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## Lecture Contents

1. The channel allocation problem
2. Multiple access protocols
3. Ethernet
4. Wireless LANs
5. Broadband Wireless
6. Bluetooth, RFID
7. Data link layer switching

These slides are based on the Tanenbaum's textbook and original author slide

## Medium Access Control (MAC)

- Data link layer typically divided into
- Logical link control (LLC), and
- Medium access control (MAC)
- MAC determined how to access the medium and transmit the information
- Point-to-point link - MAC is simple
- Shared media - ?
- The central theme of the chapter is how to allocate a single broadcast channel among competing users.


## The Channel Allocation Problem - Static Allocation

- Traffic:
- Bursty - data (variable/random intensity)
- Non-bursty - constant arrival rate (bits, frames, etc.)
- FDM - an example of static allocation scheme
- System bandwidth B Hz is divided equally between N users - each user has $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N} \mathrm{Hz}$
- Excellent for non-bursty traffic but VERY poor for highly bursty traffic.
- Hard capacity limit - if more than N users want to access the channel $\rightarrow$ blocked
- Same arguments apply for time division multiplexing (TDM) as well


## The Channel Allocation

Problem - Static Allocation (2)

- Assume total capacity $=\mathrm{Cb} / \mathrm{s}$, frames arrive with $\lambda$ frames $/ \mathrm{sec}$ and have average length of $1 / \mu$ bits, then the mean time delay $T$ is given by

$$
T=\frac{1}{\mu \mathrm{C}-\lambda}
$$

- The above formula is valid for an $M / M / 1$ queue setting
- Now, divide the total capacity in to N sub-channels (FDM or TDM) and let the frame arrivals per sub-channel to be $\lambda / N$. The mean time delay now, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$, is given by
- It is clear than TN is N times the original T - This is referred to by the scaling effect for $M / M / 1$ queues; refer to queueing slides.
- Conclusion - Static allocation is very bad for bursty traffic
- No need to reserve the channel for the entire duration of the bursty traffic session


## Dynamic Channel Allocation Assumptions

- Independent traffic - N independent sources
(e.g. computers, telephones, users)
- Average number of arrivals during $\Delta t$ is equal to $\lambda \Delta t$.
- Once a frame is generated, the source is blocked till the frame has been successfully transmitted
- Poisson model - mathematically tractable.
- Single channel - only a single channel is available for all communications
- Observable collisions
- Continuous or slotted time
- Carrier sense versus no carrier sense


## Multiple Access Protocols Pure ALOHA

- Two versions
- Pure ALOHA
- Slotted ALOHA
- Pure ALOHA - users transmit whenever they have data to be sent
- Assumptions:
- Group of N terminals send frames to a central computer
- Correctly received frames are acknowledged on the downlink channel
- Frames not acknowledged (i.e. were not received or not correctly received) are scheduled for retransmission
- Two or more frame overlapping in time are said to be collided
- ALOHA is a contention system


## Multiple Access Protocols Pure ALOHA (2)

- PURE ALOHA = Start of transmission for frames can be at any point in time
- Example below - 5 terminals transmitting frames whenever the frames are ready to be sent
- Observe the two collision events

User


## Multiple Access Protocols Slotted ALOHA (3)

- Slotted ALOHA = Start of transmission for frames can be only at slot border
- Time axis is divided into equal slot periods equal to the frame time
- Frames arriving in one slot can be transmitted at the beginning of the next slot


## Throughput of Pure/Slotted ALOHA (4)

- Throughput - fraction of transmitted frames that are correctly received per frame time.
- Assume new frames generation follow Poisson distribution average of N frames per frame time
- Note the channel can handle at most 1 frame per frame time
- For reasonable throughput we expect $0<\mathrm{N}<1$.
- Further assume that old and new frames generated follow Poisson distribution - average of G frames per frame time
- Clearly G >= N
- Throughput, S, is the fraction of G that do NOT collide
$\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{G}$ Prob [ no transmissions from the rest of the population in the vulnerable period]
= G PO
where G - frames per frame time represent the average load injected into the system


