for some  $c_0 > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq c_0 |\xi|^2,$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then for any  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

**Proof.** For the bilinear form  $a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a(u, v)| &\leq M \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{H_0^1} \|v\|_{H_0^1}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, u) &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} c_0 |\nabla u|^2 = c_0 \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is equipped with its equivalent norm.

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore  $a : H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous and coercive. We also have

$$|\langle f, v \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{H^{-1}} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$$

So  $F : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous linear form.

Therefore, Lax-Milgram lemma shows that  $\exists$  a unique  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x_j} dx = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

**Remark 2.2.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$  (bounded) and  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . If  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  then  $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 2.3. [2]:** If  $a_{ij}$  and  $f$  are regular enough then  $u$  becomes smooth enough, so we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) v = \int_{\Omega} f v, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega);$$



hence

$$-\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = f \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

i.e.  $u$  becomes a classical solution.

## 2.2 Banach Space Case

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $V$  be a Banach space and  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  be an operator. We say that  $A$  is montone if

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

If, in addition,  $A$  satisfies

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle > 0 \quad \forall u \neq v \in V$$

it is called strictly monotone.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $V$  be a Banach space and  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  be an operator. We say that  $A$  is hemicontinuous if we have,  $\forall u, v, w \in V$ , the function  $\lambda \rightarrow \langle A(u + \lambda v), w \rangle$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.6** [4], [5]: Let  $V$  be a Banach space. An operator  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  is said to be pseudo-monotone if

(i)  $A$  is bounded.

(ii) When  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $V$  and  $\limsup \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \leq 0$  then

$$\liminf \langle A(u_j), u_j - v \rangle \geq \langle A(u), u - v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $V$  be a Banach space.  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  is bounded if for any bounded set  $S \subset V$ ,  $A(S)$  is

bounded in  $V'$ .

**Proposition 2.4** [4]: Suppose that  $A$  is bounded, hemi-continuous, and monotone then  $A$  is pseudo-monotone.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $u_j \rightharpoonup u$  and

$$\limsup \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \geq 0.$$

By using the monotonicity of  $A$ , we have

$$\langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \geq \langle A(u), u_j - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow +\infty.$$

So

$$\liminf \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \geq 0.$$

Consequently

$$0 \leq \liminf \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \leq \limsup \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

which gives

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle = 0. \quad (.10)$$

Next, for  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$ , let  $w = (1 - \theta)u + \theta v$ . So, we have

$$\langle A(u_j) - A(w), u_j - w \rangle \geq 0$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \langle A(u_j), u - v \rangle &\geq -\langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle A(w), u_j - u \rangle - \theta \langle A(w), v - u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (10), we arrive at

$$\theta \liminf \langle A(u_j), u - v \rangle \geq -\theta \langle A(w), v - u \rangle,$$

which implies

$$\liminf \langle A(u_j), u - v \rangle \geq \langle A(w), u - v \rangle$$

By noting that

$$\langle A(u_j), u_j - v \rangle = \langle A(u_j), u_j - u \rangle + \langle A(u_j), u - v \rangle$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf \langle A(u_j), u_j - v \rangle &\geq \liminf \langle A(u_j), u - v \rangle \\ &\geq \langle A(w), u - v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As  $\theta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $w \rightarrow u$ , using continuity. So we get

$$\liminf \langle A(u_j), u_j - v \rangle \geq \langle A(u), u - v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

and since it is bounded then it is pseudo-monotone.

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.5.** . Let  $V$  be a reflexive and separable Banach space. Suppose that  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  has the following properties:

(i)  $A$  is monotone, bounded, and hemicontinuous.

(ii)  $\frac{\langle A(v), v \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Then, for each  $f \in V'$ ,  $\exists u \in V$  such that  $A(u) = f$ .

Moreover, if

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle > 0, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad u \neq v,$$

then  $u$  is anique.

**Remark 2.4.** Property (ii) is sometimes called coercivity.

**Remark 2.5.** For the proof, we refer to [4], p 171-173.

**Application 2.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a smooth boundary we would like to solve the

problem

$$A(u) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = f, \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

for  $1 < p < +\infty$ ,  $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) = \text{dual of } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Solution** Let us verify the conditions of Theorem 2.5 for  $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  equipped with the norm

$$\|v\| = \|\nabla v\|_{L^p}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A(u), v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \|v\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|A(u)\|_{V'} \leq C \|u\|_V^{p-1}$ ; i.e  $A$  is bounded.

Note for  $p = 2$ , we have

$$\|A(u)\|_{V'} \leq C \|u\|_V.$$

### Hemicontinuity

Let  $u, v, w$  be in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , We verify that :

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \langle A(u + tv), w \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial(u + tv)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial(u + tv)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $t_n \rightarrow t$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial(u + t_n v)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial(u + t_n v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \\ \rightarrow & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial(u + t v)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial(u + t v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \end{aligned}$$

for almost every  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial(u + t_n v)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right| \\ \leq & 2^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right| + M \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right| \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right| dx & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p^{p-1} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p \\ \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right| dx & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p^{p-1} \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude, by the DCT, that

$$g(t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial(u + t_n v)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial(u + t_n v)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow g(t)$$

Monotonicity:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By using this simple inequality

$$(|a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b)(a - b) \geq 0,$$

we easily arrive at  $\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq 0$ . Thus,  $A$  is monotone.

