Measurement Techniques and Tools

- Types of workload and workload selection
- Workload characterization technique
- Monitors and accounting logs
- Benchmarking
- Case study

Types of Workloads and Workload Selection

Workloads

- Many workloads are traditionally used to compare systems
 - Terminology and workloads mostly date back to time-sharing systems
- Test workload
 - Used for performance studies
 - Real or synthetic
 - Real workload
 - One that is observed on a system as it is being used in normal operation
 - It cannot be repeated; no suitable for testing
 - Synthetic workload
 - It is developed for performance studies with characteristics similar to real workload
 - It is repeatable
 - No sensitive data involved in testing

Workloads Examples

- Example: latency evaluation for web based transactions
 - Real workload
 - Transaction request from a browser
 - Latency includes
 - Network latency: communication time and delays due to queuing
 - Server latency: processing time, which is a function of load
 - Hard to repeat latency results as network congestion and server load may vary
 - Synthetic workload
 - Simulated transaction with same characteristics as real
 - Simulated network conditions using e.g., DummyNet tool
 - Results are easy to repeat as both server load and network latencies can be controlled
- Example: memory system performance evaluation
 - Real workload
 - Real application program
 - Order of memory accesses may not be the same over multiple experiments
 - Synthetic workload
 - Memory reference trace
 - Same order of memory references for all experiments

Motivation

- Performance bottlenecks
 - Was CPU
 - Now, it is memory
- Comparison of various systems





Types of Workload

- Single instruction (e.g., addition)
- Instruction mixes
- Kernels
- Synthetic programs
- Application benchmarks

Addition Instruction

- Historically, processor performance was most important part of computer system performance
 - Faster processor meant faster computer system
- Number of instructions that computers executed were few
 - Addition was most frequent one
 - Thus, computer with faster addition instruction was more powerful
 - Workload: addition instruction
 - Metric: addition time
- Why performance evaluation based on addition instruction is insufficient for today's computers?
 - CPU is no longer a bottleneck resource in computer systems
 - Pipelining and superscalar techniques enhance CPU performance
 - Bottleneck resource is memory subsystem

Instruction Mixes

- Number of instructions grew with processor complexity
 - Addition instruction was not enough
 - Instead, use relative frequencies of several instructions on real systems as weighting factors to get an average instruction time
- An instruction mix is a specification of various instructions coupled with their usage frequency
 - Compute single number metric: CPI or average instruction time
 - Inverse of average instruction time is also used: MIPS or MFLOPS
 - Use these measure to compare various CPUs
 - Several instruction mixes are used in computer industry
- Gibson mix
 - Developed by Jack Gibson in 1959 for use with IBM 704 systems
 - Processor speed was determined by measuring memory cycle time, addition time, or an average of addition and multiplication times
 - Gibson mix extended averaging to 13 different classes of instructions
 - Weights are based on frequency of operations on IBM 704 and IBM 650 systems

Gibson Instruction Mix

1.	Load and store	31.2
2.	Fixed-point add and subtract	6.1
3.	Compares	3.8
4.	Branches	16.6
5.	Floating add and subtract	6.9
6.	Floating multiply	3.8
7.	Floating divide	1.5
8.	Fixed-point multiply	0.6
9.	Fixed-point divide	0.2
10.	Shifting	4.4
11.	Logical, And, Or	1.6
12.	Instructions not using registers	5.3
13.	Indexing	18.0
		100.0

Drawbacks of Using Instruction Mixes

- Computer architecture has become too complex
 - Classes of instructions that are not reflected in a mix
 - Supersclar, pipelining, cache pre-fetching, address translation (TLB), speculative execution, etc.
 - Execution time is highly variable due to addressing modes, cache hit rates, pipeline efficiency, and interference from other applications
- An instruction mix is not a real program
 - A mix will have a fixed contribution from each type of operation
 - Real program/data may use sparse data structures
 - Most of multiplies with 0 will be much faster
 - Some conditional branches are based on data
- Measure only the speed of a processor as a single number
 - May not reflect the system performance, which is limited by bottleneck resource
 - Useful when processor is the bottleneck resource