## Throughput of Pure/Slotted ALOHA (5)

- Vulnerable period for pure ALOHA is of length equal to TWO frame time
- Vulnerable period for Slotted ALOHA is of length equal to ONE frame time
- Prob of $k$ frames generated during a given frame time in which $G$ frames are expected is given by the Poisson distribution:

$$
\mathrm{Pk}=\frac{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{k}!------}
$$

- Therefore, PO is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PO} & =(2 \mathrm{G})^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-(2 \mathrm{G})} / 0!=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{G}} \leftarrow \text { Pure ALOHA } \\
& =(1 \mathrm{G})^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-(1 \mathrm{G})} / 0!=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{G}} \leftarrow \text { Slotted ALOHA }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Throughput of Pure/Slotted ALOHA (6)

- Throughput versus offered traffic



## Throughput of Pure/Slotted ALOHA (7)

- Throughput peak at G*
- $\mathrm{G}^{*}=0.5$ attempt per packet time for pure ALOHA
- G* $=1.0$ attempt per packet time for slotted ALOHA
- For $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{G}^{*} \rightarrow$ collisions increase exponentially $\rightarrow$ throughput approaches zero
- Proof (Slotted ALOHA case)

Probability of success $=P 0=e^{-G}$
Prob of failure $=1-\mathrm{PO}=1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{G}}$
Consider the random variable (RV) $k$ defined as then number of transmission for packet until it is success $\rightarrow k$ is a geometric RV - refer to discrete RVs material

$$
P_{k}=e^{-G}\left(1-e^{-G}\right)^{k-1} \quad \text { for } \quad k=1,2, \cdots
$$

The expected number of transmission can be computed as

$$
E=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P_{k}=\sum_{\text {Dr. } k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-G}\left(1-e^{-G}\right)^{k-1}=e^{G}
$$

## Carrier Sense Multiple Access Protocols



## Throughput of CSMA Protocols

- Unslotted Nonpersistent CSMA

$$
S=\frac{G e^{-a G}}{G(1+2 a)+e^{-a G}}
$$

- Slotted Nonpersistent CSMA

$$
S=\frac{a G e^{-a G}}{1-e^{-a G}+a}
$$

- Unslotted 1-Persistent CSMA

$$
S=\frac{G[1+G+a G(1+G+a G / 2)] e^{-G(1+2 a)}}{G(1+2 a)-\left(1-e^{-a G}\right)+(1+a G) e^{-G(1+a)}}
$$

- Slotted 1-Persistent CSMA

$$
S=\frac{G\left[1+a-e^{-a G}\right] e^{-G(1+a)}}{(1+a)\left(1-e^{-a G}\right)+a e^{-G(1+a)}}
$$

## Throughput of CSMA Protocols - cont'd

- Comparison of the channel utilization versus load for various random access protocols



## CSMA with Collision Detection

- Persistent and nonpersistent CSMA protocols are an improvement over ALOHA protocols. Why?
- An added improvement is CSMA with collision detection - CSMA/CD; the basis for the classical Ethernet LAN
- CSMA/CD model:
- At t0 a station has finished transmission
- Stations may attempt to transmit during the contention period
- If collision
- Abort transmission
- Wait for a random time
- Retry


## CSMA with Collision Detection <br> (2)

- CSMA/CD can be in contention, transmission, or idle state

- If two stations begin transmitting at t0 - How long does it take them to detect the collision?
- A station cannot be sure that it has seized the channel until it has transmitted for 2 T without detecting a collision
- $\quad \mathrm{T}$ is the signal propagation time for the full cable length


## Collision-Free Protocols - BitMap Protocol

- For N stations we have N contention slots
- There is not real contention here - ith slot is dedicated for ith station.
- If station i has data to send, then it transmits a bit 1 in its contention slot
- When the N contention slots are complete, all stations (assuming all are listening) have a map of traffic to be sent from all stations
- Refer to the figure.

