

HW #3 Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Q1} \quad F^{ON} &= \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{a}\bar{c}d + a\bar{b}d + c\bar{d} \\ F^{DC} &= \bar{a}cd \end{aligned}$$

(i) Positional-cube notation

| | | a | b | c | d |
|--------|-------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| ON set | $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| | $\bar{a}\bar{c}d$ | 10 | 11 | 10 | 01 |
| | $a\bar{b}d$ | 01 | 10 | 11 | 01 |
| | $c\bar{d}$ | 11 | 11 | 01 | 10 |
| DC set | $\bar{a}cd$ | 10 | 11 | 01 | 01 |

(ii) Off-set Computation:

1. The Sharp operator

$$F^{off} = \sharp \{ F^{ON} \cup F^{DC} \}$$

$$= \{ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ \# \ 10 \ 10 \ 10 \ 11 \} \cap \{ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ \# \ 10 \ 11 \ 10 \ 01 \} \\ \cap \{ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ \# \ 01 \ 10 \ 11 \ 01 \} \cap \{ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ \# \ 11 \ 11 \ 01 \ 10 \} \\ \cap \{ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ \# \ 10 \ 11 \ 01 \ 01 \}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 11 \end{array} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 10 \end{array} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 10 \end{array} \right\} \\ \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 01 \end{array} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 01 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 01 & 11 & 11 & 10 \\ 10 & 01 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\ 01 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 10 & 11 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 11 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 10 & 10 \\ 10 & 11 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 10 \\ 01 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 01 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 11 & 10 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 11 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 01 & 01 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 01 & 01 & 11 & 01 \\ 01 & 01 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 11 & 10 & 10 \\ 10 & 01 & 10 & 10 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 01 & 01 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 01 & 11 & 01 \\ 01 & 11 & 10 & 10 \\ 11 & 01 & 10 & 10 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$11 \quad abc\bar{d} + abcd + a\bar{c}\bar{d} + b\bar{c}\bar{d}$$

2 The Disjoint Sharp operator:

$$F^{\#} = \{ \begin{matrix} 1111 \\ 1011 \\ 1010 \\ 1001 \end{matrix} \# \begin{matrix} 1011 \\ 1010 \\ 1001 \end{matrix} \} \cap \{ \begin{matrix} 1111 \\ 1110 \\ 1101 \end{matrix} \# \begin{matrix} 1011 \\ 1010 \\ 1001 \end{matrix} \}$$

$$\cap \{ \begin{matrix} 1111 \\ 1110 \\ 1101 \end{matrix} \# \begin{matrix} 1011 \\ 1010 \\ 1001 \end{matrix} \}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1001 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1011 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1011 \\ 0101 \\ 0110 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$\cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1111 \\ 1110 \\ 1101 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1011 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1001 \\ 1010 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1011 \\ 1011 \\ 0101 \\ 0110 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1011 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0101 \\ 0101 \\ 0110 \\ 1001 \\ 1001 \\ 1010 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0111 \\ 1011 \\ 1010 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0101 \\ 0101 \\ 0110 \\ 1001 \\ 1001 \end{matrix} \right\} = abc\bar{d} + abcd + \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$$

3. Recursive complementation procedure:

$$f^{2W} \cup f^{11C} = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c & d \\ \left. \begin{matrix} 10 & 10 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \\ 10 & 11 & 01 & 01 \end{matrix} \right\} & \begin{matrix} \bar{a}\bar{b}c \\ \bar{a}\bar{c}d \\ a\bar{b}d \\ c\bar{d} \\ \bar{a}cd \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- Select binary variable $a = [01 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11]$

* cofactor with respect to $a = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \bar{b}d \\ c\bar{d} \end{matrix}$

* cofactor with respect to $\bar{a} = [10 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11]$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 10 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 01 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \bar{b}\bar{c} \\ \bar{c}d \\ c\bar{d} \\ cd \end{matrix}$$

Then, we compute the complement of $\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$

