KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS COLLEGE OF SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS

STAT 211: BUSINESS STATISTICS I Semester 063 Major Exam III Monday 13/8/2007 4:30 pm – 5:30 pm

Please **circle** your section number: **2** (9:20 – 10:20 am) **3** (10:30 – 11:30 am)

Surname: SOLUTION KEY ID#: Serial#:

Question No	Full Marks	Marks Obtained
1	22	
2	18	
3	20	
Total	60	

Q1. (8+3+3+8 Points)

An official from the securities commission estimates that 60% of all investment bankers have profited from the use of insider information. If 10 investment bankers are selected at random from the commission's registry, answer the following:

a. The EXACT probability that at least 2 of them have profited from 0.9983 of the insider information =

X : **#** of bankers profited from insider information in a sample of 10.

$$X: B(10, 0.6) \rightarrow f(x) = {\binom{10}{x}} 0.6^x 0.4^{10-x} , x = 0, 1, ..., 10$$

 $P(X \ge 2) = 1 - P(X \le 1) = 1 - [P(X = 0) + P(X = 1)]$

$$=1 - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 10\\0 \end{pmatrix} 0.6^{0} 0.4^{10} + \begin{pmatrix} 10\\1 \end{pmatrix} 0.6^{1} 0.4^{9} \right]$$

$$= 1 - (0.0001 + 0.0016)$$

= 1 - 0.0017 = **0.9983**

b.	The EXACT probability that all of the 10 investment bankers have profited from insider information =	0.006
	(10)	

$$P(X = 10) = {\binom{10}{10}} 0.6^{10} 0.4^0 = 0.006$$

c.	The	AVERAGE	number	of	investment	bankers	that	have	6
	profi	ited from the	use of ins	ide	r information	=			0

E(X) = np = 10 (0.6) = 6 bankers

d. If 100 investment bankers were selected randomly from the	
commission's registry, then the APPROXIMATE probability that	0.0207
at most half of them have profited from insider information =	
If $u = 100$, $\lambda u = 100/0$, $\lambda = 0.5$ F and $u = 100/0$, $\lambda = 40.5$ F	

If $n = 100 \rightarrow np = 100(0.6) = 60 \ge 5$ and $nq = 100(0.4) = 40 \ge 5$

→ X : B(100, 0.6) but $X \sim N(np, npq)$

$$\rightarrow \overline{p} \sim N(p, pq/n)$$
 but $\overline{p} \sim N(0.6, 0.24/100)$

→ P(X ≤ 50) = P(
$$\frac{X}{n} \le \frac{50}{100}$$
) = P($\overline{P} \le \frac{1}{2}$)

= P($\frac{\overline{P} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}} \le \frac{\frac{1}{2} - 0.6}{\sqrt{\frac{0.24}{100}}}$) = P(Z ≤ $\frac{-0.1}{\sqrt{0.24}}$)

= P(Z < -2.04) = P(Z > 2.04) = 1/2 - P(0 < Z < 2.04)

= 1/2 - 0.4793 = 0.0207

Q2. (6+2+6+4 Points)

An advertising executive receives an average of 10 telephone calls each afternoon between **2** and **4** P.M. The calls occur randomly and independently of one another. Find the following:

a.	The PROBABILITY that the executive will receive 7 calls BETWEEN	0 1044
	2 AND 3 P.M. on a particular afternoon =	0.1044

X: # of calls in 2 hrs $\rightarrow \lambda = 10$ per 2 hrs = 5 per hr = 1/12 per min.

$$X: Po(\lambda t) \rightarrow P(X = x) = f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \lambda t^{x}}{x!}, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

When $t = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \lambda t = (10)(\frac{1}{2}) = 5$ per hr and

$$P(X = 7) = f(7) = \frac{e^{-5}5^7}{7!} = 0.1044$$

b.	The VARIANCE of the number of calls that the executive will	15
	receive BETWEEN 2 AND 5 P.M. on a particular afternoon =	15
	3	

$$V(X) = \lambda t = 10 \times \frac{3}{2} = 15$$
 calls

c.	The PROBABILITY that the executive has to wait AT LEAST 15	0.2865	
	MINUTES to receive the next call on a particular afternoon =		

Y : Waiting time in hrs \rightarrow *Y* : Exp(5) \rightarrow *f* (*y*) = 5 e^{-5y} , *y* ≥ 0

 $P(Y \le a) = 1 - e^{-\lambda a}$ and 15 min = $\frac{1}{4}$ hr

$$P(Y > \frac{1}{4}) = 1 - P(Y < \frac{1}{4}) = 1 - (1 - e^{\frac{-5}{4}}) = e^{\frac{-5}{4}} = 0.2865$$

d.	The EXPECTED time that the executive has to wait until he receives	24 min
	the next TWO calls on a particular afternoon =	2/5 hr
Fo	r ONE call only $E(Y) = 1/\lambda = 1/5$ hr	

Hence for TWO calls $E(Y) = 2(1/\lambda) = (2/5)$ hr = 24 min

Q3. (3+5+7+5 Points)

Researchers studying the effects of a new diet found that the weight LOSS over a one-month period by those on the diet was normally distributed with a MEAN of 9 pounds and a STANDARD DEVIATION of 3 pounds. If 200 dieters were selected at random, answer the following:

a. The PROPORTION of the dieters those lost more than 12 pounds =

0.1587

X : Weight loss in pounds \rightarrow *X* : *N*(9, 9) \rightarrow μ = 9 pounds, σ = 3 pounds

$$P(X > 12) = P\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} > \frac{12 - 9}{3}\right) = P(Z > 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - P(0 < Z < 1) = \frac{1}{2} - 0.3413 = 0.1587$$

b. The NUMBER of dieters who gained weight =

2.6≈3

To gain weight = lose 0 pounds or less \rightarrow The value of X = 0

$$P(X < 0) = P\left(Z > \frac{0-9}{3}\right) = P(Z < -3) = P(Z > 3)$$

 $= \frac{1}{2} - P(0 < Z < 3) = \frac{1}{2} - 0.4987 = 0.0013$

Number of dieters = $200 \times 0.0013 = 2.6 \approx 3$ dieters

c.	The PERCENTAGE of dieters whose their AVERAGE weight loss	09 19 %
	was between 8.5 & 9.5 pounds =	90.10 //

If $X : N(9, 9) \rightarrow \overline{X} : N(9, 9/200) \rightarrow$

$$P\left(8.5 < \overline{X} < 9.5\right) = P\left(\frac{8.5 - 9}{\frac{3}{\sqrt{200}}} < Z < \frac{9.5 - 9}{\frac{3}{\sqrt{200}}}\right) = P(-2.36 < Z < 2.36)$$

$$= 2 \times P(0 < Z < 2.36) = 2 \times 0.4909 = 0.9818$$

→ Percentage = **98.18**%

d.	If the AVERAGE weight loss of the 200 dieters was 8 pounds, do	NO
	you think that the new diet regime is effective? Why?	NO

$$P\left(\overline{X} \le 8\right) = P\left[Z \le \frac{8-9}{\frac{3}{\sqrt{200}}}\right] = P\left(Z \le -\frac{\sqrt{200}}{3}\right) = P(Z \le -4.71) \approx 0$$

)

This means that losing on the average 8 pounds for 200 persons is

VERY much LESS than the average of 9 pounds. So, the diet regime is

NOT effective.

1