- Example for $\mathrm{N}=8$; stations 1,3 , and 7 have traffic to send for the first round
- A form of reservation protocol
- Length of contention slot $=1$ unit, length of data frame $=d$ units


## Bit-Map Protocol (2)

- Mean access delay - low load case:
- Low numbered stations (such as 0 or 1 ) $-\mathrm{N} / 2+\mathrm{N}$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~N}$
- High numbered stations (such as $\mathrm{N}-1$ and N ) $-\mathrm{N} / 2$
- Average $=1.5 \mathrm{~N}+0.5 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}$ for all terminals
- Mean access delay - high load case
- Queueing time + ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) $\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{N}$
- Channel efficiency
- Low load - d/(d + N)
- High load $-\mathrm{Nd} /(\mathrm{Nd}+\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{d} /(\mathrm{d}+1)$


## Token Passing

- Token = permission to send
- Topology determines the order of transmission/permissions
- Frames transmitted in the direction of the token
- Source of destination of frame must remove frame transmission from ring
- $\quad$ Performance -
- IEEE802.5
- Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI)
- $\quad$ Resilient Packet Ring (RPR) - IEEE802.17 ~ 2000's metropolitan area rings used by ISPs



## Binary Count Down

- Basic bit-map and token rings suffer from overhead of 1 bit per station
- Does not scale well
- Transmit addresses in binary form
- Higher address have higher priority Bit time
- Channel efficiency d/(d+log2N)
- May be as high as $100 \%$


| 0100 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


$1010 \quad 1010$
The binary countdown protocol. A dash indicates silence.


## Limited-Contention Protocols

- Combination of contention and collision-free protocols
- Station attempts to acquire channel with prob. p - may be different for different terminals
- Prob. 1- p to defer transmission
- Prob. of success - Binomial distribution $=k p(1-p)^{\wedge}(k-1)$
- Tomaximize prob. of success $p=1 / k \rightarrow$ Prob. of success



## Adaptive Tree Walk

- Stations are represented by the leaves of the binary tree.
- Rules:
- Slot 0 (first contention slot following a successful frame transmission) - all terminals are permitted to attempt
- If collision, during slot 1 , only stations falling under node 2 are permitted to compete
- If one of them acquires the channel, then subsequent slot (slot 2 ) is reserved for stations under node 3
- If collision (i.e. 2 or more stations under node 2 want to Tx), only
stations under node 4 are allowed to compete for slot 2
- Etc.
- Enhancements - when load is heavy no need to dedicate slot 0 for node 1
- Same can be argued for nodes 2 and 3 since many terminals want to transmit! - Where to start the search?


## Adaptive Tree Walk - cont'd

- Assume q terminals on average want to send - distributed uniformly in the tree
- Level i of binary tree has $2^{-i}$ fraction of terminals $\rightarrow$ number of terminals with traffic under node i is equal to $2^{-\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}$
- For optimality, we should start the search at the level where the expected number of contending stations is equal to $1 \rightarrow \mathrm{i}=\log 2(q)$


## Ethernet

- De facto LAN standard
- Classical versus switched Ethernet
- Relation to IEEE802.3
- Classical Ethernet physical layer
- 1978 - $10 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ Ethernet (DIX standard)
- Coaxial cable (thick versus thin) - BNC connectors
- Repeaters connecting multiple segments - max 2.5 km with 4 repeaters at most



## Ethernet - MAC Sublayer

- Preamble - 8 bytes; each byte 10101010 with the exception of the last byte in which the last 2 bits are set to 11
- Last byte is called SOF for IEEE802.3
- Machester encoding - 10 MHz
- Type/Length fields
- Max frame length - 1500 bytes of data
- Min frame length -64 bytes (with padding if needed)
- 32-bit CRC - checksum

(b)

| Preamble | Stin <br> 0 | Destination <br> F | Source <br> address | Length | Data | Pad | Check- <br> sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Ethernet - MAC Sublayer (2)

- Ethernet slot time $=2 x$ Tprop
- Min frame length is longer than the slot time

(a)


Collision detection can take as long as $2 \tau$.