- we select the binary variable d

* cofactor with respect to $d = [11 \ 10 \ 11 \ 11] b$

* cofactor with respect to $\bar{d} = [11 \ 11 \ 01 \ 11] c$

So, the complement of $\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 01 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} b\bar{d} \\ \bar{c}d \end{matrix}$$

Next, we compute the complement of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 10 & 01 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 10 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 01 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \bar{b}\bar{c} \\ \bar{c}d \\ c\bar{d} \\ cd \end{array}$$

we select the binary variable c

$$* \text{ cofactor with respect to } c = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 11 & 11 & 10 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 01 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \bar{d} \\ d \end{array}$$

The complement here is void because it depends on a single variable.

$$* \text{ cofactor with respect to } \bar{c} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 10 & 11 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 11 & 01 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \bar{b} \\ d \end{array}$$

Since the cofactor is unate $\bar{b} + d$

its complement is $b\bar{d} + \bar{b}d = b\bar{d}$

So, the complement of $\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{c}d + c\bar{d} + cd$

$$= \bar{c}b\bar{d}$$

Thus, the complement of the whole

$$\text{function is } a [b\bar{d} + \bar{c}\bar{d}] + \bar{a} [b\bar{c}\bar{d}]$$

$$= abd + a\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$$

(iii) To check whether the cube $\bar{b}d$ is contained in f , we need to check if $f_{\bar{b}d}$ is tautology

$$f = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 10 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \\ 10 & 11 & 01 & 01 \end{array} \right\} \quad \bar{b}d = \{ 11 \ 10 \ 11 \ 01 \}$$

$$f_{\bar{b}d} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 01 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\ 10 & 11 & 01 & 11 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} a \bar{c} \\ a \bar{c} \\ a \\ \bar{a}c \end{array}$$

we split the cofactor $f_{\bar{b}d}$ using the binary variable a .

* cofactor with respect to a
 $[11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11] \Rightarrow$ tautology

* cofactor with respect to \bar{a}

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & 11 & 10 & 11 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 11 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{tautology}$$

So, the cofactor $f_{\bar{b}d}$ is a tautology and the cube $\bar{b}d$ is contained in f .

(iv) Prime implicants computation

$$f = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{a}\bar{c}d + a\bar{b}d + c\bar{d} + \bar{a}cd$$

Let us split the function based on the variable a .

$$f = \bar{a} [\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{c}d + c\bar{d} + cd] + a [\bar{b}d + c\bar{d}]$$

we need to find the prime implicants of $f_{\bar{a}}$ and f_a .

To find the prime implicants of f_a , we split f_a based on the variable d ,

$$f_{ad} = \bar{b}, \quad f_{a\bar{d}} = c$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{P.I. of } f_a = \text{see } \{d\bar{b}, \bar{d}c, \bar{b}c\} \\ = \{d\bar{b}, c\bar{d}, \bar{b}c\}$$

To find the P.I. of $f_{\bar{a}}$, we split $f_{\bar{a}}$ based on the binary variable c .

$$f_{\bar{a}c} = \bar{d} + d = 1 \Rightarrow \text{its P.I.'s are } \{c\}$$

$$f_{\bar{a}\bar{c}} = \bar{b} + d \Rightarrow \text{its P.I.'s are } \{\bar{c}\bar{b}, \bar{c}d\}$$

So, the P.I.'s of $f_{\bar{a}}$ are

$$\text{see } \{c, \bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{c}d, \bar{b}, d\} = \{\bar{b}, c, d\}$$

Thus, the prime implicants of f are

$$\text{see } \{a\bar{b}d, a\bar{c}d, a\bar{b}c, \bar{a}c, \bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{a}d, \\ \bar{b}c\bar{d}, \bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{b}c, \bar{b}d, \bar{b}c\bar{d}, \bar{b}c, \bar{b}d, \bar{b}c\bar{d}\}$$

$$= \{\bar{a}c, \bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{a}d, c\bar{d}, \bar{b}c, \bar{b}d\}$$

