

The American University in Cairo
Computer Science Department
CS 447 Final Exam – Spring 1999

Professor : Muhammed Mudawwar

Date : June 1st, 1999

Duration : 2 hours

1. (10 pts) Answer the following:

- a) Discuss two approaches of entering record field names in a symbol table.
- b) Discuss two symbol table implementations to handle scopes in a block-structured language.

2. Consider the following ambiguous grammar for expressions:

$Expr \rightarrow Expr \text{ or } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow Expr \text{ and } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow Expr \text{ relop } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow Expr \text{ addop } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow Expr \text{ multop } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow \text{not } Expr$
 $Expr \rightarrow (Expr)$
 $Expr \rightarrow \text{intconst}$
 $Expr \rightarrow \text{realconst}$
 $Expr \rightarrow \text{boolconst}$
 $Expr \rightarrow \text{id}$

Where **relop** represents one of the six relational operators "=", "<>", "<", "<=", ">", and ">=", **addop** represents "+" and "-", and **multop** represents "*" and "/".

- a) (10 pts) Write a Yacc specification for the above grammar. Eliminate the ambiguity using the operator precedence and associativity rules assuming that the **or** operator has the least precedence and the **not** operator has the highest precedence.
- b) (5 pts) In Yacc, is it possible to place operators with different associativity (left, right, and non-associative) at the same precedence level? Explain why such specification is allowed or disallowed?
- c) (10 pts) Expressions are sometimes translated into Postfix notation. Add action rules to the above Yacc specification to translate expressions into postfix notation. For example, $(a \text{ or } b < c + a / d) * c$ translates into $a b c a d / + < \text{or } c *$. The postfix expression should be a string attribute to the non-terminal *Expr*.

3. Consider the following grammar:

- (0) $S' \rightarrow S \$$
- (1) $S \rightarrow \text{ID} := A ;$
- (2) $A \rightarrow \text{ID} := A$
- (3) $A \rightarrow E$
- (4) $E \rightarrow E + P$
- (5) $E \rightarrow P$
- (6) $P \rightarrow \text{ID}$
- (7) $P \rightarrow (A)$

- a) (10 pts) construct the LR(0) Finite State Machine of the above grammar.
- b) (7 pts) construct the LR(0) action and goto parsing tables. Is the grammar LR(0)?
- c) (6 pts) construct the SLR(1) action and goto parsing tables. Is the grammar SLR(1)?
- d) (7 pts) Using the SLR(1) table of part (c), trace the parse of **ID := ID := ID + (ID) ; \$** by showing the content of the parse stack, remaining input and parser action at each step.

4. Consider the following LL(1) grammar G:

- 1: $E \rightarrow F R Q$
- 2: $Q \rightarrow + F R Q$
- 3: $Q \rightarrow \lambda$
- 4: $R \rightarrow * F R$
- 5: $R \rightarrow \lambda$
- 6: $F \rightarrow (E)$
- 7: $F \rightarrow \mathbf{id}$

- a) (10 pts) Calculate the Predict sets for all productions and construct the LL(1) parsing table for grammar G. Use the production numbers specified above.
- b) (8 pts) Write a recursive descent parser for grammar G. Four parsing routines are required. Assume the existence of a match routine, and a lookahead token.
- c) (7 pts) Using a nonrecursive predictive parser, show the parsing of the input string **id*(id+id)\$**. At each step show the content of the stack, remaining input, and parser action.

5. a) (4 pts) Show a DFA that corresponds with $((a | b)^* (c | d)^+ | aabb$

- b) (6pts) Show a DFA and write a regular expression for matching a Pascal-like comment delimited by (* and *). Individual *'s and)'s may appear in the comment body, but the pair *) may not.