

Nonexistence of Solutions for Semilinear Equations and Systems in Cylindrical Domains

Brahim Khodja

Université d'Annaba, Institut de Mathématiques,
B. P. 12, Annaba, ALGÉRIE
E-mail: bmkhodja@yahoo.fr

Abstract

We establish an integral identity in $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times]\alpha, \beta[$ which we use to prove nonexistence of nontrivial solutions in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to some semilinear equations under some conditions on f and g . We then extend this method to systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = g(v) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

AMS Subject Classification: 35J65.

Key words: Elliptic and hyperbolic systems, Integral identities.

1 Introduction and notations

The question of existence and the nonexistence of solutions for the semi-linear elliptic problem in bounded or unbounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

was studied by several authors for different reasons. We quote by way of examples the works of **Esteban & Lions** [2], **Kirane, Nabana & Pohozaev** [5], **Pucci & Serrin** [11], **Pohožaev** [12] and **Van der Vorst** [13].

M. J. Esteban & P.-L. Lions show that the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + f(u) = 0, & u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

satisfying $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)$, $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s)ds \in L^1(\Omega)$, where Ω is a connected unbounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$\exists \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N, |\Lambda| = 1, \langle n(x), \Lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \langle n(x), \Lambda \rangle \neq 0$$

($n(x)$ is the outward normal to $\partial\Omega$ at the point x) does not have a solution.

The question which arises then is to know if this result is still valid for the Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + f(u) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The answer to this question is negative. Indeed, **Berestycki**, **Gallouët** and **Kavian** established that the problem

$$-\Delta u - u^3 + u = 0, \quad u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

admits a radial solution, see [1].

The same solution satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - u^3 + u = 0, & u \in H^2([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

To show the nonexistence of solutions of elliptic problems several methods exist, but for this work, we use integral identities.

We establish in the second section an integral identity in a cylindrical domain of \mathbb{R}^2 which shows that some semilinear elliptic as well as hyperbolic equations do not have nontrivial solutions in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

In the third section, we illustrate our results by examples, namely we show that, under some assumptions on the nonlinearity, the **Klein–Gordon** equation does not have nontrivial solutions.

Finally, in the last section, we prove that with the help of two integral identities the following differential system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = g(v) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where f and g satisfy

$$\begin{cases} f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}), \\ f(0) = g(0) = 0, \\ F(u) \cdot G(v) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

does not possess nontrivial solutions (u, v) in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

A nonexistence result for problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

will follow as a particular case of the above system.

Let us denote by (x, y) a generic point of $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times]\alpha, \beta[$, $\Gamma = \partial\Omega = \partial(\mathbb{R} \times]\alpha, \beta[) = \mathbb{R} \times \{\alpha\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{\beta\}$ and $n(x, y) = (n_1(x, y), n_2(x, y))$ the outward normal to Γ at the point (x, y) . We consider a locally Lipschitzian real function

$$f :]\alpha, \beta[\times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

such that $f(y, 0) = 0 \forall y \in]\alpha, \beta[$, so that $u = 0$ is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + f(y, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times]\alpha, \beta[, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P.1)$$

where λ is a real parameter and ε is a positive real number.

We shall also use the notation $F(y, u) = \int_0^u f(y, \sigma) d\sigma$.

2 General results

We are now in a position to state the following result:

Proposition 1 *Let u be a solution of (P.1), then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + 2F(y, u) \right] (x, y) dy + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [u(x, \alpha)^2 + u(x, \beta)^2] = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let us set

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + F(y, u) \right] (x, y) dy.$$

Under the above hypothesis \mathcal{K} is absolutely continuous and we have almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} :

$$\mathcal{K}'(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) f(y, u) \right] (x, y) dy.$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}'(x) &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + f(y, u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] (x, y) dy + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta} \\ &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left(\left(\lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + f(y, u) \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) (x, y) dy + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \iff \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x, \beta)}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u(x, \beta) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(x, \alpha)}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u(x, \alpha) = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $0 < \varepsilon < +\infty$, we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x, \beta)}{\partial y} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u(x, \beta) \text{ and} \\ \frac{\partial u(x, \alpha)}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u(x, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary term is then equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u(x, \beta)}{\partial x} \right) u(x, \beta) + \left(\frac{\partial u(x, \alpha)}{\partial x} \right) u(x, \alpha) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dx} \left[(u(x, \alpha))^2 + (u(x, \beta))^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

and finally,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(K(x) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left[(u(x, \alpha))^2 + (u(x, \beta))^2 \right] \right) = 0,$$

thus the expression in parentheses is constant, but

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(x) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left[(u(x, \alpha))^2 + (u(x, \beta))^2 \right] \right) dx < +\infty$$

implies that this constant is zero. This proves the Proposition. \square

Remark 1 If $\varepsilon = 0$ (**Dirichlet condition**), $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ implies $\nabla u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} n$ and this allows us to write

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) (x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) n_1(x, y)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta}$$

vanishes.