(v) Essential prime implicants

$$G = f^{uv} \cup f^{uc} = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{a}\bar{c}d + a\bar{b}d + c\bar{d} + \bar{a}cd$$

$$* \alpha = \bar{a}c$$

$$\{f^{uv} \cup f^{uc}\} \# \alpha = \{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, a\bar{b}d, a\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$$

$$H = \text{Consensus}(G \# \alpha, \alpha)$$

$$= \{\bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{a}d, \bar{b}cd, c\bar{d}\}$$

$$H\alpha = \{\bar{b}, d, \bar{b}d, \bar{d}\} \Rightarrow \text{tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \bar{a}c$ is not an essential P.I.

$$* \alpha = \bar{a}\bar{b}$$

$$G \# \alpha = \{\bar{a}b\bar{c}d, a\bar{b}d, b\bar{c}\bar{d}, a\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}b\bar{c}d\}$$

$$H = \{\bar{a}\bar{c}d, \bar{b}d, \bar{a}c\bar{d}, \bar{b}c\bar{d}, \bar{a}cd\}$$

$$H\alpha = \{\bar{c}d, d, c\bar{d}, c\bar{d}, cd\}$$

$$= \{d, c\} \Rightarrow \text{Not tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \bar{a}\bar{b}$ is an essential P.I.

$$* \alpha = \bar{a}d$$

$$G \# \alpha = \{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, a\bar{b}d, c\bar{d}\}$$

$$H = \{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{b}d, \bar{a}c\}$$

$$H\alpha = \{\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{b}, c\} = \{\bar{b}, c\} \Rightarrow \text{Not tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \bar{a}d$ is an essential P.I.

$$* \alpha = c\bar{d}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\#\alpha = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, abd, \bar{a}cd \}$$

$$H = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{d}, a\bar{b}c, \bar{a}c \}$$

$$H\alpha = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}, a\bar{b}, \bar{a} \} = \{ \bar{b}, \bar{a} \} \Rightarrow \text{Not tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = c\bar{d}$ is an essential P.I.

$$* \alpha = \bar{b}c$$

$$\mathcal{G}\#\alpha = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, a\bar{b}\bar{c}d, c\bar{d}, \bar{a}bcd \}$$

$$H = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{a}\bar{b}d, a\bar{b}d, \bar{b}\bar{d}, \bar{a}cd \}$$

$$H\alpha = \{ \bar{a}, \bar{a}d, ad, \bar{d}, \bar{a}d \}$$

$$= \{ \bar{a}, a, \bar{d} \} \Rightarrow \text{Tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \bar{b}c$ is not an essential P.I.

$$* \alpha = \bar{b}d$$

$$\mathcal{G}\#\alpha = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}b\bar{c}d, c\bar{d}, \bar{a}bcd \}$$

$$H = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{c}d, \bar{b}c, \bar{a}cd \}$$

$$H\alpha = \{ \bar{a}\bar{c}, \bar{a}\bar{c}, c, \bar{a}c \}$$

$$= \{ \bar{a}, c \} \Rightarrow \text{Not tautology}$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \bar{b}d$ is an essential P.I.

(vi) Minimum Cover:

we formulate the problem as a covering problem as shown below:

| | $\bar{a}c$ | $\bar{a}\bar{b}$ | $\bar{a}d$ | $\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | $\bar{b}c$ | $\bar{b}d$ |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ ✓ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$ ✓ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$ ✓ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\bar{a}b\bar{c}d$ ✓ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\bar{a}b\bar{c}d$ ✓ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$ ✓ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$ ✓ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}cd$ ✓ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$ ✓ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

As, we can see from the above matrix, there are four essential P.I's: $\bar{a}\bar{b}$, $\bar{a}d$, $\bar{c}\bar{d}$, $\bar{b}d$.

Selecting those four essential prime implicants covers all the minterms. So, a minimum

cover is $F = \bar{a}\bar{b} + \bar{a}d + \bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{b}d$.

(vii) The same cover is found by Espresso-Exact.

(viii) The same cover is found by Espresso.