Coercivity:

$$\langle A(v), v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^p = \|\nabla v\|_{L^p}^p = \|v\|_V^p$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{\langle A(v), v \rangle}{\|v\|_V} = \|v\|_V^{p-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } p > 1.$$

Therefore for any  $f \in W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\exists u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Uniqueness: This follows from the fact that

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle > 0, \quad \forall u, v, \in V, \quad u \neq v.$$

# Variational Inequalities

**Theorem 2.6** (bounded case) Suppose that  $K$  is a convex, closed, and bounded nonempty set of a Banach space  $V$ . Let  $A$  be pseudo-monotone operator from  $K$  to  $V'$ . Then for each  $f \in V'$  there exists  $u \in K$  such that

$$\langle A(u), v - u \rangle \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \forall v \in V$$

**Theorem 2.7** (Unbounded case): Suppose that  $K$  is a convex, closed, and unbounded nonempty set of a Banach space  $V$ . Let  $A : K \rightarrow V'$  be a pseudo-monotone operator satisfying, for some  $v_0 \in K$ , the following coercivity property

$$\frac{\langle A(v), v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|v\| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad v \in K.$$

Then for any  $f \in V'$ , there exists  $u \in K$  such that

$$\langle A(u), v - u \rangle \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K.$$

**Remark 2.6.** For the proofs, we refer to [4], p 245-248.

**Corollary 2.8.** If  $K$  is a subspace of  $V$  then we have, under the above conditions,

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K.$$

**Application 2.3** Let  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$K = \{\phi \in V / \phi(x) \geq 0, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}$$

$K$  is convex, closed, and unbounded. Let  $A : K \rightarrow V'$  be defined as  $A(u) = -\Delta u$ . We would like to solve the

following variational inequality: Find  $u$  in  $V$  which satisfies

$$\langle A(u), v - u \rangle \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K.$$

**Solution** Let us verify the conditions of Theorem 2.7.

Boundedness:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_V \|u\|_V \\ \|A\|_{V'} &\leq 1 \text{ (in fact it is equal to 1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hemicontinuity For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , it clear that

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u + tv) \cdot \nabla w \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w + t \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w \end{aligned}$$

is continuous

Monotonicity: It is simple to see that

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 \geq 0$$

In fact, we have

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle > 0, \quad \forall u \neq v \in V.$$

We conclude that  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  is "strictly" pseudo-monotone.

Coercivity: Let  $v_0 = 0 \in K$ , so

$$\langle A(v), v \rangle = \|v\|_V^2$$



implies

$$\frac{\langle A(v), v \rangle}{\|v\|} = \|v\| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|v\| \rightarrow +\infty, v \in K.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique  $u \in K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta u, v - u \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v - u) \\ &\geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

**Application 2.4.** In Application 2.3 let

$$K = \{ \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) / |\nabla \phi| \leq 1, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \}$$

for  $\Omega$  bounded and smooth. Thus,  $K$  is bounded in  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  equipped with  $\|v\|_V = \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}$ . Also  $K$  is nonempty ( $0 \in K$ ), and closed.

By applying Theorem 2.6, we easily conclude that for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exists a unique  $u \in K$  for which, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v - u) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u), \quad \forall v \in K.$$

**Remark 2.7.** For some nonlinear problems, we refer the reader to [5].

## 2.3 Regularity

Consider the problem model

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}$$

in  $\Omega$ , a bounded set of  $C^\infty$  regular boundary.

It is well known if

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and  $f$  in  $H^k(\Omega)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , then there exists  $u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^{k+2}(\Omega)$  unique satisfying

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

This is called the elliptic regularity (see [1], [2]). Unfortunately, it is not the case for the variational inequality.

**Counter-example 2.5.** [4]: Let  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $f(x) = 4$ , which is in  $H^k(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall k \geq 0$ . Let  $a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u' v' dx$  and

$$K = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) / |v'(x)| \leq 1, \text{ for a.e. } x \in (0, 1)\}.$$

This is a closed and bounded convex set ( $|v(x)| \leq 1$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ ). The variational inequality

$$a(u, v - u) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(v - u), \quad \forall v \in K \quad (.11)$$

has a unique solution. In fact, it is easy to check that

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 < x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ -2x^2 + 2x - \frac{1}{8}, & \frac{1}{4} < x \leq \frac{3}{4} \\ 1 - x & \frac{3}{4} < x < 1 \end{cases}$$

is a member of  $K$  and satisfies the inequality (11) since

$$a(u, v - u) = 4 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} v(x) dx - \frac{2}{3}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(v - u) &= 4 \int_0^1 v(x) dx - 4 \int_0^1 u(x) dx \\ &= 4 \int_0^1 v(x) dx - 4 \left[ \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{2}{3} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &a(u, v - u) - \int_{\Omega} f(v - u) \\ &= 2 + \frac{5}{8} - 4 \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} v(x) dx + \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 v(x) dx \right] \\ &\geq 2 + \frac{5}{8} - 4 \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \right] = \frac{5}{8} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

However,  $u \notin H^3(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 2.8.** The question is, if  $f \in X$ , a subspace of  $V'$ . What would be the condition on  $X$ ,  $K$ , and the operator  $A$  so that if  $u$  is the solution of the inequality then  $A(u) \in X$ ?

**Remark 2.9.** Following the proofs in the regularity theory for elliptic equations [1], [2], one can easily see that all the classical results remain valid if  $K$  is a ball, for instance, of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , that is

$$K = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) / \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq M\} .$$

### 3 References

- (1) Adams R., Sobolev Spaces, *Academic Press* 1975.
- (2) Brézis H, Analyse fonctionnelle Théorie et applications, Second Edition, Dunod, Paris 1999.
- (3) Chipot M., Elements of nonlinear analysis, Birkhäuser 2000.
- (4) Lions J.L., Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Second Edition, Dunod, Paris 2002.
- (5) Tomáš Roubišek, Nonlinear Partial differential equations with Applications, First edition, Birkhäuser, Verlag 2005.