

# Computer Networks COE 549 Random Access

#### Tarek Sheltami KFUPM CCSE COE http://faculty.kfupm.edu.sa/coe/tarek/coe549.htm



# Outline

- Progressive backoff algorithm
- Progressive ramp up algorithm
- Some simulation results



### Three Routing Protocols: RP-10, RP-30, RP-120

- Discard weak links.
  - S/N in the absence of interference is below 10 (for RP-10), 30 (for RP-30), or 120 (for RP-120)
  - RP-10, RP-30, RP-120 are routing protocols that avoid links with SNR < 10, 30 and 120dB respectively</li>
- Keep the rest of the links.
  - Use them to construct minimum hop routes
- Different tradeoffs:
  - RP-10 needs few hops.
  - RP-120 is robust to interference.
  - RP-30 is balanced.



# **Routing Tables**









## An example network



- Reception is successful as long as the SINR is greater than a threshold  $\gamma_T = 10 \text{ dB}$ .
- All transmitters transmit with rate R = 1 Mbps.
- Maximum transmitter power is  $P_{\text{max}} = 0.3 \text{ W}$ .
- Power gains decay exponentially with distance, with decay exponent  $\alpha = 4$ , and there is no fading:

$$G_{ij} = K d_{ij}^{-\alpha}.$$

• Nodes that can communicate directly in the absence of interference are connected by a line in the figure.

3/8/2008



### An Improvement: Slotted Time (Tang '99, [13])







#### Even better: Multiple Minislot Pairs (Toumpis '03 [14])



- Many designs possible:
  - Progressive Backoff Algorithm.
  - Progressive Ramp Up Algorithm.



# Progressive Backoff Algorithm: Overview

- Initially, all nodes with packets contend
- Nodes that are being unsuccessful:
  - Either backoff (so others will have a better chance)
  - Or remain in contention, but pick a new destination (if such exists)
- Nodes that succeed, use the rest of the slots for power control:
  - Energy is conserved
  - Interference is reduced for the rest



# Progressive Backoff Algorithm: The Rules

- Nodes are divided in three groups: Contending, locked, silent.
- At the beginning of the first RTS minislot, nodes with packets form the contending group, the rest form the silent group.
- At the beginning of the i-th RTS minislot:
  - Silent nodes listen to the channel.
  - Contending nodes transmit to potential destination with maximum power.
  - Locked nodes transmit to destination (with power specified at previous slot).
- At the beginning of the i-th CTS minislot:
  - Contending and locked nodes remain silent.
  - Silent nodes that received an RTS packet from a contending node in the previous minislot transmit a CTS packet, specifying new power for the transmitter.
  - Silent nodes that received an RTS packet from a locked node transmit a CTS packet only if S/N was greater than  $(1 + \Delta)\gamma_T$ .
- At the end of the i-th CTS minislot:
  - Contending nodes that received a CTS become locked.
  - Contending nodes that did not receive a CTS:
    - With probability p remain contending, but select new destination.
    - With probability 1 p become silent.

3/8/2008



#### Operation of PBOA under RP-120 (RTS1-CTS1)





#### Operation of PBOA under RP-120 (RTS2-CTS2)





#### Operation of PBOA under RP-120 (RTS3-CTS3)





### Parameter Selection: Throughput versus persistence probability p



- With more slots, nodes should be more persistent.
- Intuition: Backingoff should be progressive over all slots.



### Progressive Ramp Up Algorithm: Overview

- PRUA works in the opposite way from PBOA.
- At the beginning of the contention period, nobody transmits.
- As the contention period progresses, every now and then a node will try to grab the channel.
- Successful nodes persist, unsuccessful ones may try again later.
- Nodes that do not transmit, monitor the channel to gain information about the competition and make educated decisions.
- Nodes pick destinations for which the conditions appear to be most favorable.
- A transmission schedule is slowly being built.



### Progressive Ramp Up Algorithm: The Rules

- At the beginning of the i-th RTS minislot a node A will transmit an RTS packet:
  - If it transmitted an RTS in the previous RTS minislot and heard a CTS packet in reply.
  - Or all of the following conditions are satisfied:
    - A did not transmit a CTS packet in the previous minislot pair (i.e. is not awaiting a packet from another node)
    - The received power in the previous CTS minislot did not exceed a threshold P<sub>T</sub> (otherwise it may interfere with other transmissions)
    - If A has not decoded an RTS in the previous RTS minislot, it must have a non-empty queue.
    - If A has correctly decoded an RTS packet in the previous RTS minislot from some node C, then node A will need to have a packet in the queue (otherwise it makes no sense to try to transmit), and in addition this packet must be intended for some node B, such that B is able to decode the packet from node A in the presence of interference from node C, and no other source of interference..
    - A must perform a biased coin toss, with probability p, and succeed.
- At the beginning of the i-th CTS minislot, whoever received an RTS packet addressed to him, replies with a CTS packet.
- At the beginning of the data slot, whoever received a CTS packet at the last CTS minislot transmits a data packet.

3/8/2008



#### Operation of PRUA under RP-120 (RTS1-CTS1)





#### Operation of PRUA under RP-120 (RTS2-CTS2)





#### Operation of PRUA under RP-120 (RTS3-CTS3)





#### The Performance of PBOA, PRUA



Fig. 3. Uniform capacities versus the routing protocol for the example net-



### **Energy Efficient**



- (a) IEEE 802.11, RP-10.
- (b) PBOA, RP-10.
- (c) PRUA, RP-10.
- (d) IEEE 802.11, RP-30.
- (e) PBOA, RP-30.
- (f) PRUA, RP-30.
- (g) IEEE 802.11, RP-120.
- (h) PBOA, RP-120.
- (i) PRUA, RP-120.



### Throughput-Delay Curves (with RP-120)



- (a) IEEE 802.11.
- (b) PBOA.
- (c) PRUA.
- (d) Uniform capacity, ON/OFF power control.
- (e) Uniform capacity, Optimal power control.
- (f) Bound on delay due to packetizing of data.



# Discussion

- RUA learns from the surroundings and hence achieves gher throughput than PBOA
- 30A uses power control  $\rightarrow$  Energy efficient
- oth PRUA and PBOA achieve better throughput and delay erformance than CSMA/CA
- PRUA, nodes transmit at maximum power and ansmissions are always successful
- Thus energy needed does not change with throughput
- outing protocols that use stronger links result in lower



# Discussion..

BOA/PRUA both need time synchronization across all users, where as SMA does not

SMA performs poorly when weak links are not discarded during route liscovery

- On weak links, some of the nodes do not even receive the CTS messages correctly. This leads to increased collisions and performance penalty
- A large performance gap still exists between optimal and PBOA/PRUA nethods
- Optimal schemes require co-ordination between nodes that are arbitrarily spaced apart
- Impossible to achieve such co-ordination using distributed protocols



### References

ros Toumpis and Andrea J. Goldsmith, "NEW MEDIA ACCESS PROTOCOLS FOR WIRELESS AD HOC WORKS BASED ON CROSS-LAYER PRINCIPLES," IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS IMUNICATIONS, VOL. 5, NO. 8, AUGUST 2006



### Flowchart of PBOA





## Flowchart of PRUA