Q2

(1) Expansion

| | a | b | c | d | weight |
|------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|--------|
| $m_0 \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 23 |
| $m_1 \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 01 | 24 |
| $m_2 \bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$ | 10 | 10 | 01 | 10 | 26 |
| $m_3 \bar{a}\bar{b}cd$ | 10 | 10 | 01 | 01 | 27 |
| $m_5 \bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 10 | 01 | 10 | 01 | 21 |
| $m_6 \bar{a}b\bar{c}d$ | 10 | 01 | 01 | 10 | 23 |
| $m_7 \bar{a}bcd$ | 10 | 01 | 01 | 01 | 24 |
| $m_9 a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 01 | 10 | 10 | 01 | 21 |
| $m_{10} a\bar{b}\bar{c}d$ | 01 | 10 | 01 | 10 | 23 |
| $m_{11} a\bar{b}cd$ | 01 | 10 | 01 | 01 | 24 |
| $m_{14} abc\bar{d}$ | 01 | 01 | 01 | 10 | 20 |
| weight | 74 | 74 | 47 | 56 | |

Next, we compute the implicant weights

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 & 01 \\ 10 & 10 & 01 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 01 & 01 \\ 10 & 01 & 10 & 01 \\ 10 & 01 & 01 & 10 \\ 10 & 01 & 01 & 01 \\ 01 & 10 & 10 & 01 \\ 01 & 10 & 01 & 10 \\ 01 & 10 & 01 & 01 \\ 01 & 01 & 01 & 10 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

We first expand the implicant with the minimum weight i.e. $abc\bar{d}$.

Free set = $\{1, 3, 5, 8\}$

alt-set is :

| | a | b | c | d |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| $\bar{a}b\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 10 | 01 | 10 | 10 |
| $ab\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 01 | 01 | 10 | 10 |
| $ab\bar{c}d$ | 01 | 01 | 10 | 01 |
| $abcd$ | 01 | 01 | 01 | 01 |
| $a\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 01 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

- No column can always be raised
- Column 5 & 8 cannot be raised.

So, remaining free set = $\{1, 3\}$

overexpanded cube = $c\bar{d}$

We only need to check the minterms $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$, $\bar{a}bc\bar{d}$, and $abc\bar{d}$ for detection of feasibly covered cubes.

- So $(\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}, abc\bar{d}) = c\bar{d}$ feasible
- So $(\bar{a}bc\bar{d}, abc\bar{d}) = bc\bar{d}$ feasible
- So $(a\bar{b}c\bar{d}, abc\bar{d}) = ac\bar{d}$ feasible

So, X is expanded with $\bar{a}\bar{b}c\bar{d}$ resulting in the expanded cube $\underline{c\bar{d}}$.

So, we remove all the cubes covered by the expanded cube, i.e. m_2, m_5, m_{10} , and m_{14} .

Next, we expand either m_5 or m_7 since they have the same weight. Let us expand $m_5 = \bar{a}b\bar{c}d$.

Free list = $\{2, 3, 6, 7\}$

Columns 2 and 7 cannot be raised.

So, the free list is $\{3, 6\}$.

Overexpanded cube is $\bar{a}d$.

We only need to check the minterms $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d$, $\bar{a}\bar{b}cd$, and $\bar{a}bcd$ for detection of feasibly covered cubes.

$$sc(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d) = \bar{a}\bar{c}d \quad \text{feasible}$$

$$sc(\bar{a}\bar{b}cd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d) = \bar{a}d \quad \text{feasible}$$

$$sc(\bar{a}bcd, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}d) = \bar{a}bd \quad \text{feasible}$$

So, the cube $\bar{a}d$ is selected and we remove m_1, m_3, m_5 and m_7 .

We then expand $m_9 = a\bar{b}\bar{c}d$

Free set = $\{1, 4, 6, 7\}$

Columns 4 and 7 cannot be raised.

So, the free-set is $\{1, 6\}$.

Overexpanded cube = $\bar{b}\bar{c}$

only minterm $a\bar{b}cd$ need to be checked for feasibility.

$$sc(a\bar{b}cd, a\bar{b}\bar{c}d) = a\bar{b}d \quad \text{feasible.}$$

So, free list = $\{1\}$. Then, minterm m_{11} & m_9 are removed.