If $\varepsilon = +\infty$ (**Neumann condition**), $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ becomes

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta}$$

also vanishes.

The problem (P.1) includes in fact **two types of equations** depending on whether λ is positive or negative.

2.1 Hyperbolic case

Let us present two theorems of nonexistence of nontrivial solutions.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a solution of (P.1), $\lambda > 0$ and f satisfies

$$F(y, u) \geq 0. \tag{A}$$

Then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. We apply formula (2.1) to obtain

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + F(y, u) \right] (x, y) dy = 0.$$

$F(y, u) \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ yield

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and then u is constant, but since

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x, y)|^2 dx dy < +\infty,$$

this constant is necessarily zero. □

Let us now see another type of nonlinearity which also provides a nonexistence result.

Theorem 2 *Let $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a solution of (P.1), $\lambda > 0$ and f satisfying*

$$2F(y, u) - uf(y, u) \geq 0, \quad y \in]\alpha, \beta[. \tag{B}$$

Then the function $j(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |u(x, y)|^2 dy$ is convex on \mathbb{R} .

Remark 2 The convexity of $j(x)$ on \mathbb{R} implies evidently the triviality of the solution u of problem (P.1).

Proof. It is easy to see that almost everywhere on Ω we have

$$u \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) (x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 (u^2)}{\partial x^2} - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 \right) (x, y).$$

Let us multiply equation (P.1) by $\frac{1}{2}u$ and integrate over $]\alpha, \beta[$ to obtain

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) u - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) u + \frac{1}{2} (f(y, u)) u \right] (x, y) dy = 0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\partial^2 (u^2)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} f(y, u)u \right] (x, y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{y=\alpha}^{y=\beta} = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left[(u(x, \alpha))^2 + (u(x, \beta))^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (2.1) yields

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |u(x, y)|^2 dy \right] = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + F(y, u) - \frac{1}{2} u f(y, u) \right] dy.$$

The hypothesis (B) implies that

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |u(x, y)|^2 dy \right] \geq \lambda \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dy$$

and $\lambda > 0$ implies the desired result. □

2.2 Elliptic equations

For the elliptic case, we have a nonexistence result stated in the following manner:

Theorem 3 *Let $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a solution of (P.1), $\lambda < 0$ and f satisfying*

$$2F(y, u) - uf(y, u) \leq 0, \quad y \in]\alpha, \beta[.$$

Then the function $j(x)$ defined in Theorem 2 is convex on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2. □

3 Examples

In this section, we present some examples illustrating the preceding theorems.

Example 1 Let ρ be a function of C^1 , $\rho :]\alpha, \beta[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(y, u) \equiv \rho(y)u$. For $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \rho(y)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

does not have nontrivial solutions.

Example 2 Let us consider the **Klein-Gordon** equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + mu - \theta_1 |u|^{p-1} u - \theta_2 |u|^{q-1} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $m > 0$ is the mass of a particle, θ_1, θ_2 are **positive constants**, p and q are numbers greater than one. The problem (3.2) does not possess nontrivial solutions in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. It suffices to note that

$$F(y, u) - \frac{1}{2}uf(y, u) = \theta_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) |u|^{p+1} + \theta_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) |u|^{q+1}.$$

Example 3 Let ρ be a **nonnegative** function of class C^1 , and ω a parameter, then the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \rho(y) (\omega u + |u|^{\tau-1} u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

does not possess nontrivial solutions in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Remark 3 If $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times]\alpha, +\infty[$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we may get results on nonexistence of solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + f(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P.1)'$$

We find that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 + F(x, u) \right] (x, y) dx = 0.$$

Probably it would be interesting to study the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + f(x, y, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u + \varepsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

4 Application to differential systems

In this last section we study both elliptic and hyperbolic differential systems in $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. **Pucci & Serrin** [11] and **Van der Vorst** [13] have studied elliptic systems on **star-shaped** domains in \mathbb{R}^N . **Van der Vorst** showed that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = g(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where f and g satisfy

$$\begin{cases} f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}), \\ f(u) > 0 \text{ if } u > 0; f(u) < 0 \text{ if } u < 0; f(0) = 0; NF(u) - a_1uf(u) \leq 0, u \neq 0, \\ g(v) > 0 \text{ if } v > 0; g(v) < 0 \text{ if } v < 0; g(0) = 0; NG(v) - a_2vg(v) \leq 0, v \neq 0, \\ N - a_1 - a_2 \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

does not possess nontrivial solutions in $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

We consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = g(v) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{P.2}$$

where f and g satisfy the following hypothesis:

$$\begin{cases} f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}), \\ f(0) = g(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We have

Proposition 2 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(u, v) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a solution of problem (P.2), then, almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} ,*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dy = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

and almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^+

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dx = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4 Assume that f and g satisfy

$$F(u) \cdot G(v) \geq 0. \tag{C}$$

Then the problem (P.2) does not possess nontrivial solutions (u, v) in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let us set

$$\Lambda(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dy$$

and

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dx.$$

Under the above hypothesis $\Lambda(x)$ and $\Gamma(y)$ are absolutely continuous and we have almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} and on \mathbb{R}^+ respectively

$$\Lambda'(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma'(y) = 0,$$

$\Lambda(x)$ and $\Gamma(y)$ are constants and as in Proposition 1, we obtain

$$\Lambda(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(y) \equiv 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 4. From formulae (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dx dy = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + G(v) + F(u) \right] (x, y) dx dy = 0.$$

Adding both formulae, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} [G(v) + F(u)] (x, y) dx dy = 0.$$

Hypotesis (C) implies that

$$F(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

and

$$G(v) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

As in [6, Theorem 1], the problem (P.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u = v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For any one of these equations we check that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left[\lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 \right] (x, y) dy = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

The multiplication by u and integration over $]0, +\infty[$ yield

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (|u|^2) - \lambda \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 \right] (x, y) dy = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Combining formulae (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$\lambda \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} |u(x, y)|^2 (x, y) dy \right] = 4 \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 (x, y) dy \geq 0.$$

If $\lambda > 0$, we conclude as in Theorem 3.

If $\lambda < 0$, (4.3) yields

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (x, y) = 0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} (x, y),$$

and we conclude as in Theorem 2. □

Example 4 Let $g(v) = v$ and $f(u)$ be such that such that $F(u) \geq 0$, then the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = f(u) \text{ in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{P.2}'$$

does not have nontrivial solutions in $H^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let

$$\Delta u = v.$$

(P.2)' reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = v & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \Delta v = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 4. \square

Example 5 Let

$$f(u) = u(u+a)(u+b) \quad \text{with } ab \geq \frac{2}{5}(a^2 + b^2), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$g(v) = v.$$

The system (P.2) does not possess nontrivial solutions, and it is clear that the result of **Van der Vorst** does not permit to conclude it.

References

- [1] BERESTYCKI H., GALLOUËT T. AND KAVIAN O., *Équations de champs scalaires euclidiens non linéaires dans le plan*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I Math., **297** (1983), No. 5, 307–310.
- [2] ESTEBAN M. J. AND LIONS P.-L., *Existence and nonexistence results for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **93** (1982/83), No. 2, 1–14.
- [3] HARAUX A. AND KHODJA B., *Caractère trivial de la solution de certaines équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires dans des ouverts cylindriques de \mathbb{R}^N* , Portugal. Math., **42** (1983/84), No. 2, 127–136.
- [4] KAWANO N., NI W.-M. AND YOTSUTANI S., *A generalized Pohozaev identity and its applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **42** (1990), No. 3, 541–564.
- [5] KIRANE M., NABANA E. AND POHOZAEV S., *A nonexistence result for an elliptic system with dynamic boundary conditions*, private communication.
- [6] KHODJA B., *Équations elliptiques semi linéaires dans des ouverts non bornés de \mathbb{R}^N* , Portugal. Math., **53** (1996), No. 4, 457–470.
- [7] NI W.-M. AND SERRIN J., *Nonexistence theorems for quasilinear partial differential equations*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (1), Suppl. No. 8 (1985), 171–185.
- [8] ÔTANI M., *Existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of some nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal., **76** (1988), No. 1, 140–159.
- [9] PELETIER L. A. AND VAN DER VORST R. C. A. M., *Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems and the biharmonic equation*, Differential Integral Equations. (to appear)
- [10] PROTTER M. H. AND WEINBERGER H. F., *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1967.
- [11] PUCCI P. AND SERRIN J., *A general variational identity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **35** (1986), 681–703.
- [12] POHOŽAEV S. I., *On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **165** (1965), 36–39. (in Russian)
- [13] VAN DER VORST R. C. A. M., *Variational identities and applications to differential systems*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal., **116** (1992), No. 4, 375–398.