Kernels

- Limitations of instruction mixes due to architectural complexity lead to the use of kernels
 - A kernel is a generalization of instruction mix
 - Kernel literally means nucleus
- A set of instructions that constitutes a higher level function
 - Most frequent of such functions can be used as workload
 - Aka a **kernel**
 - A processing kernels solely exercises processor without using I/O devices
- It is possible to identify a kernel in several applications
 - A set of common operations e.g., sorting, matrix inversion, differential equation solution, etc.
 - Different processors can be compared using kernels
 - Kernels are not selected based on any measurements
 - They simply become popular due to use by many researchers

Examples of Kernels

- Scalar product of two vectors (BLAS1)
- Matrix vector multiply (BLAS2)
- Matrix inversion
- Sorting
- CFD kernels in NAS benchmarks
 - Numerical solution of partial differential equations
 - Examples
 - FT
 - LU

Disadvantage: kernels typically do not exercise I/O subsystem

Synthetic Programs

- Synthetic programs were developed to overcome limitations of kernels
 - Exerciser loops for I/O devices
 - Specified number of I/O requests to determine device performance
 - Often written in high-level languages for portability
- First exerciser loop written by Buchholz (in 1969) was called a synthetic program
 - Other exercise loops are used to measure OS services
 - Examples: process creating, memory allocation, etc.
- Example: a synthetic program to evaluate I/O performance
 - Make a number of disk read and write requests
 - Determine latency of read or write
 - Control parameters
 - Number of iterations
 - Size of blocks moved to and from disk
 - Buffered vs. unbuffered
 - Metrics
 - Throughput: ops/sec
 - Latency: seek time, read latency, and write latency

Example: Synthetic Workload Generator

```
DIMENSION Record(500)
!Control parameters:
                               !Repeat count for computation
      Num_Computes=500
                               !Number of records read
      Num_Reads=35
                               !Number of records written
      Num Writes=40
                               !Repeat count for the experiment
      Num_Iterations=1000
!Open files:
      OPEN(UNIT=1,NAME='In.dat',TYPE='Old',
     1FORM='Unformatted', ACCESS='Direct')
      OPEN(UNIT=2,NAME='Out.dat',TYPE='New'.
     1FORM='unformatted'.ACCESS='Direct')
                                       !Record starting time
      CALL Get_Time(CPU1,Elapsed1)
      DO 500 Iteration=1,Num_Iterations
Perform a number of read I/Os
      DO 100 i=1,Num_Reads
      READ(1'i).Record
      CONTINUE
100
!Do computation
      DO 200 j=1,Num_Computes
      DO 200 i=1,500
      Record(i)=1 + i + i*i + i*i*i
200
!Perform a number of write I/Os
      DO 300 i=1.Num_Writes
      WRITE(2'i),Record
       CONTINUE
 300
 500
       CONTINUE
       CALL Get_Time(CPU2,Elapsed2) !Get ending time
 !Close files:
       CLOSE(UNIT=1)
       CLOSE(UNIT=2)
       CPU_Time=(CPU2-CPU1)/Num_Iterations
       Elapsed_Time=(Elapsed2-Elapsed1)/Num_Iterations
       TYPE *, 'CPU time per iteration is ', CPU_Time
       TYPE *.'Elasped time per iteration is ',Elapsed_Time
       STOP
       END
```

Pros and Cons of Synthetic Programs

- Advantages
 - Overcomes limitation of kernels
 - Exercises I/O and OS services
 - Can be developed quickly and given to vendors
 - Not necessary to use real data files that may contain sensitive information
 - Programs can easily be modified and ported
 - Once developed, measurement process is automated on
- Disadvantages
 - Too small
 - Do not make representative memory or disk references
 - Page faults and disk cache may not be properly exercised
 - CPU and I/O operations overlap may not be representative
 - May not represent multi-user environments

Application Benchmarks

- Benchmark programs are suitable for a particular application
 - Scientific applications
 - Linear algebra
 - Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)
 - Banking and air line reservations
 - Databases
 - Transaction processing systems
 - WWW
- Exercise almost all sorts of system resources
 - CPU
 - Operating system
 - Cache and memory subsystem
 - I/O devices
 - Network
- Benchmarking = measurement based comparison among systems
 - Workload in such studies is referred to as benchmarks