Next, we check for raising column 1 and the expanded cube $\underline{\underline{bd}}$ is found feasible.

Finally, we expand the cube $m_3 = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$

Free-list = $\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$

columns 2 & 4 cannot be raised.

So, the free list is $\{6, 8\}$.

Overexpanded cube = $\bar{a}\bar{b}$

There are no feasibly covered cubes.

We raise column 6 as it overlaps the maximum number of cubes.

So, expanded cube is $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}$, the free

list is $\{8\}$. Then, we raise column

8 and it is found feasible and we get the expanded cube $\underline{\underline{\bar{a}\bar{b}}}$.

Thus, the obtained expanded cover is

$\{c\bar{d}, \bar{a}d, \bar{b}d, \underline{\underline{\bar{a}\bar{b}}}\}$

Irredundant Check

The expanded cover is $\{c\bar{d}, \bar{a}d, \bar{b}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\}$

$F^1 = \{c\bar{d}, \bar{a}d, \bar{b}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\}$ as each implicant covers at least one minterm not covered by other implicants. So, all the implicants are irredundant and the cover does not change

Reduce

| | a | b | c | d | weight |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|--------|
| $c\bar{d}$ | 11 | 11 | 01 | 10 | 18 |
| $\bar{a}d$ | 10 | 11 | 11 | 01 | 20 |
| $\bar{b}d$ | 11 | 10 | 11 | 01 | 20 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}$ | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 20 |
| | 42 | 42 | 34 | 23 | |

we next compute the implicant's weight

$$\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \\ 10 & 11 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 10 & 10 & 11 & 11 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

we start by reducing the implicant with the largest weight. We will choose to reduce $\bar{a}\bar{b}$ first.

$$\alpha = \overline{a}b$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{f \cup f^{nc}\} - \alpha = \{c\overline{d}, \overline{a}d, bd\} = \overline{d}(c) + d(\overline{a}+b)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \overline{d}\overline{c} + dab$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha} = \overline{c}\overline{d}$$

$$\overline{a}b \wedge sc(\overline{c}\overline{d}) = \overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d}$$

So, $\overline{a}b$ is reduced to $\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d}$.

Next, assume that we select $\overline{b}d$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{c\overline{d}, \overline{a}d, \overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d}\} = \overline{d}(c + \overline{a}\overline{b}c) + d(\overline{a}) \\ = \overline{d}(c + \overline{a}\overline{b}) + d(\overline{a})$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = da + \overline{d}\overline{c}a + \overline{d}\overline{c}b$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\overline{b}d} = a$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{b}d \wedge sc(a) = \underline{\overline{a}\overline{b}d}$$

We then attempt to reduce $\overline{a}d$.

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{c\overline{d}, \overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{c}\overline{d}, \overline{a}b\overline{d}\} = d(\overline{a}\overline{b}) + \overline{d}(c + \overline{a}\overline{b}c) \\ = d(\overline{a}\overline{b}) + \overline{d}(c + \overline{a}\overline{b})$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = d\overline{a} + db + \overline{d}\overline{c}a + \overline{d}\overline{c}b$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\overline{a}d} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{a}d \wedge sc(1) = \overline{a}d$$

$\Rightarrow \overline{a}d$ cannot be reduced.

Finally, we consider reducing the cube $c\bar{d}$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}, \bar{a}\bar{b}d, \bar{a}d \} \\ &= \bar{d} (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}) + d (\bar{a} + \bar{a}\bar{b}) \\ &= \bar{d} (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}) + d (\bar{a} + \bar{b}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{d} = \bar{d}a + \bar{d}b + \bar{d}c + d\bar{a}b$$

$$\bar{d}_{c\bar{d}} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c\bar{d} \cap S_c(i) = c\bar{d}$$

Thus, $c\bar{d}$ cannot be reduced.

So, the reduced cover is $\{c\bar{d}, \bar{a}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}d, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\}$.

