Using an LALR(1) Parser Generator

- ✤ Yacc is an LALR(1) parser generator
 - * Developed by S.C. Johnson and others at AT&T Bell Labs
 - *** Yacc** is an acronym for **Yet another compiler compiler**
 - * Yacc generates an integrated parser, not an entire compiler
- ✤ One popular compatible version of Yacc is **Bison**
 - * Part of the Gnu software distributed by the Free Software Foundation
- ✤ Input to yacc is called yacc specification
 - * The .y extention is a convention for yacc specification (example: parser.y)
- ✤ Yacc produces an entire parser module in C
 - * Parser module can be compiled and linked to other modules
 - * yacc parser.y (command produces y.tab.c)
 - * cc -c y.tab.c (command produces y.tab.o)
 - *** y.tab.o** can be linked to other object files

Yacc Basics

- The parser generated by yacc is a C function called yyparse()
- **yyparse()** is an LALR(1) parser
- **yparse()** calls **yylex()** repeatedly to obtain the next input token
 - * The function **yylex(**) can be hand-coded in C or generated by lex
- **yyparse()** returns an integer value
 - ***** 0 is returned if parsing succeeds and end of file is reached
 - ★ 1 is returned if parsing fails due to a syntax error
- ✤ A yacc specification file has three sections:

```
declarations
%%
productions
%%
user subroutines
```

The %% separates between sections

Example of a Yacc Specification

The following is a yacc specification for an expression sequence

%token M	JMBER 300	}	Token Declaration
%%			
ExprSeq	: ExprSeq ',' Expr Expr ;		Production Rules
Expr	: Expr '+' Term Expr '-' Term Term ;		
Term	: Term '*' Factor Term '/' Factor Factor ;		
Factor	: '(' Expr ')' NUMBER ;		

Yacc Declarations

- The first section can include a variety of declarations
- A literal block is C code delimited by %{ and %}
 - * Ordinary C declarations and **#include** directives are placed in literal block
 - * Declarations made in literal block can be used in second and third sections
- Tokens should be declared in the first section
 - * Tokens can either be named or quoted character literals (example, '+')
 - * Named tokens must be declared to distinguish them from non-terminals
- ✤ A token declaration is of the form:

%token token1 value1 token2 value2 . . .

- * The integer values define the token codes used by the scanner
- * All declared tokens should have positive code values
- * Assignment of code values to tokens is optional
- * Tokens not assigned an explicit code receive an implicit code value

Production Rules

- The productions section defines the grammar that will be parsed
- Productions are of the form:

A : X_1 . . . X_n ;

A is a non-terminal on the left-hand side of the production

 $\mathbf{x_1}$... $\mathbf{x_n}$ are zero or more grammar symbols on the right-hand side A production may span multiple lines and should terminate with a semicolon

✤ A sequence of productions with same LHS may be written as:



Equivalently, it may be written as:



Start Symbol and Auxiliary Code

- The LHS of first production is assumed to be the start symbol
- You may also declare the start symbol in the declaration section

%start name

- * This will make **name** as the start symbol
- * Required when start symbol does not appear on LHS of first production
- Additional code can be provided in third section as necessary
- * Example: yylex() can be implemented in third section
- Alternatively, yylex() can be generated by lex
- Error reporting and recovery routines may be added as well

Attribute Values and Semantic Actions

- Every grammar symbol has an associated attribute value
- ✤ An attribute value can represent anything we choose
 - * The value of an expression
 - * The data type of an expression
 - * The translated code
- ✤ Yacc associates an attribute with every token and non-terminal
 - ***** Token attributes are returned by the scanner in the **yylval** variable
 - * Non-terminal attributes are computed while parsing
- Attribute values are pushed an popped on a semantic stack
 - * The semantic stack operates in parallel with the parser stack
- ✤ A semantic action in Yacc is a code fragment delimited by { }
 - * Executed when yacc matches a rule in the grammar
 - * Semantic Actions can be used to make calls to semantic routines

Yacc Specification for a Simple Calculator

%{	
<pre>#include <stdio.h></stdio.h></pre>	
<pre>extern int yylex();</pre>	
%}	
<pre>%token NUMBER 300</pre>	
%%	
<pre>ExprSeq : ExprSeq ',' Expr {printf("%d\n",\$3</pre>);}
Expr {printf("%d\n",\$1);}
Expr : Expr '+' Term {\$\$ = \$1 + \$3;}	
$ $ Expr '-' Term {\$\$ = \$1 - \$3;}	Production
$ \text{Term} \{ \$\$ = \$1; \}$	Rules and
;	
Term : Term '*' Factor $\{\$\$ = \$1 * \$3;\}$	Semantic
Term '/' Factor {\$\$ = \$1 / \$3;}	Actions
Factor $\{\$\$ = \$1;\}$	
Factor : '(' Expr ')' {\$\$ = \$2;}	
$ NUMBER { $$ = $1; }$	

Yacc: an LALR(1) Parser Generator – 8

Compiler Design – © Muhammed Mudawwar

The \$ Notation

- ✤ The \$ notation in Yacc is used to represent the attribute values
- ✤ \$\$ is the attribute value of the left-hand side nonterminal
- \$1, \$2, ... are the attribute values of right-hand side symbols
 \$1 is attribute value of first symbol, \$2 is attribute of second, ... etc
- ✤ Yacc uses the \$ notation to locate attributes on semantic stack
- * Consider A : $X_1 \cdot X_n$;
- ✤ Just before reducing the above production ...