## CSMAICD with Binary <br> Exponential Backoff

- After the ith collision, a random number between 0 and $2 \mathrm{k}-1$ is chosen where $\mathrm{k}=$ $\min (\mathrm{i}, 10)$


## Ethernet Performance

- Let P be mean frame time, 2 T be the slot duration
- Mean number of slots per contention $=A^{-1}=e$ where $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{e}^{-1}$
- Therefore, mean contention interval is $2 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{A} \rightarrow$

Efficiency is calculated as Channel Efficiency $=\frac{P}{P+2 \tau / A}$
Channel Efficiency $=\frac{1}{1+2 B L e /(c F)}$

## Ethernet Performance (2)

- Efficiency of Ethernet at $10 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ with 512 -bit time slot



## Switched Ethernet

- For fast $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ Ethernet
- High-speed backplane that connects all ports
- Proprietary algorithm



## Switched Ethernet (2)

- Collision domain
- Concentration ports
- Promiscuous mode - Security benefits


An Ethernet switch.

## Fast Ethernet

- IEEE802.3u (June 1995) - amendment to the existing IEEE802.3
- Identical frame format and procedural rules to $10 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ Ethernet
- 100BaseTX
- Uses $4 \mathrm{~B} / 5 \mathrm{~B}$ encoding - 125 MHz signal to provide $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$
- Full duplex - can send $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ on one twisted pair and receive at $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ on another pair
- 100BaseFX - two strands of multimode fiber (one per direction)
- Supports switches and hubs

| Name | Cable | Max. segment | Advantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 100Base-T4 | Twisted pair | 100 m | Uses category 3 UTP |
| 100Base-TX | Twisted pair | 100 m | Full duplex at 100 Mbps (Cat 5 UTP) |
| 100Base-FX | Fiber optics | 2000 m | Full duplex at 100 Mbps; long runs |
| Original fast Ethernet Cabling |  |  |  |
| $4 / 7 / 2012$ | Dr. Ashraf S. Hasan Mahmoud | 34 |  |

## Gigabit Ethernet

- Two MAC extensions: (1) carrier extension and (2) frame bursting
- $8 \mathrm{~B} / 10 \mathrm{~B}$
- Jumbo frames

| Name | Cable | Max. segment | Advantages |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1000Base-SX | Fiber optics | 550 m | Multimode fiber $(50,62.5$ microns $)$ |
| 1000Base-LX | Fiber optics | 5000 m | Single $(10 \mu)$ or multimode $(50,62.5 \mu)$ |
| 1000Base-CX | 2 Pairs of STP | 25 m | Shielded twisted pair |
| 1000Base-T | 4 Pairs of UTP | 100 m | Standard category 5 UTP |

Gigabit Ethernet cabling

## 10 Gigabit Ethernet

- Full duplex mode only
- CSMA/CD is not part of the design
- Fiber options: 64B/66B code
- 10GBase-CX4: 4 pairs of twinaxial copper wiring - each pair $8 B / 10 B$ coding provides 3.125 Gsymbol/sec
- 10GBase-T: 800 Msymbols/sec (16 different voltage levels) - LDPC coding

| Name | Cable | Max. segment | Advantages |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 10GBase-SR | Fiber optics | Up to 300 m | Multimode fiber $(0.85 \mu)$ |
| 10GBase-LR | Fiber optics | 10 km | Single-mode fiber $(1.3 \mu)$ |
| 10GBase-ER | Fiber optics | 40 km | Single-mode fiber $(1.5 \mu)$ |
| 10GBase-CX4 | 4 Pairs of twinax | 15 m | Twinaxial copper |
| 10GBase-T | 4 Pairs of UTP | 100 m | Category 6a UTP |

10 Gigabit Ethernet cabling
4/7/2012

## 100 Gigabit Ethernet

- 2007 an effort to standardize $40 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ and 100 Gb/s Ethernet
- Completed in June 2010 and March 2011


## Data Link Switching - The Use of Bridges

- Learning bridges
- Backward learning
- Cut-through switching




## The Use of Bridges - cont'd

- Protocol stacks


Protocol processing at a bridge.

## Spanning Tree Bridges

- X


Bridges with two parallel links

## Spanning Tree Bridges - cont'd <br> - X



A spanning tree connecting five bridges. The dotted lines ${ }_{472}$ are links that are. not part of the spanning tree. ${ }_{41}$

## Virtual LANs

- X


Two VLANs, gray and white, on a bridged LAN.