Expend 2:

| | a | b | c | d | weight |
|--------------------------------|----|----|----|----|--------|
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| $\bar{a}\bar{b}d$ | 01 | 10 | 11 | 01 | 14 |
| $\bar{a}d$ | 10 | 11 | 11 | 01 | 17 |
| $c\bar{d}$ | 11 | 11 | 01 | 10 | 16 |
| | 32 | 42 | 33 | 22 | |

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 01 & 10 & 11 & 01 \\ 10 & 11 & 11 & 01 \\ 11 & 11 & 01 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 14 \\ 17 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

We select the cube $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ to expand first.

Free list = $\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$

Columns 2 & 4 cannot be raised.

Overexpanded - cube is $\bar{a}\bar{b}$.

None of the cubes is feasibly covered.

So, we can choose to raise column 6 as a

we raise column 6 and we get $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}$.

Then, we raise column 8 and we get $\bar{a}\bar{b}$.

So, $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}$ is expanded to $\bar{a}\bar{b}$.

Next, we expand the cube $\bar{a}\bar{b}d$.

Free set = $\{1, 4, 7\}$

Columns 4 & 7 cannot be raised.

So, column 1 is raised and the cube

is expanded to $\bar{b}d$.

Then, we expand the cube $c\bar{d}$.

Free list = $\{5, 8\}$.

Columns 5 & 8 cannot be raised, So,
the cube cannot be expanded.

Finally, we expand the cube $\bar{a}d$.

Free-list = $\{2, 7\}$

Columns 2 & 7 cannot be raised, So,
the cube cannot be expanded.

So, the expanded cover is $\{\bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{b}d, c\bar{d}, \bar{a}d\}$.

(vii) Verify your results after each step performed by running espresso tool.

The initial cover is:

```
.i 4
.o 1
.ilb a b c d
.olb y
.p 11
0000 1
0001 1
0010 1
0011 1
0101 1
0110 1
0111 1
1001 1
1010 1
1011 1
1110 1
.dc
.e
```

Running EXPAND on the Initial cover:

```
54 sunfire7> espresso -D expand two.pla
.olb y
.dc
.i 4
.o 1
.ilb a b c d
-p 4
--10 1
-0-1 1
0--1 1
00-- 1
.e
55 sunfire7>
```

So the expanded cover is {cd, b'd, a'd, a'b} which is the same as we obtained.

Running IRREDUNDANT check on the expanded cover:

```
62 sunfire7> espresso -Dexpand two.pla > two_expanded.pla
63 sunfire7> espresso -Dirred two_expanded.pla
.olb y
.dc
.i 4
.o 1
.ilb a b c d
.p 4
--10 1
-0-1 1
0--1 1
00-- 1
.e
```

So the resulted cover is {cd, b'd, a'd, a'b} which is the same as we obtained.

Running REDUCE on the irredundant checked cover:

```
64 sunfire7>
64 sunfire7> espresso -Dirred two_expanded.pla > two_irred.pla
65 sunfire7> espresso -Dreduce two_irred.pla
.olb y
.dc
.i 4
.o 1
.ilb a b c d
.p 4
0000 1
--10 1
01-1 1
-0-1 1
.e
66 sunfire7>
```

So the Reduced cover is $\{a^b c^d, cd, a^bd, b^d\}$

Note that the reduced cover we obtained manually is $\{a^b c^d, cd, a^d, ab^d\}$

This because we had three implicants with same weight (i.e 18) so the order in which we start reducing matter. So if we started with a^b then a^d we would have a^b reduced to $a^b c^d$ and a^d reduced to a^bd . The implicants cd and b^d will not be reduced also. So we would have obtained the same result as ESPRESSO. But it is ok since reduce is not unique.

Running EXPAND on the reduced cover:

```
67 sunfire7>
67 sunfire7> espresso -Dreduce two_irred.pla > two_reduced.pla
68 sunfire7> espresso -Dexpand two_reduced.pla
.olb y
.dc
.i 4
.o 1
.ilb a b c d
.p 4
00-- 1
0--1 1
--10 1
-0-1 1
.e
```

So the expanded cover is $\{a^b, a^d, cd, b^d\}$ which is the same as we obtained.