* 1 = stack [top-n+1], 2 = stack [top-n+2], ..., n = stack [top]

- ✤ When reducing the above production ...
 - ***** \$n, ..., \$2, \$1 are popped from semantic stack
 - ***** \$\$ is pushed on top of semantic stack in place of \$1
 - * top = top n + 1; stack [top] =

Attribute Data Types and %union

- Unless explicitly specified, the default type of attributes is int
 The types of \$\$, \$1, \$2, ... is integer by default
- Suppose, we want floating-point values for numbers
 - We can change the types of \$\$, \$1, \$2, ... to double by placing
 #define YYSTYPE double in the literal block
- ✤ The elements of the semantic stack are of type *YYSTYPE*
- ✤ In general, we may associate different types to different attributes
- The %union declaration identifies all possible attribute types %union { ... field declarations ... }
- The fields of a %union declaration are copied into a C union ** YYSTYPE* is defined to be the C union type
- ✤ Yacc puts the generated C union in the generated output file

%union and %type Declarations

```
    Example of a %union declaration
    %union {
        Operator op; char* name;
        Treenode* tree; Symbol* sym;
    }
}
```

- ✤ We associate the fields in %union with tokens and nonterminals
- A %token declaration may specify the attribute type of a token %token <name> ID %token <op> ADDOP MULOP
 - * The attribute of ADDOP and MULOP is an op of type Operator
 - * The attribute of ID is a name of type char*
- Type of a nonterminal is specified with a %type declaration %type <tree> Expr Term Factor
 - * The attribute of Expr, Term, and Factor is a tree of type Treenode*

Generating Syntax Trees for Expressions

```
%union {
  Operator
                    char*
            op;
                              name;
  Treenode* tree; Symbol*
                              sym;
}
%token <op> ADDOP MULOP
%token <name> ID
%token <sym>
               NUMBER
%type <tree> E T F
%%
                {$$ = new Treenode($2, $1, $3);};
    E ADDOP T
 •
E
                \{\$\$ = \$1;\};
E :
    Т
                {$$ = new Treenode($2, $1, $3);};
T : T MULOP F
                \{\$\$ = \$1;\};
T : F
                \{\$\$ = \$2;\};
F: '(' E ')'
                {$$ = (Treenode*) idTable.lookup($1);};
  : ID
F
                \{\$\$ = (Treenode*) \$1;\};
    NUMBER
F
```

Ambiguity and Conflicts in Yacc

- Parser generators of all varieties reject ambiguous grammars
- * Ambiguous grammars fail to be LR(k) for any value of k
- Yacc will report conflicts: shift-reduce and reduce-reduce
- ✤ In some cases, a conflict is due to ambiguity in the grammar
- In other cases, a conflict is a limitation of the LALR(1) method
 Only one token of lookahead is used by Yacc
 - * A grammar may require more than one token of lookahead
- ✤ A shift-reduce conflict occurs when two parses exist
 - ***** One of the parses completes a production rule **the reduce action**
 - * A second parse shifts a token the shift action
- ✤ A reduce-reduce conflict occurs when ...
 - * Same lookahead token could complete two different productions

Ambiguity and Conflicts – cont'd

- Example of a shift-reduce conflict:
 - E : E '+' E | id ;
- For the input id + id + id there are two parses:
 - * (id + id) + id that uses the reduce action, and
 - ***** id + (id + id) that uses the shift action
- ✤ Yacc always chooses the shift action in a shift-reduce conflict
- Example of a reduce-reduce conflict:
 - S:X |Y;
 - X:A;
 - Y:A;
- Reduce-reduce conflicts represent mistakes in the grammar
- ✤ Yacc reduces the **first production** in a reduce-reduce conflict

More on Conflicts

- Having two productions with the same right-hand side does not imply a reduce-reduce conflict
- the following example does not cause any conflict
 - * Lookahead token uniquely determines the production to be reduced
 - S:Xb|YC;
 - X : A ;
 - Y:A;
- Some reduce-reduce conflicts are due to the limitations of Yacc
- Reduce-reduce conflict caused by the limitation of LALR(1)

```
S:XBC|YBd;
X:A;
Y:A;
```

Using Yacc with Ambiguous Grammars

- Ambiguity, if controlled, can be of value
- ✤ An ambiguous grammar provides a shorter specification
 - * Can be more natural than any equivalent unambiguous grammar
 - Produces more efficient parsers for real programming languages
- ✤ For language constructs like expressions ...
 - * An ambiguous grammar is more natural and more efficient
 - E : E ADDOP E | E MULOP E | ... | '(' E ')' | ID
- Operator precedence and associativity eliminate the ambiguity
- * Most binary operators, like +, , *, and /, are left-associative
- Few, such as the exponentiation operator, are right-associative
- Few, typically the relational operators, do not associate at all
 * Two relational operators cannot be combined at all

Operator Precedence and Associativity

- Yacc provides operator precedence and associativity rules for ...
 * Eliminating ambiguity and resolving shift-reduce conflicts
- Example on precedence and associativity of operators:
 - %nonassoc RELOP
 - %left ADDOP
 - %left MULOP
 - %right EXPOP
- The order of declarations defines precedence of operators
 - *** RELOP** has least precedence and **EXPOP** has the highest
 - * ADDOP has higher precedence than RELOP
- % left declarations means left-associative
- %right declarations means right-associative
- % nonassoc declarations means non-associative

Resolving Conflicts

- The operator precedence and associativity resolve conflicts
- ✤ Given the two productions:
 - E: E op1 E;
 - E : E op 2 E ;
- Suppose E op1 E is on top of parser stack and next token is **op2**
- ✤ If op2 has a higher precedence than op1, we shift
- ✤ If op2 has a lower precedence than op1, we reduce
- ✤ If op2 has an equal precedence to op1, we use associativity
 - ***** If **op1** and **op2** are **left-associative**, we **reduce**
 - * If **op1** and **op2** are **right-associative**, we **shift**
 - * If **op1** and **op2** are **non-associative**, we have a **syntax error**