Popular Benchmarks

- Sieve
 - An algorithm to find all prime numbers below a given number n
 - Consider all number from 1, ..., n
 - Strike out all multiples of $k = 2, 3, ..., n^{1/2}$
 - Remaining number are prime numbers
 - A high-level language program can be written and run on multiple systems to compare their performance
 - Performance depends on
 - Cache/memory overhead and data structure used to lay out the dataset
- Ackermann's function
 - It is a recursive function
 - Assesses the efficiency of procedure-calling mechanisms in highlevel languages
 - Determines execution time per call, # of instructions executed per call, and amount of stack space required per call

- Whetstone
 - Used at British Central Computer Agency
 - The kernel consists of 11 modules to match the frequency of operations of 949 ALGOL programs
 - Represents small engineering/scientific applications
 - FP workload
 - Exercises processor
 - Array addressing, fixed and FP arithmetic, subroutine calls
 - Results are measures as KWIPS (Kilo Whetstone Inst/Sec)
- Dhrystone
 - Developed in 1984 at Siemens
 - Lots of procedure calls
 - Kernel represents system programming environments
 - Integer workload
 - Does not exercise FP unit or I/O devices
 - Results presented as DRIPS (Dhrystone Inst/Sec)

- Linpack
 - Developed by Jack Dongarra at Argonne National Lab in 1983
 - Programs to solve dense systems of linear equations
 - High percentage of FP add and multiply instructions
 - Most of the time is consumed in as set of subroutines called Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS)
 - Compares systems based on execution rates (MFLOPS)
 - Popular for comparing FP performance
- Livermore loops
 - Workload consists of a set of 24 separate tests
 - Tests dominated by large vectorizable scientific computations
 - Abstracted from real scientific (supercomputing) applications
 - Applications represent FP workloads
 - Measure performance in MFLOPS

- NAS parallel benchmarks
 - Benchmark is based on CFD kernels that solve PDEs
 - It is the first paper-and-pencil benchmark
 - It simply specifies the problem
 - Anyone is allowed to use specifications to write their own code
 - Lots of room to optimize code for specific architectural features
 - Flexibility to use advanced compiler techniques for vectorization or parallelization
 - It is often used to compare the performance of parallel and vector supercomputing systems
 - Scientific FP code
 - Almost all kernels are memory-intesive
 - Performance is measured in terms of MFLOPs as well as execution time on one or more processors
 - Several implementations are also available now

- Debit-Credit Benchmark
 - Application benchmark for comparing transaction processing systems
 - Benchmark represents a distributed banking network
 - Consists of several branch offices, each with tellers

Δ.,

- Customers wait in queues for next teller
- Select suitable parameters for a study: number of branches, tellers, and account holders
- Systems compared with price-performance ratios
- TPC (Transaction Processing Performance Council) benchmark is a variant of debit-credit benchmark

Begin-Transaction	
Read message from the termina	l (100 bytes)
Rewrite account	(100 bytes, random)
Write history	(50 bytes, sequential)
Rewrite teller	(100 bytes, random)
Rewrite branch	(100 bytes, random)
Write message to the terminal	(200 bytes)
Commit-Transaction	<u>, -</u> ,



-Abdul Waheed

- SPEC benchmark suite
 - Standardized set of benchmarks developed by System Performance Evaluation Cooperative (SPEC)
 - SPEC benchmark suites: 1992, 1995, 1998, etc.
 - Based on programs contributed by scientists and engineers
 - GCC Gnu C compiler
 - Espresso
 Electronic design automation
 - Spice Electronic design automation
 - Doduc
 Monte Carlo simulation on nuclear reactor
 - NASA7 FP kernels using matrix ops submitted by NASA
 - LI Time to solve 8-queens problem by LISP interpreter
 - Eqntott Translates boolean equation to a truth table
 - Matrix300 Linpack operations on 300x300 matrices
 - Fpppp Quantum chemistry benchmark
 - TomcatvVectorized mesh generation program
 - These benchmarks exercise CPU, FPU, and memory subsystems
 - Metric: SPECmark is determined as geometric mean of relative throughput (SPECthruput) as measured wrt a VAX-11/780

SPEC2000 CPU Performance Comparisons

